JOURNAL OF CLINICAL ONCOLOGY

ORIGINAL REPORT

Survival and Prognostic Factors of Early Childhood Medulloblastoma: An International Meta-Analysis

A R S Т R Α C Т

Dominique Figarella-Branger, Felice Giangaspero, David W. Ellison, Maria-Luisa Garre, Veronica Biassoni, Richard G. Grundy, Jonathan L. Finlay, Girish Dhall, Marie-Anne Raquin, and Jacques Grill

From the University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, Hamburg: University of Wuerzburg, Wuerzburg; University Medical Center of the Johannes Gutenberg University Mainz, Mainz; University of Bonn, Bonn, Germany; Hopital de la Timone, Marseille; Institute Gustave Roussy, Villejuif, France; University of Rome La Sapienza, Rome; Istituto di Ricovero e Cura a Carattere Scientifico (IBCSS) Neuromed, Pozzilli: Giannina Gaslini Children's Research Hospital (IBCSS), Genova: Fondazione IRCCS Istituto Nazionale per lo Studio e la Cura dei Tumori, Milan, Italy; University of Nottingham, Nottingham, United Kingdom; St Jude Children's Research Hospital, Memphis, TN; and Childrens Hospital Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA.

Submitted May 19, 2010; accepted August 20, 2010; published online ahead of print at www.ico.org on October 12, 2010.

Presented in part at the 39th Congress of the International Society of Pediatric Oncology, November 1-3, 2007, Mumbai, India, and the 13th International Symposium on Pediatric Neuro-Oncology, June 29-July 2, 2008. Chicago, IL

Authors' disclosures of potential conflicts of interest and author contributions are found at the end of this article

Corresponding author: Stefan Rutkowski, MD, University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, Department of Pediatric Hematology and Oncology, Martinistr 52, D-20246 Hamburg, Germany; e-mail: s.rutkowski@uke.de.

© 2010 by American Society of Clinical Oncology

0732-183X/10/2899-1/\$20.00

DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2010.30.2299

Stefan Rutkowski, Katja von Hoff, Angela Emser, Isabella Zwiener, Torsten Pietsch,



To assess the prognostic role of clinical parameters and histology in early childhood medulloblastoma.

Patients and Methods

Clinical and histologic data from 270 children younger than age 5 years diagnosed with medulloblastoma between March 1987 and July 2004 and treated within prospective trials of five national study groups were centrally analyzed.

Results

Two hundred sixty children with medulloblastoma and specified histologic subtype were eligible for analysis (median age, 1.89 years; median follow-up, 8.0 years). Rates for 8-year event-free survival (EFS) and overall survival (OS) were 55% and 76%, respectively, in 108 children with desmoplastic/nodular medulloblastoma (DNMB) or medulloblastoma with extensive nodularity (MBEN); 27% and 42%, respectively, in 145 children with classic medulloblastoma (CMB); and 14% and 14%, respectively, in seven children with large-cell/anaplastic (LC/A) medulloblastoma (P < .001). Histology (DNMB/MBEN: hazard ratio [HR], 0.44; 95% CI, 0.31 to 0.64; LC/A medulloblastoma: HR, 2.27; 95% CI, 0.95 to 5.54; P < .001 compared with CMB), incomplete resection and metastases (M0R1: HR, 1.86; 95% CI, 1.29 to 2.80; M+: HR, 2.28; 95% CI, 1.50 to 3.46; P < .001 compared with M0R0), and national group were independent prognostic factors for EFS, and OS. The HRs for OS ranged from 0.14 for localized M0 and DNMB/MBEN to 13.67 for metastatic LC/A medulloblastoma in different national groups.

Conclusion

Our results confirm the high frequency of desmoplastic variants of medulloblastomas in early childhood and histopathology as a strong independent prognostic factor. A controlled de-escalation of treatment may be appropriate for young children with DNMB and MBEN in future clinical trials.

J Clin Oncol 28. © 2010 by American Society of Clinical Oncology

INTRODUCTION

Medulloblastoma is the most common malignant brain tumor of childhood; 25% to 35% of children with medulloblastoma present at less than 3 years of age.1 The survival rates of early childhood medulloblastoma ranged from 20% to 50% until the last decade, which have been explained in part by different therapeutic strategies that have been applied and in part by assuming a more aggressive biology of medulloblastoma in younger children.^{2,3} Age limitations for the delivery of radiotherapy have been set because of the high susceptibility of the immature brain to radiotherapy-induced neurocognitive deficits,⁴⁻⁶ which have been shown to increase over time after treatment.⁷⁻⁹ Hence, strategies for dose reduction of craniospinal irradiation and delay of radiotherapy or avoidance of radiotherapy by postoperative chemotherapy have been investigated, especially in children younger than 3 to 5 years of age.¹⁰⁻¹³ More recent strategies to delay or avoid craniospinal radiotherapy have provided evidence for improved survival rates by intensive systemic and intraventricular chemotherapy alone or by intensified systemic chemotherapy and high-dose, marrow-ablative chemotherapy with or without radiotherapy.¹⁴⁻¹⁸ In addition, postoperative residual tumor and metastatic disease have been identified as negative clinical prognostic factors, leading to the concept of stratifying young children with medulloblastoma into the following three different risk groups: localized disease and gross total tumor resection (M0/R0); localized disease and postoperative residual tumor (M0/R+); and metastatic medulloblastoma (M+). In the setting of improved survival rates, treatment-related late effects on the

© 2010 by American Society of Clinical Oncology 1

Downloaded from jco.ascopubs.org on November 16, 2011. For personal use only. No other uses without permission. Copyright © 2010 American Society of Clinical Oncology. All rights reserved.

Copyright 2010 by American Society of Clinical Oncology

neurocognitive long-term outcome of young children must be balanced against the potential risk of tumor progression.¹⁹ More recently, it has been suggested that the desmoplastic/nodular variant of medulloblastoma (DNMB), characterized by a nodular architecture and a network of internodular collagen fibers, may be a favorable prognostic factor in early childhood medulloblastoma. Evidence has been presented that DNMB, previously believed to occur predominantly in adolescents and adults, may have a first peak of incidence in early childhood.^{14,20-23} Medulloblastoma with extensive nodularity (MBEN) has been described in young children with a good prognosis, is considered to be related to DNMB, and represents the extreme end of neurocytic differentiation.²⁴ A peculiar pattern of age-related occurrence of different medulloblastoma variants has been shown (ie, MBEN and DNMB v classic medulloblastoma [CMB]).²⁵ Recently, DNMB and MBEN have been defined as distinct medulloblastoma variants in the WHOclassification.26

The present cooperative international meta-analysis was undertaken to study the frequencies and the prognostic relevance of clinical and histologic risk factors in a larger patient cohort of this age and to investigate whether children with desmoplasia and extensive nodularity are candidates for a reduction of the intensity of therapy and treatment-induced late effects in future prospective studies.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Patients

Original data from 270 children (165 males) with medulloblastoma younger than age 5 years at diagnosis, initial craniospinal imaging, and information on extent of resection, who were treated between March 1987 and July 2004 within prospective national study group protocols in France, Germany, Italy, the United States, and the United Kingdom, were collected. Eight children with no information on the histologic subtype and two children with medullomyoblastoma were excluded. The remaining 260 children (60% males) were eligible for these analyses. A national reference histopathology, performed according to the current WHO classification at the time of the respective trials by experienced neuropathologists blinded to clinical outcomes, was available in 239 children (92%).

Treatment

All children were treated according to their respective prospective national trials (Appendix Table A1, online only); 74 children were treated according to the Baby Brain French Society of Pediatric Oncology (BBSFOP) protocol with systemic chemotherapy and received radiotherapy and highdose chemotherapy with autologous hematopoietic stem-cell transplantation only at relapse, as described.^{16,27}

Data from two subsequent prospective trials were collected from 72 children in Germany and Austria. Twenty-nine children from the Therapieprotokoll für Säuglinge und Kleinkinder mit Hirntumoren (HIT-SKK) 87 study received risk-adapted systemic chemotherapy and deferred craniospinal radiotherapy at the age of 3 years or at relapse, as described.²³ Forty-three children treated within the HIT-SKK 92 trial received systemic chemotherapy and intraventricular methotrexate, and radiotherapy was given only if children were not in remission, as described.¹⁴

Fifty-five children from two Italian Associazione Italiana Ematologia Oncologia Pediatrica (AIEOP) trials were included. In AIEOP SNC 9501, children diagnosed between 1995 and 1998 were treated with upfront conventional systemic chemotherapy and delayed or omitted irradiation. From 1998 to 2004, children were treated according to the Italian Infants High Risk Trial by upfront sequential myeloablative chemotherapy and autologous hematopoietic stem-cell transplantation, followed by conformal radiotherapy in case of residual tumor or by craniospinal irradiation in patients with metastases. Thirty-one children from the United States, Argentina, and Australia included in the two Head Start series were treated with systemic chemotherapy and high-dose marrow-ablative chemotherapy with autologous hematopoietic stem-cell transplantation and received radiotherapy in event of residual disease or recurrence, as described.^{17,18,28}

Between December 1992 and September 1996, 28 children were treated on the United Kingdom Children's Cancer Study Group (UKCCSG)/International Society of Pediatric Oncology (SIOP) protocol CNS9204, which comprised blocks of alternating myelosuppressive and nonmyelosuppressive drugs repeated at 14-day intervals to produce a high-intensity regimen with modest individual drug dose-intensity.²⁹ Initially, radiotherapy was delivered only at relapse or tumor progression, but following an interim analysis in January 1997, elective involved-field or craniospinal radiotherapy was advised based on local preference.

Maximal surgical removal of primary tumor, dependent on the anatomic location of the tumor and the condition of the child, was recommended in all studies. Residual tumor was judged by postoperative magnetic resonance imaging as presence of residual tumor more than 1.5 cm² (Head Start), by a consensus of neuroradiologic and surgical data as presence of any nodular tumor (BBSFOP), or by presence of any residual tumor (HIT-SKK and AIEOP). In the UKCCSG/SIOP study, a complete resection (R0) was recorded when there was no visible tumor documented by the surgeon at the end of operation; a subtotal resection was recorded when visible tumor remained; and a biopsy was recorded when only sufficient tumor for diagnosis was removed. Initial metastatic stage was classified according to Chang's system³⁰ with the help of cranial and spinal magnetic resonance imaging (\pm gadolinium) and lumbar CSF sampling. All studies were approved by the ethics committees of the responsible institutions. Informed consent was obtained from legal representatives of all patients.

Statistical Analyses

Overall survival (OS) and event-free survival (EFS) were calculated using the Kaplan-Meier method, and the log-rank test was used for comparison. EFS was defined as time from the date of diagnosis to the date of first progression, to date of death from any cause, or to date of the last contact, whichever occurred first. OS was defined as time from the date of diagnosis to death from any cause or last contact. SEs are expressed as plus/minus values. All univariable analyses were performed exploratively.

In three of five national groups, the process of central pathology review did not distinguish DNMB from MBEN. At the time, MBEN was not listed as a distinct variant in the WHO classification,³¹ but MBENs would have been included among DNMBs because of their abundant nodules and internodular desmoplasia. In the present study, MBENs and DNMBs were consequently evaluated together in univariable and multivariable analyses.

In multivariable analysis, Cox regression models with forward stepwise selection (inclusion criterion: score test, $P \le .05$; exclusion criterion: likelihood ratio test, $P \ge .10$) were used to analyze the possible impact of the following variables: time from surgery to the date of analysis (continuous), national study group (treatment according the respective protocols from France, Germany, Italy, United Kingdom, and United States), histology (CMB, DNMB/MBEN, or large-cell/anaplastic [LC/A] medulloblastoma), age (continuous), metastatic disease (M0, M1, or M2/3), residual tumor (R0 or R+), sex, localization (midline or hemispheres), and clinical risk group (M0R0, M0R+, or M+). For Cox regression, *P* values of the likelihood ratio test, hazard ratios, and 95% CIs of the independent risk factors are given.

RESULTS

Patient Characteristics

The median age of 260 eligible patients was 1.89 years (range, 0.17 to 4.97 years), and 185 children had localized disease (71% M0 stage). Among these patients, 105 children had gross total tumor resection (40% M0/R0), and 80 children had postoperative residual tumor (31% M0/R+). The remaining 75 children had metastatic disease at diagnosis (29% M+); 20 children had dissemination of tumor cells

	Table 1.	Patient Demograp	hics and Cli	nical Characteristi	CS			
		CMB (n = 145)		DNMB/MBEN (n = 108)		LC/A MB (n = 7)		
Characteristic	No. of Patients	No. of Patients	%	No. of Patients	%	No. of Patients	%	$P\left(\chi^2 ight)$
Study group								
France	74	41	55	33	45	0		
Germany	72	43	60	29*	40	0		
Italy	55	34	62	19†	36	2	4	
United States	31	21	68	10	32	0		
Great Britain	28	6	21	17	61	5	18	
Male		91	63	62	57	3	43	.445
Median age, years		1.93		1.80		2.56	;	
Central histopathologic reference		125	86	107	99	7	100	.001
Tumor localization								.001
Midline		124	86	69	64	5	71	
Hemispheres		21	14	36	33	2	29	
Unknown		0		3	3	0		
Staging								.001
M0		92	63	90	83	3	43	
M+		53	37	18	17	4	57	
M1		14		6		0		
M2		15		2		1		
M3		24		10		3		
Gross total resection		64	44	70	65	3	43	.004

Abbreviations: CMB, classic medulloblastoma; DNMB, desmoplastic/nodular medulloblastoma; MBEN, medulloblastoma with extensive nodularity; LC/A MB, large-cell/anaplastic medulloblastoma; M0, no metastases; M+, metastatic disease.

* Twelve patients (17%) had MBEN.

† Nine patients (16%) had MBEN.

into the CSF (8% M1), 18 children had macroscopic intracranial metastases (7% M2), and 37 children had macroscopic spinal metastases (14% M3). CMB was diagnosed in 145 children (56%). DNMB/ MBEN was diagnosed in 108 patients (41%). In two national groups, 21 MBENs (of 108 DNMBs/MBENs) were described separately. Seven children (3%) had LC/A medulloblastoma. Detailed patient characteristics are listed in Table 1.

Desmoplasia and Localization, M Stage, and Extent of Resection

Tumor localization was midline in 198 children (76%), cerebellar hemispheric in 59 children (23%), and unknown in three children (1%). Compared with CMB (hemispheric, n = 21; midline, n = 124), primary tumors were more frequently located in the hemispheres in DNMB/MBEN (hemispheric, n = 36; midline, n = 69; $\chi^2 P.001$).

Children with DNMB/MBEN had lower M stages (M0, n = 90; M+, n = 18) compared with children with CMB (M0, n = 92; M+, n = 53; $\chi^2 P = .001$). Gross total tumor resection was achieved in 70 of 108 children with DNMB/MBEN and in 64 of 145 children with CMB ($\chi^2 P = .001$, Table 1).

Survival Rates and Univariable Analyses

The median follow-up time of survivors was 8 years (range, 1.24 to 16.25 years). The estimated 8-year EFS and OS rates for all 260 children were $39\% \pm 3\%$ and $56\% \pm 3\%$, respectively. The 8-year EFS and OS rates of 185 patients without metastases were $42\% \pm 4\%$ and $65\% \pm 4\%$, respectively, and among these children, survival differences between groups with complete or incomplete surgical resection were high (8-year EFS: $54\% \pm 5\%$ in 105 chil-

dren with M0R0 ν 27% ± 5% in 80 children with M0R+; P < .001; 8-year OS: 77% ± 4% ν 50% ± 6%, respectively; P < .001). Survival rates were lower in 20 children with M1 stage (EFS, 35% ± 11%; OS, 40% ± 11%; Fig 1) and 55 children with M2/M3 stage (EFS, 26% ± 7%, P = .014; OS, 27% ± 7%, P < .001).

Differences in survival rates according to histologic subtypes were observed. Children with DNMB/MBEN had the most favorable survival rates (8-year EFS, 55% \pm 5%; 8-year OS, 76% \pm 4%), followed by children with CMB (8-year EFS, 27% \pm 4%; 8-year OS, 42% \pm 4%) and children with LC/A medulloblastoma (7.5-year EFS, 14% \pm 13%; 7.5-year OS, 14% \pm 13%; OS/EFS: *P* < .001; Fig 1). Eight-year EFS and OS rates of 21 children who had a diagnosis of MBEN were 86% \pm 8% and 95% \pm 5%, respectively.

In nonmetastatic disease, survival rates of 90 children with DNMB/MBEN were higher compared with 92 children with CMB (8-year EFS: $54\% \pm 5\% \nu 32\% \pm 5\%$, respectively; *P* = .001; 8-year OS: 78% \pm 4% v 54% \pm 5%, respectively; P < .001). Difference between histologies remained in the subgroup of children with nonmetastatic disease without postoperative residual tumor. Children with DNMB/MBEN (n = 60) had higher survival rates than children with CMB (n = 44; 8-year EFS: $63\% \pm 6\% \nu 42\% \pm 8\%$, respectively; P = .019; 8-year OS: 85% \pm 5% ν 67% \pm 7%, respectively; P = .017). For children with nonmetastatic disease and incomplete tumor resection, 8-year EFS rates were $37\% \pm 9\%$ in 30 children with DNMB/ MBEN and 24% \pm 6% in 48 children with CMB (P = .118; 8-year OS: $66\% \pm 9\% v 42\% \pm 7\%$, respectively; P = .045). In addition, in children with metastatic disease, outcome rates for children with DNMB/MBEN (n = 18) were higher than in children with CMB (n = 53; 8-year EFS: $56\% \pm 12\% \nu 19\% \pm 6\%$, respectively; P = .003;



Fig 1. (A) Event-free survival (EFS) and (B) overall survival (OS) according to staging (M0 v M1 v M2/3). (C) EFS and (D) OS according to histologic subtype (desmoplastic/nodular medulloblastoma [DNMB]/medulloblastoma with extensive nodularity [MBEN] v classic medulloblastoma [CMB] v large-cell/anaplastic medulloblastoma [LC/A MB]).

8-year OS: $66\% \pm 12\% \nu 19\% \pm 7\%$, respectively; P = .001; Fig 2). Hemispheric tumor location was related to better outcome than midline location by univariable analysis (8-year EFS: $58\% \pm 6\% \nu 33\% \pm$ 3%, respectively; P = .003; 8-year OS: $71\% \pm 6\% \nu 51\% \pm 4\%$, respectively; P = .012). Survival rates of different subgroups are listed in Table 2.

Multivariable Analysis

The respective histologic subtypes (DNMB/MBEN, CMB, and LC/A medulloblastoma), the combination of extent of resection and metastases (M+ ν M0R1 ν M0R0), and national study group were identified as independent risk factors for EFS and OS (Table 3). Tumor localization (midline ν hemispheric) did not reach statistical significance. A summary of adjusted hazard ratios for OS in the different subgroups is given in Table 4.

DISCUSSION

The present meta-analysis represents the largest series of young children with medulloblastoma treated without initial radiotherapy reported so far. Our data demonstrate that distinct histologic entities arise in young children with different clinical behavior implicating important clinical and therapeutic consequences. In our large cohort of 260 young children with substantial follow-up, patients with DNMB variants have a markedly better clinical outcome compared with patients with CMB and LC/A medulloblastoma. Our data confirm previous observations from smaller series where DNMB accounted for more than 40% of all early childhood medulloblastoma.14,23,25 DNMB and MBEN have also been linked to better survival in a large retrospective series.²¹ Here, we have observed high survival rates for DNMB variants of early childhood irrespective of diverse therapeutic strategies that have been applied by the different national groups aiming to avoid or defer craniospinal radiotherapy and irrespective of differences with regard to time of diagnosis, postoperative residual tumor assessment and staging definitions, and histopathologic assessments. Our results indicate that histology is an independent prognostic factor rather than a merely predictive factor that may only be relevant within the context of a specific therapeutic regimen. This further supports a different underlying biology of the medulloblastoma entities. In addition, survival rates of young children with DNMB/MBEN and metastatic disease were as favorable as for children with nonmetastatic DNMB/MBEN in our series (8-year EFS, $56\% \pm 12\% \nu 54\% \pm 5\%$, respectively), suggesting that the presence of this histology confers a significantly better outcome irrespective of traditional adverse clinical features such as metastatic status. The histopathologic classification of our series of patients may be compromised by the fact that some medulloblastoma variants may not have been classified according to current standards. Within the DNMB/

4 © 2010 by American Society of Clinical Oncology

JOURNAL OF CLINICAL ONCOLOGY



Fig 2. Event-free survival (EFS) and overall survival (OS) of patients with desmoplastic/nodular medulloblastoma (DNMB)/medulloblastoma with extensive nodularity (MBEN) versus classic medulloblastoma (CMB) within the clinical risk groups (A and B) M0R0, (C and D) M0R+, and (E and F) M+.

MBEN group, differentiation between DNMB and MBEN was not performed in all patients, which is reasonable because the MBEN variant was not listed in the WHO classification as a distinct entity at the time of histopathologic review.³¹ Furthermore, LC/A medulloblastomas might be included in the CMB subgroup, and it is also possible that a few atypical teratoid/rhabdoid tumors, not recognized at the time of evaluation, are also included in the CMB subgroup. However, these limitations might strengthen the significance of our results even more.

Because MBENs were only specifically diagnosed in two national groups, we could not analyze this subgroup separately. However,

within these two groups, outcome for 21 children with MBEN was excellent (8-year EFS and OS, 86% and 95%, respectively), and this subgroup might have an even more favorable prognosis than DNMB. Previous data also indicated a prognostic advantage of MBEN sub-type.^{24,25,32} This finding awaits prospective evaluation.

The presence of severe anaplasia or large-cell subtype of medulloblastoma has been shown to be predictive of survival in medulloblastoma.^{21,33-35} Despite the changing histopathologic definitions over the time during which the patients of our pooled data set were diagnosed, this finding is confirmed by our results. The hazard ratios for OS differed almost 100-fold, ranging from 0.14 for localized

Rutkowski et al

Table 2. EFS and OS According to Histology and Staging: Univariable Analyses in 260 Patients								
			8-Year EFS		8-Year OS			
Histology and Staging Groups	Patients	Rate (%)	SE (%)	Р	Rate (%)	SE (%)	Р	
Metastases								
MO	185	42	4	.014	65	4	< .001	
M1	20	35	11		40	11		
M2/M3	55	26	7		27	7		
Histology								
CMB	145	27	4	< .001	42	4	< .001	
DNMB	87	47	5		72	5		
MBEN	21	86	8		95	5		
LC/A MB	7	14*	13		14*	13		
M0 and residual tumor								
MORO	105	54	5	< .001	77	4	< .001	
M0R+	80	27	5		50	6		
M0 and histology								
CMB M0	92	32	5	.001	54	5	< .001	
DNMB/MBEN MO	90	54	5		78	4		
M0R0 and histology								
CMB MORO	44	42	8	.019	67	7	.017	
DNMB/MBEN MORO	60	63	6		85	5		
MOR+ and histology								
CMB MOR+	48	24	6	.118†	42	7	.045	
DNMB/MBEN MOR+	30	37	9		66	9		
M+ and histology								
CMB M+	53	19	6	.003	19	7	.001	
DNMB/MBEN M+	18	56	12		66	12		
Location								
Hemisphere	59	58	6	.003	71	6	.012	
Midline	198	33	3		51	4		

Abbreviations: EFS, event-free survival; OS, overall survival; CMB, classic medulloblastoma; DNMB, desmoplastic/nodular medulloblastoma; MBEN, medulloblastoma with extensive nodularity; LC/A MB, large-cell/anaplastic medulloblastoma; R0, no postoperative residual tumor; R+, postoperative residual tumor; M+, presence of metastases.

*EFS and OS rates are 7.5-year rates.

†Not significant.

DNMB in the Head Start series to 13.7 for metastatic LC/A medulloblastoma in the United Kingdom protocol. Our data strongly support the latest WHO classification of tumors of the CNS, where CMB, DNMB, MBEN, and LC/A medulloblastoma have been listed as separate entities.²⁶ At the biologic level, the DNMB variants and CMB have been shown to cluster differently in hierarchical analysis of gene expression profiling.³⁶ The current understanding is that the different medulloblastoma variants originate from different precursor cell populations forming the normal cerebellum, and the cell signaling pathways that

Table 3. Multivariable Analyses of Prognostic Factors (forward stepwise selection; $n = 260$) for EFS and OS								
		EFS		OS				
Parameter	HR	95% CI	Р	HR	95% CI	Р		
Histology								
DNMB/MBEN (n = 108) v CMB (n = 145)	0.44	0.31 to 0.64	< .001	0.29	0.18 to 0.47	< .001		
LC/A MB (n = 7) v CMB (n = 145)	2.27	0.95 to 5.45		2.30	0.95 to 5.59			
Metastases/residual tumor								
MOR1 (n = 80) v MOR0 (n = 105)	1.86	1.29 to 2.80	< .001	1.92	1.16 to 3.19	< .001		
M+ (n = 75) v M0R0 (n = 105)	2.28	1.50 to 3.46		3.40	2.05 to 5.65			
Study group								
G (n = 72) v F (n = 74)	0.36	0.28 to 0.56	< .001	0.64	0.38 to 1.08	.009		
US (n = 31) $v F$ (n = 74)	0.31	0.17 to 0.56		0.47	0.23 to 0.97			
I (n = 55) v F (n = 74)	0.45	0.29 to 0.72		0.74	0.42 to 1.30			
UK (n = 28) v F (n = 74)	0.79	0.46 to 1.36		1.75	0.95 to 3.25			

Abbreviations: EFS, event-free survival; OS, overall survival; HR, hazard ratio; DNMB, desmoplastic/nodular medulloblastoma; MBEN, medulloblastoma with extensive nodularity; CMB, classic medulloblastoma; LC/A MB, large-cell/anaplastic medulloblastoma; G, Germany; F, France; US, United States; I, Italy; UK, United Kingdom.

6 © 2010 by American Society of Clinical Oncology

JOURNAL OF CLINICAL ONCOLOGY

				Table 4. C	omparison of HRs					
					HR					
	MO									
								M+		
Country	CMB	DNMB/MBEN	LC/A	CMB	DNMB/MBEN	LC/A	CMB	DNMB/MBEN	LC/A	
F	1	0.29	2.30	1.92	0.56	4.42	3.40	0.99	7.82	
G	0.64	0.19	1.47	1.23	0.36	2.83	2.18	0.63	5.01	
US	0.47	0.14	1.08	0.90	0.26	2.08	1.60	0.46	3.68	
I.	0.74	0.21	1.70	1.42	0.41	3.27	2.52	0.73	5.79	
UK	1.75	0.51	4.03	3.36	0.97	7.23	6.00	1.73	13.69	

NOTE. HRs are for overall survival. Children with CMB, nonmetastatic disease, and complete tumor resection who were treated in France were defined as the reference patients (HR = 1).

Abbreviations: HR, hazard ratio; CMB, classic medulloblastoma; DNMB, desmoplastic/nodular medulloblastoma; MBEN, medulloblastoma with extensive nodularity; LC/A MB, large-cell/anaplastic medulloblastoma; F, France; G, Germany; US, United States; I, Italy; UK, United Kingdom.

regulate the normal development of the cerebellum are involved in the evolution of the different medulloblastoma variants.37,38 Desmoplastic medulloblastomas are believed to originate from the external granule layer by pathologic activation of the sonic hedgehog pathway with mutations of its components PTCH1, SMOH, or SUFUH.³⁸⁻⁴⁰ Preclinical murine studies on PTC-related tumors have demonstrated antitumoral effects of specific inhibitors of the sonic hedgehog pathway, which may lead to the development of targeted therapies for patients suffering from DNMB/MBEN.41,42 An appreciation of the molecular phenotype of childhood medulloblastoma and other cancers may be a prerequisite for treatment selection and stratification. By molecular parameters, medulloblastoma can be separated at least into four groups with differences in signaling pathway activation, age, histology, and clinical outcome.^{36,43-45} Our data also confirm the prognostic impact of the extent of tumor resection in combination with M stage on OS and EFS. Therefore, maximal safe surgery at diagnosis without putting the patient unnecessarily at an increased risk for postoperative neurologic deficits is justified.

The present analysis was not undertaken to compare the different treatment regimens applied by the involved study groups. Differences in the respective strategies to defer or avoid craniospinal radiotherapy and the resulting survival rates have to be balanced against the acute and long-term toxicities and the neuropsychological outcome of survivors. However, standardized assessment tools to compare late effects between patients from different study groups have not been validated so far. Differences in chosen drugs, drug combinations, doses (eg, conventional doses, high-dose chemotherapy) and dose densities (number and interval of chemotherapy cycles), application routes (eg, systemic, intraventricular), and radiotherapeutic strategies may explain differences in survival rates and late effects between the different study groups and cannot be separated from each other retrospectively. In addition, possible differences in patient referral and selection criteria (eg, different age limits) do not allow reliable conclusions from a comparison of survival rates between the different study groups. Therefore, we have only described the survival differences between the involved national study groups, and the Cox regression analyses were adjusted accordingly. Ideally, comparisons of different therapeutic strategies should be undertaken prospectively. However, our data indicate that controlled de-escalation of treatment strategies without radiotherapy may be appropriate for young children with DNMB. By contrast, given the relatively low survival rates in children with CMB and LC/A medulloblastoma, treatment intensifications with or without reintroduction of local or age-adapted craniospinal radiotherapy may be required in these subgroups.

In conclusion, our study confirms that DNMB variants account for a significant portion of young children with medulloblastoma and that this histology is a strong independent favorable prognostic factor, even for young children with metastatic disease. Our results provide evidence for the first treatment stratification concept in a pediatric brain tumor not only built on clinical risk factors, but also on histopathology. Young children with centrally confirmed DNMB may be candidates for controlled and stepwise de-escalation of treatment regimen and for the use of biologybased therapy within prospective trials. Stratification according to histologic variants is currently investigated prospectively in two ongoing trials for young children with medulloblastoma^{46,47} and should be considered in the planning of future treatment strategies for this group of patients.

AUTHORS' DISCLOSURES OF POTENTIAL CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The author(s) indicated no potential conflicts of interest.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conception and design: Stefan Rutkowski, Katja von Hoff, Richard G. Grundy, Jonathan L. Finlay, Jacques Grill

Administrative support: Stefan Rutkowski

Provision of study materials or patients: Stefan Rutkowski, Katja von Hoff, Torsten Pietsch, Dominique Figarella-Branger, Felice Giangaspero, Maria-Luisa Garre, Veronica Biassoni, Richard G. Grundy, Jonathan L. Finlay, Jacques Grill

Collection and assembly of data: Stefan Rutkowski, Katja von Hoff, Isabella Zwiener, Torsten Pietsch, Dominique Figarella-Branger, David W. Ellison, Maria-Luisa Garre, Richard G. Grundy, Jonathan L. Finlay, Girish Dhall, Marie-Anne Raquin, Jacques Grill

Data analysis and interpretation: Stefan Rutkowski, Katja von Hoff, Angela Emser, Isabella Zwiener, Torsten Pietsch, Dominique Figarella-Branger, Felice Giangaspero, David W. Ellison, Richard G. Grundy, Girish Dhall

Manuscript writing: All authors

Final approval of manuscript: All authors

Rutkowski et al

REFERENCES

1. Rickert CH, Paulus W: Epidemiology of central nervous system tumors in childhood and adolescence based on the new WHO classification. Childs Nerv Syst 17:503-511, 2001

2. Zeltzer PM, Boyett JM, Finlay JL, et al: Metastasis stage, adjuvant treatment, and residual tumor are prognostic factors for medulloblastoma in children: Conclusions from the Children's Cancer Group 921 randomized phase III study. J Clin Oncol 17:832-845, 1999

3. Kellie SJ: Chemotherapy of central nervous system tumours in infants. Childs Nerv Syst 15:592-612, 1999

4. Packer RJ, Rood BR, MacDonald TJ: Medulloblastoma: Present concepts of stratification into risk groups. Pediatr Neurosurg 39:60-67, 2003

5. Mulhern RK, Horowitz ME, Kovnar EH, et al: Neurodevelopmental status of infants and young children treated for brain tumors with preirradiation chemotherapy. J Clin Oncol 7:1660-1666, 1989

6. Jenkin D, Danjoux C, Greenberg M: Subsequent quality of life for children irradiated for a brain tumor before age four years. Med Pediatr Oncol 31:506-511, 1998

7. Kiltie AE, Lashford LS, Gattamaneni HR: Survival and late effects in medulloblastoma patients treated with craniospinal irradiation under three years old. Med Pediatr Oncol 28:348-354, 1997

8. Palmer SL, Gajjar A, Reddick WE, et al: Predicting intellectual outcome among children treated with 35-40 Gy craniospinal irradiation for medulloblastoma. Neuropsychology 17:548-555, 2003

9. Kieffer-Renaux V, Viguier D, Raquin MA, et al: Therapeutic schedules influence the pattern of intellectual decline after irradiation of posterior fossa tumors. Pediatr Blood Cancer 45:814-819, 2005

10. Duffner PK, Horowitz ME, Krischer JP, et al: Postoperative chemotherapy and delayed radiation in children less than three years of age with malignant brain tumors. N Engl J Med 328:1725-1731, 1993

11. Geyer JR, Zeltzer PM, Boyett JM, et al: Survival of infants with primitive neuroectodermal tumors or malignant ependymomas of the CNS treated with eight drugs in 1 day: A report from the Children's Cancer Group. J Clin Oncol 12:1607-1615, 1994

12. White L, Kellie S, Gray E, et al: Postoperative chemotherapy in children less than 4 years of age with malignant brain tumors: Promising initial response to a VETOPEC-based regimen—A study of the Australian and New Zealand Children's Cancer Study Group (ANZCCSG). J Pediatr Hematol Oncol 20:125-130, 1998

13. Ater JL, van Eys J, Woo SY, et al: MOPP chemotherapy without irradiation as primary post-surgical therapy for brain tumors in infants and young children. J Neurooncol 32:243-252, 1997

 Rutkowski S, Bode U, Deinlein F, et al: Treatment of early childhood medulloblastoma by postoperative chemotherapy alone. N Engl J Med 352: 978-986, 2005

15. Geyer JR, Sposto R, Jennings M, et al: Multiagent chemotherapy and deferred radiotherapy in infants with malignant brain tumors: A report from the Children's Cancer Group. J Clin Oncol 23:7621-7631, 2005 **16.** Grill J, Sainte-Rose C, Jouvet A, et al: Treatment of medulloblastoma with postoperative chemotherapy alone: An SFOP prospective trial in young children. Lancet Oncol 6:573-580, 2005

17. Chi SN, Gardner SL, Levy AS, et al: Feasibility and response to induction chemotherapy intensified with high-dose methotrexate for young children with newly diagnosed high-risk disseminated medulloblastoma. J Clin Oncol 22:4881-4887, 2004

18. Dhall G, Grodman H, Ji L, et al: Outcome of children less than three years old at diagnosis with non-metastatic medulloblastoma treated with chemotherapy on the "Head Start" I and II protocols. Pediatr Blood Cancer 50:1169-1175, 2008

19. Rutkowski S: Current treatment approaches to early childhood medulloblastoma. Expert Rev Neurother 6:1211-1221, 2006

20. McManamy CS, Pears J, Weston CL, et al: Nodule formation and desmoplasia in medulloblastomasdefining the nodular/desmoplastic variant and its biological behavior. Brain Pathol 17:151-164, 2007

21. Eberhart CG, Kepner JL, Goldthwaite PT, et al: Histopathologic grading of medulloblastomas: A Pediatric Oncology Group study. Cancer 94:552-560, 2002

22. Giordana MT, Schiffer P, Lanotte M, et al: Epidemiology of adult medulloblastoma. Int J Cancer 80:689-692, 1999

23. Rutkowski S, Gerber NU, von Hoff K, et al: Treatment of early childhood medulloblastoma by postoperative chemotherapy and deferred radiotherapy. Neuro Oncol 11:201-210, 2009

24. Giangaspero F, Perilongo G, Fondelli MP, et al: Medulloblastoma with extensive nodularity: A variant with favorable prognosis. J Neurosurg 91: 971-977, 1999

25. Garrè ML, Cama A, Bagnasco F, et al: Medulloblastoma variants: Age-dependent occurrence and relation to Gorlin syndrome—A new clinical perspective. Clin Cancer Res 15:2463-2471, 2009

26. Louis DN, Ohgaki H, Wiestler O, et al: WHO Classification of Tumours of the Central Nervous System. Lyon, France, International Agency for Research on Cancer Press, 2007

27. Ridola V, Grill J, Doz F, et al: High-dose chemotherapy with autologous stem cell rescue followed by posterior fossa irradiation for local medulloblastoma recurrence or progression after conventional chemotherapy. Cancer 110:156-163, 2007

28. Mason WP, Grovas A, Halpern S, et al: Intensive chemotherapy and bone marrow rescue for young children with newly diagnosed malignant brain tumors. J Clin Oncol 16:210-221, 1998

29. Grundy RG, Wilne SH, Robinson KJ, et al: Primary postoperative chemotherapy without radiotherapy for treatment of brain tumours other than ependymoma in children under 3 years: Results of the first UKCCSG/SIOP CNS 9204 trial. Eur J Cancer 46:120-133, 2010

30. Chang CH, Housepian EM, Herbert C Jr: An operative staging system and a megavoltage radio-therapeutic technic for cerebellar medulloblastomas. Radiology 93:1351-1359, 1969

31. Kleihues P, Cavenee WK: World Health Organisation Classification of Tumors: Pathology and Genetics of Tumors of the Nervous System. Lyon, France, International Agency for Research on Cancer Press, 2000

32. Perry A: Medulloblastomas with favorable versus unfavorable histology: How many small blue

cell tumor types are there in the brain? Adv Anat Pathol 9:345-350, 2002

33. McManamy CS, Lamont JM, Taylor RE, et al: Morphophenotypic variation predicts clinical behavior in childhood non-desmoplastic medulloblastomas. J Neuropathol Exp Neurol 62:627-632, 2003

34. Giangaspero F, Wellek S, Masuoka J, et al: Stratification of medulloblastoma on the basis of histopathological grading. Acta Neuropathol 112:5-12, 2006

35. von Hoff K, Hartmann W, von Bueren AO, et al: Large cell/anaplastic medulloblastoma: Outcome according to myc status, histopathological, and clinical risk factors. Pediatr Blood Cancer 54:369-376, 2010

36. Pomeroy SL, Tamayo P, Gaasenbeek M, et al: Prediction of central nervous system embryonal tumour outcome based on gene expression. Nature 415:436-442, 2002

37. Gilbertson RJ, Ellison DW: The origins of medulloblastoma subtypes. Annu Rev Pathol 3:341-365, 2008

38. Bühren J, Christoph AH, Buslei R, et al: Expression of the neurotrophin receptor p75NTR in medulloblastomas is correlated with distinct histological and clinical features: Evidence for a medulloblastoma subtype derived from the external granule cell layer. J Neuropathol Exp Neurol 59:229-240, 2000

39. Pietsch T, Waha A, Koch A, et al: Medulloblastomas of the desmoplastic variant carry mutations of the human homologue of Drosophila patched. Cancer Res 57:2085-2088, 1997

40. Taylor MD, Liu L, Raffel C, et al: Mutations in SUFU predispose to medulloblastoma. Nat Genet 31:306-310, 2002

41. Romer JT, Kimura H, Magdaleno S, et al: Suppression of the Shh pathway using a small molecule inhibitor eliminates medulloblastoma in Ptc1(+/-)p53(-/-) mice. Cancer Cell 6:229-240, 2004

42. Sasai K, Romer JT, Kimura H, et al: Medulloblastomas derived from Cxcr6 mutant mice respond to treatment with a smoothened inhibitor. Cancer Res 67:3871-3877, 2007

43. Kool M, Koster J, Bunt J, et al: Integrated genomics identifies five medulloblastoma subtypes with distinct genetic profiles, pathway signatures and clinicopathological features. PLoS One 3:e3088, 2008

44. Thompson MC, Fuller C, Hogg TL, et al: Genomics identifies medulloblastoma subgroups that are enriched for specific genetic alterations.J Clin Oncol 24:1924-1931, 2006

45. Northcott PA, Korshunov A, Witt H, et al: Medulloblastoma comprises four distinct molecular variants. J Clin Oncol [epub ahead of print on September 7, 2010]

46. Rutkowski S: Radiation therapy and combination chemotherapy in treating young patients with medulloblastoma, supratentorial primitive neuroectodermal tumor, or ependymoma. http://www.clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT00303810?term=NCT00303810&rank=1

47. Gajjar A: Combination chemotherapy and radiation therapy in treating young patients with newly diagnosed central nervous system tumors. http://www.clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT00602667?term=NCT00602667 &rank=1

8 © 2010 by American Society of Clinical Oncology

JOURNAL OF CLINICAL ONCOLOGY

Early Childhood Medulloblastoma

Acknowledgment

We thank the following institutions for their respective support: Deutsche Kinderkrebsstiftung (pediatric brain tumor trial office HIT, Germany), Enfants et Sante (pathology review, France), Italian Association for Cancer Research (Italian trials), Cancer Research United Kingdom (Children's Cancer and Leukaemia Group, United Kingdom), and Samantha Dickson Brain Tumor Trust (United Kingdom trial).

Appendix

		Table A1. Eliç	gibility Criteria and Treatment O	verview of Prospective Trials o	of Analyzed Patients		
Study Group	No. of Patients	Age Range (months)	Chemotherapy	High-Dose Chemotherapy With ASCT	Radiotherapy		
France ^{16,27}	74	0-60	Systemic chemotherapy	At relapse only	In case of relapse: 54 Gy PF; in case of metastatic relapse: + CSI 18-24 Gy		
Germany, SKK 87 ²³	29	0-36	Systemic chemotherapy	No	At 3 years of age: 24-36 Gy CSI, 54 Gy PF		
Germany, SKK 92 ¹⁴	43	0-36	Systemic and intraventricular chemotherapy	No	In case of residual tumor or metastases after 3 chemotherapy cycles: 24 Gy CSI, 54 Gy PF		
Italy	55	0-60	Systemic chemotherapy	Yes, for children diagnosed since 1998	In case of residual tumor or metastases: 23.4 Gy CSI, 54 Gy PF, plus 10- to 15-Gy boost on metastases		
Head Start ^{17,18,28}	31	0-36*	Systemic chemotherapy	Yes	In case of residual tumor at end of induction: 23.4-36 Gy CSI, 54 Gy PF		
United Kingdom ²⁹	28	0-36	Systemic chemotherapy	No	In case of relapse: 25 Gy CSI, 45 Gy tumor bed; since 1996: all children at end of chemotherapy: 45 Gy tumor bed		

Abbreviations: ASCT, autologous stem-cell transplantation; PF, posterior fossa; CSI, craniospinal irradiation; SKK, Therapieprotokoll für Säuglinge und Kleinkinder mit Hirntumoren.

*Inclusion into the respective trials was possible up to the age of 72 months (Head Start I, M+) and 120 months (Head Start II, M+), but only patients age 0 to 36 months were reported within this meta-analysis.