

REVIEW

Antibiotic treatment of Lyme borreliosis: what is the evidence?

R Dinser, M C Jendro, S Schnarr, H Zeidler

Ann Rheum Dis 2005;64:519–523. doi: 10.1136/ard.2004.027367

Antibiotic treatment of all disease manifestations after infection with *Borrelia sensu lato* spp aims at resolving the presenting disease manifestation and preventing late stage disease. The goals are resolution of the presenting manifestation and prevention of the spread of bacteria to prevent late disease like arthritis. Different borrelial species prevail in Europe. The natural disease course of European borreliosis is not well defined and the effect of antibiotic treatment is unclear.

effective,^{7–11} even though the time to resolution of erythema appears to be longer than in America.^{7–9, 12} Unfortunately, no study so far has assessed the natural course of the disease dependent on the borrelial species involved. Although we know that different borrelial species are associated with different clinical presentations,^{12, 13} we do not know how this correlates with outcome.

ARTHRITIS

Arthritis is the most thoroughly investigated late stage manifestation. An American placebo controlled study in 40 patients showed that penicillin led to the resolution of arthritis in 55% of patients, whereas no placebo treated patient improved.¹⁴ The other placebo controlled study included 60 patients with a positive enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) response to *Borrelia burgdorferi* and chronic arthritis like rheumatoid arthritis, psoriatic arthritis, or vasculitis.¹⁵ Treatment with ceftriaxone led to improvement in 48% compared with 10% of untreated patients, irrespective of the form of arthritis. However, the arthritis worsened in 60% of the initial responders after a follow up of 6–18 months.¹⁵ The inclusion of differentiated forms of arthritis impedes the interpretation of this study; the efficacy of ceftriaxone on all forms of chronic arthritis could be viewed as a non-specific anti-inflammatory effect.

In analogy with *Treponema pallidum* infections, all disease manifestations after infection with *Borrelia* spp are treated with antibiotics (table 1). The goals are resolution of the presenting disease manifestation and prevention of the spread of bacteria which might cause later stage disease, arthritis being one of the most severe late manifestations. Most studies in favour of this concept have been performed in the United States of America, where only one borrelial genospecies pathogenic for humans (*Borrelia burgdorferi sensu stricto*) is endemic. Are there sufficient data to support this therapeutic concept in a European context, where different strains prevail?

ERYTHEMA MIGRANS

Studies from America suggest that early disease manifestations like erythema migrans respond to antibiotic treatment. To our knowledge, only one placebo controlled non-randomised trial has been performed, which proved that penicillin shortens the duration of erythema migrans from a median of 10 to 3 days. However, even in the control group, all skin lesions disappeared within 6 weeks.¹ Further trials compared different antibiotic regimens and did not observe relevant differences in outcome between short and long courses of doxycycline, amoxicillin/probenecid, cefuroxime or ceftriaxone,^{2–4} except that a short course of azithromycin appeared to be less effective than a long course of amoxicillin.⁵ The median time to response was comparable to the first trial.

No placebo controlled or systematic longitudinal studies of untreated patients have been carried out in Europe. An early observational study suggests that the clinical evolution of untreated erythema migrans in Europe is probably similar to the one described in America.⁶ European studies only support the conclusion that different antibiotic regimens are equally

“The outcome of Lyme borreliosis may depend on the borrelial species causing the infection”

Two small American studies compared different antibiotic regimens—the first, penicillin with ceftriaxone,¹⁶ the second, doxycycline with amoxicillin/probenecid.¹⁷ Of the 16 patients with arthritis in the first study,¹⁶ half had been treated with oral tetracycline or penicillin previously. A subsequent study reported in the same publication¹⁶ compared two doses of ceftriaxone in 23 patients, of whom two thirds had been treated previously. Three of nine penicillin treated patients improved, whereas arthritis resolved in 27/30 patients in both ceftriaxone arms within 6 months. Both regimens of the second study¹⁷ led to a resolution of attacks of intermittent arthritis in 90% of 40 patients within 3 months.

A substudy¹⁷ analysed the effect of ceftriaxone in 16 patients with continuous arthritis refractory to previous antibiotic regimens, including intravenous penicillin. No patient responded within the first 3 months, but arthritis resolved

See end of article for authors' affiliations

Correspondence to:
Dr R Dinser, Medizinische
Klinik I, Universitätskliniken
des Saarlandes, 66421
Homburg, Germany;
Robert.dinser@
uniklinik-saarland.de

Accepted
27 October 2004

in all patients within 2 years, even though recurrence was noted in three patients. Six patients were synovectomised arthroscopically, with resolution of arthritis within 1 month, suggesting that non-antimicrobial treatments may also be efficacious. The only published report on the natural history of Lyme arthritis in America shows that the disease waxes and wanes over the course of 2–4 years before spontaneous resolution.¹⁸ Thus, while treatment with doxycycline or amoxicillin for 30 days or ceftriaxone for 2 weeks appears to reduce the frequency of attacks of intermittent arthritis in America, the effect of treatment on continuous arthritis remains equivocal. No study assessed the benefit of sequential or prolonged treatment—that is, doxycycline for 60 days followed by ceftriaxone for 2 weeks.¹⁹

In Europe, no placebo controlled trials have been published. A trial on 35 Czech patients with arthritis associated with a positive frequency of attacks of intermittent arthritis in America, the effect of treatment on continuous arthritis remains equivocal. No study assessed the benefit of sequential or prolonged treatment—that is, doxycycline for 60 days followed by ceftriaxone for 2 weeks.¹⁹

In Europe, no placebo controlled trials have been published. A trial on 35 Czech patients with arthritis associated with a positive frequency of attacks of intermittent arthritis in America, the effect of treatment on continuous arthritis remains equivocal. No study assessed the benefit of sequential or prolonged treatment—that is, doxycycline for 60 days followed by ceftriaxone for 2 weeks.¹⁹

2 weeks showed complete resolution of arthritis in 80% of patients at 12 months.²⁰ However, at 36 months, 14/26 evaluable patients again showed signs of arthritis. Another trial comparing penicillin with cefotaxime²¹ in 83 patients with arthritis and positive borrelial ELISA showed response rates of 50–80%, but only 40% of cefotaxime treated patients were free of symptoms 2 years after treatment. Twenty per cent continued to have arthritis, 40% had “partial remission”, presumably meaning persisting arthralgias.²¹ Unfortunately, no interim data were presented.

An observational study in 55 children disclosed an effect of first line treatment with ceftriaxone in 60% of patients, but arthritis was persistent in 20% of patients despite treatment with up to six different antibiotics.²² The authors argue that part of this disappointing result may be due to inappropriate use of intra-articular steroids in a subgroup analysis of nine patients. None of these studies analysed borrelial subspecies. Owing to the absence of placebo control, none took into

Table 1 Antibiotic regimens used in the studies cited in this manuscript

Reference (first author)	Manifestation	Treatment	Dose	Duration (days)	
1 (Steere)	Erythema migrans	Penicillin G IV	0.25 Mio U qod	7–10	
		Erythromycin po	250 mg qod	7–10	
		Tetracycline	250 mg qod	7–10	
2 (Dattwyler)	Erythema migrans	Amoxicillin/probenecid po	500 mg tid	21	
		Doxycycline po	100 mg bid	21	
3 (Nadelman)	Erythema migrans	Cefuroxime po	500 mg tid	14	
		Doxycycline po	100 mg bid	14	
4 (Wormser)	Erythema migrans	Doxycycline po	100 mg bid	10	
		+/- Ceftriaxone IV	2 g od	1	
		Doxycycline po	100 mg bid	20	
5 (Luft)	Erythema migrans	Azithromycin po	500 mg od	7	
		Amoxicillin/probenecid po	500 mg tid	21	
7 (Weber)	Erythema migrans	Ceftriaxone IM	1 g od	5	
		Phenoxymethylpenicillin po	1 Mio U tid	12	
8 (Weber)	Erythema migrans	Azithromycin po	500 mg od	10	
		Penicillin V po	1 Mio U tid	10	
9 (Strle)	Erythema migrans	Azithromycin po	500 mg bid	2	
		Followed by azithromycin po	500 mg od	4	
		Doxycycline	100 mg bid	14	
10 (Barsic)	Erythema migrans	Azithromycin po	500 mg bid	2	
		Followed by azithromycin po	500 mg od	4	
		Doxycycline	100 mg bid	14	
11 (Breier)	Erythema migrans	Minocycline	100 mg bid	21	
		Phenoxymethylpenicillin po	1,5 Mio U tid	21	
14 (Steere)	Arthritis	Benzathine penicillin i.m	1.2 Mio U/week	21	
		Penicillin G IV	3.3 Mio U 6/d	10	
15 (Caperton)	Arthritis	Ceftriaxone IV	2 g od	14	
		Penicillin G IV	4 Mio U 6/d	10	
16 (Dattwyler)	Neuropathy	Ceftriaxone IV	2 g bid	14	
		Encephalopathy	Ceftriaxone IV	2 g od	14
17 (Steere)	Arthritis	Amoxicillin/probenecid po	500 mg q.od	30	
		Doxycycline po	100 mg bid	30	
		Ceftriaxone IV	2 g od	14	
20 (Valesova)	Arthritis	Ceftriaxone IV	2 g od	14	
21 (Hassler)	Arthritis	Penicillin G IV	10 Mega bid	10	
		Neuropathy	Cefotaxime IV	3 g tid	10
22 (Bentas)	Arthritis	Ceftriaxone IV	50 mg/kg od	14	
34 (Hansen)	Meningoradiculitis	Penicillin G IV	3–5 Mio U q.od	10	
35 (Pfister)	Meningoradiculitis	Penicillin G IV	5 Mio U q.od	10	
		Cefotaxime IV	2 g tid	10	
36 (Karlsson)	Neuropathy	Penicillin G IV	3 g qod	14	
		Meningoradiculitis	Doxycycline po	200 mg od	14
37 (Logigian)	Neuropathy	Ceftriaxone IV	2 g od	14	
		Encephalitis			
38 (Kindstrand)	Neuropathy	Benzylpenicillin IV		14	
		Acrodermatitis	Followed by doxycycline od	200 mg od	14
			Cefuroxime IV followed by		14
			doxycycline po	200 mg od	14
39 (Klempner)	Encephalopathy chronic	Doxycycline po	200 mg od	21	
			Ceftriaxone IV followed by	2 g od	30
			doxycycline po	100 mg bid	60

Treatment modalities are indicated with the respective borrelial disease manifestations.

Table 2 Inclusion criteria and results of treatment trials for Lyme arthritis

Reference (first author)	Inclusion criteria	Disease duration	Response criteria	Treatment	Response rate	Remarks
14 (Steere)	History of erythema, Bell's palsy followed by arthritis within 1 year	Average of 29 and 39 months	Absence of arthritis and no recurrence within 3–12 months	Penicillin v placebo	7/20 0/20	10 Patients were previously treated with antibiotics
15 (Caperton)	Chronic arthritis of different origins positive ELISA	?	Absence of arthritis follow up 1–2 years	Penicillin open label Ceftriaxone v placebo	11/20 19/40 2/20	12 Patients were previously treated with antibiotics Placebo patients were treated later. 27/58 responded in total, 16/27 relapsed later half of patients had other differentiated forms of arthritis
16 (Dattwyler)	Erythema or ELISA and two organ manifestations	Average of 28–35 months	Absence of arthritis after 3 months	Penicillin v ceftriaxone Ceftriaxone 4 g v 2 g	2/7 9/9 11/13 9/10	Half of the patients were previously treated with antibiotics Other antibiotic agents failed in 63% of all ceftriaxone treated patients
17 (Steere)	Arthritis and positive EUSA	Average of 11 months	Resolution of arthritis and no recurrence within 12 months	Doxycycline v amoxicillin Ceftriaxone open label	18/20 18/20 13/16	Intermittent arthritis Chronic arthritis Long duration until response, six patients synovectomised
20 (Valesova)	Arthritis and positive EUSA and western blot	?	Intensity of arthritis none/mild/moderate severe follow up for 3 years	Ceftriaxone	27/33 without arthritis at 12 months	At 36 months: 14/26 patients free of arthritis Detection of borrelia by electron microscopy in 11 patients (2/3 in synovia, 7/11 in blood, 1/3 in skin)
21 (Hassler)	Arthritis and positive EUSA/IF ? no other rheumatic disease		Full remission, partial remission follow up for 2 years	Penicillin, cefotaxime	4/34 FR 17/39 FR	No effect 15/34 No effect 7/39 Incomplete definition of response criteria

account possible spontaneous remissions in those apparently responding to treatment. A possible difference in outcome of intermittent or continuous arthritis was not discussed.

Case series suggest that the least common European species *Borrelia burgdorferi* sensu stricto is associated with most cases of arthritis in Europe. However, DNA from all species has been found in synovial fluid.²³⁻²⁴ It is not clear to what extent which borrelial species is responsible for arthritis and whether the prognosis depends on the subspecies. While some studies imply the clearance of borrelial DNA from synovial fluid with antibiotic treatment,²⁵ others have not been able to reproduce this finding.²⁶ One study described the lack of borrelial DNA in inflamed joints in patients resistant to antibiotic treatment,²⁷ raising the question of an auto-immune disease that is only triggered by infection and perhaps is independent of bacterial clearance.²⁸⁻²⁹ To confound matters further, borrelial DNA can be found in the synovial fluid of patients with arthritis, but no other clinical feature of borreliosis and no serological response to borrelial proteins.³⁰ It is difficult to define unambiguous diagnostic criteria for European borrelial arthritis and the spontaneous evolution of the disease has never been characterised.

FURTHER DISEASE MANIFESTATIONS

A detailed discussion of antibiotic treatment in cardiologic and neurological borrelial disease is beyond the scope of this review, but some aspects elaborated above remain pertinent. Although antibiotic treatment for acute isolated facial palsy does not influence its clinical course,³¹⁻³² it is considered to prevent disease spreading.³¹ We are not aware of any randomised trial in the USA or in Europe assessing the magnitude of this effect. Antibiotic treatment is considered the standard of care for cardiac conduction defects.³¹ In the largest case series published so far, conduction defects resolved with antibiotics, aspirin, corticosteroids, or no treatment.³³ Randomised controlled data are lacking.³¹

European trials report an excellent clinical response to several antibiotic regimens in lymphocytic meningoradiculitis and neuropathy.²¹⁻³⁴⁻³⁶ American and European case series describe a beneficial effect of several antibiotic regimens on chronic untreated peripheral neuropathy or encephalitis.³⁷⁻³⁸ The situation appears more complex in a setting of previous treatment for earlier borrelial disease. A large placebo controlled randomised trial in the USA showed that persisting signs of central nervous dysfunction like radicular pain, fatigue, or mnestic difficulties after standard antibiotic treatment of earlier borrelial disease are not influenced by intravenous ceftriaxone followed by a prolonged course of oral doxycycline.³⁹ This again raises the issue of whether the disease may not proceed independently of the presence of borrelial spirochaetes in late stages.

PREVENTION OF DISEASE SPREADING

Antibiotic treatment might prevent later disease manifestations. Early American data suggest that treatment of erythema migrans with penicillin, erythromycin, or tetracycline prevents the development of arthritis in about one third of patients.¹ The same treatment did not influence the incidence of subsequent meningoencephalitis, facial palsy, or cardiac abnormalities compared with untreated controls.¹ No systematic studies have been published since. An American study analysing compliance with antibiotic treatment in 192 children with early Lyme disease did not find any late stage disease, even though no antimicrobial activity was found in urine samples after 1 and 2 weeks of treatment in one third of children.⁴⁰ One European report retrospectively analysing the outcome of patients with erythema migrans in 82 patients did not find convincing evidence for significant late stage disease in 10 untreated and 18 insufficiently treated patients.⁴¹ Similar

findings were described in a large US cohort study.⁴² In a Swedish study, two of 16 patients with erythema migrans refusing treatment developed lymphocytic meningitis, one arthritis.⁴³ An Austrian prevention study did not observe an increased incidence of late stage disease in the one third of patients who did not complete treatment.¹¹ In contrast, a large proportion of borrelial cases in Europe seems to manifest with late stage disease.⁴⁴⁻⁴⁶ Either European borrelial species causing arthritis do not cause erythema migrans, the erythema remains frequently unnoticed, or, as most patients with any manifestation of borreliosis are treated with antibiotics in Europe,⁴⁶ the development of arthritis is independent of a previous treatment for erythema migrans.

In addition to the paucity of data for a preventive effect of antibiotics, epidemiological studies show a discrepancy between the extent of seropositivity and clinical disease manifestations in Europe. The incidence of manifest borreliosis for rural inhabitants in a given area does not appear to be higher than for city dwellers.⁴³ Even though up to 80% of forest workers report tick bites and up to 50% show immunoreactivity to *Borrelia* spp,⁴⁷⁻⁵⁰ the incidence of clinical disease in this population is around 8/1000 irrespective of whether only seropositive or all forest workers are analysed.⁴⁸⁻⁴⁹ Of 16 subjects with seroconversion, only two developed clinical disease.⁴⁹ A high frequency of tick bites and a high rate of transmission of the bacterium is contrasted by the comparatively low rate of clinical disease.⁴⁵⁻⁵⁰⁻⁵³ This suggests that European borrelial infection may often be self limiting. We do not know which patients might be at risk for the development of late stage disease.

CONCLUSION

The most intriguing aspects of antibiotic treatment for borrelial disease in Europe are the absence of placebo controlled trials and the disappointing response rate of late stage manifestations to antibiotic treatment. We lack a clear idea of the natural course of borrelial infection dependent on the species involved and we lack long term prospective data on treatment response taking into account the diversity of possible clinical disease manifestations. We neither know to what extent antibiotic treatment truly prevents later disease manifestations, nor how we should properly define borrelial arthritis and how we should best evaluate a treatment response. For arthritis, no study has tested the current recommendations of prolonged oral and intravenous antibiotic treatment.¹⁹ This lack of data is more than a scientific nuisance as severe side effects are not a rare exception.²¹⁻⁵⁴ Even if the concept of antibiotic treatment with the goal to prevent disease spreading in early stages and to cure later stages is theoretically convincing, clinical experience is often more ambiguous. We should remember that the equally convincing concept of antibiotic treatment in reactive arthritis is not supported by the results of randomised controlled trials.⁵⁵ There is a need for a large European study, in which a careful diagnostic investigation is combined with a long term follow up to test what effect antibiotics might have on the disease course of borrelial infection in Europe. In view of the paucity of convincing data, it might be necessary to include a placebo control (while defining rescue treatment) in order to learn more about the influence of subspecies on the clinical course and to define proper indications for antibiotic treatment.

Authors' affiliations

R Dinser, M C Jendro, Medizinische Klinik I, Universitätsklinik des Saarlandes, 66421 Homburg, Germany
S Schnarr, H Zeidler, Klinik für Rheumatologie, Medizinische Hochschule Hannover, Carl-Neuberg-Straße 1, 30625 Hannover, Germany

REFERENCES

- 1 Steere AC, Malawista SE, Newman JH, Spieler PN, Bartenhagen NH. Antibiotic therapy in Lyme disease. *Ann Intern Med* 1980;**93**:1–8.
- 2 Dattwyler RJ, Volkman DJ, Conaty SM, Platkin SP, Luft BJ. Amoxicillin plus probenecid versus doxycycline for treatment of erythema migrans borreliosis. *Lancet* 1990;**336**:1404–6.
- 3 Nadelman RB, Luger SW, Frank E, Wisniewski M, Collins JJ, Wormser GP. Comparison of cefuroxime axetil and doxycycline in the treatment of early Lyme disease. *Ann Intern Med* 1992;**117**:273–80.
- 4 Wormser GP, Ramanathan R, Nowakowski J, McKenna D, Holmgren D, Visintainer P, et al. Duration of antibiotic therapy for early Lyme disease. *Ann Intern Med* 2003;**138**:697–704.
- 5 Luft BJ, Dattwyler RJ, Johnson RC, Luger SW, Bosler EM, Rahn DW, et al. Azithromycin compared with amoxicillin in the treatment of erythema migrans. *Ann Intern Med* 1996;**124**:785–91.
- 6 Weber K, Neubert U. Clinical features of early erythema migrans disease and related disorders. *Zbl Bakt Hyg [A]* 1986;**263**:209–28.
- 7 Weber K, Preac-Mursic V, Wilske B, Thurmayer R, Neubert U, Scherwitz C. A randomized trial of ceftriaxone versus oral penicillin for the treatment of early European Lyme borreliosis. *Infection* 1990;**18**:91–6.
- 8 Weber K, Wilske B, Preac-Mursic V, Thurmayer R. Azithromycin versus penicillin V for the treatment of early Lyme borreliosis. *Infection* 1993;**21**:367–72.
- 9 Strle F, Preac-Mursic V, Cimperman J, Ruzic E, Maraspin V, Jereb M. Azithromycin versus doxycycline for treatment of erythema migrans: clinical and microbiological findings. *Infection* 1993;**21**:83–8.
- 10 Barsic B, Maretic T, Mojeric L, Strugar J. Comparison of azithromycin and doxycycline in the treatment of erythema migrans. *Infection* 2000;**28**:153–6.
- 11 Breier F, Kunz G, Klade H, Stanek G, Aberer E. Erythema migrans: three weeks treatment for prevention of late Lyme borreliosis. *Infection* 1996;**24**:69–72.
- 12 Strle F, Nadelman RB, Cimperman J, Nowakowski J, Picken RN, Schwartz I, et al. Comparison of culture-confirmed erythema migrans caused by borrelia burgdorferi sensu stricto in New York State and by borrelia afzelii in Slovenia. *Ann Intern Med* 1999;**130**:32–6.
- 13 Balmelli T, Piffaretti JC. Association between different clinical manifestations of Lyme disease and different species of borrelia burgdorferi sensu lato. *Res Microbiol* 1995;**146**:329–40.
- 14 Steere AC, Green J, Schoen RT, Taylor E, Hutchinson GJ, Rahn DW, et al. Successful parenteral penicillin therapy of established Lyme arthritis. *N Engl J Med* 1985;**312**:869–74.
- 15 Caperton EM, Helm-Duthoy KL, Matzke GR, Peterson PK, Johnson RC. Ceftriaxone therapy of chronic inflammatory arthritis. *Arch Intern Med* 1990;**150**:1677–82.
- 16 Dattwyler RJ, Halperin JJ, Volkman DJ, Luft BJ. Treatment of late Lyme borreliosis-randomised comparison of ceftriaxone and penicillin. *Lancet* 1988;**8596**:1191–4.
- 17 Steere AC, Levin RE, Molloy PJ, Kalish RA, Abraham JH, Liu NY, et al. Treatment of Lyme arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 1994;**37**:878–88.
- 18 Steere AC, Schoen RT, Taylor E. The clinical evolution of Lyme arthritis. *Ann Intern Med* 1987;**107**:725–31.
- 19 Steere AC. Lyme disease. *N Engl J Med* 2001;**345**:115–25.
- 20 Valesova H, Mailer J, Havlik J, Hulinska D, Hercogova J. Long-term results in patients with Lyme arthritis following treatment with ceftriaxone. *Infection* 1996;**24**:98–102.
- 21 Hassler D, Zöller L, Haude M, Hufnagel HD, Heinrich F, Sonntag HG. Cefotaxime versus penicillin in the late stage of Lyme disease—prospective randomized therapeutic study. *Infection* 1990;**18**:16–20.
- 22 Bentas W, Karch H, Huppertz HI. Lyme arthritis in children and adolescents: outcome 12 months after initiation of antibiotic therapy. *J Rheumatol* 2000;**27**:2025–30.
- 23 Jaulhac B, Heller R, Limbach FX, Hansmann Y, Lipsker D, Monteil H, et al. Direct molecular typing of borrelia burgdorferi sensu lato species in synovial samples from patients with Lyme arthritis. *J Clin Microbiol* 2000;**38**:1895–900.
- 24 Lünemann JD, Zarmas S, Priem S, Franz J, Zschenderlein R, Aberer E, et al. Rapid typing of borrelia burgdorferi sensu lato species in specimens from patients with different manifestations of Lyme borreliosis. *J Clin Microbiol* 2001;**39**:1130–3.
- 25 Nocton JJ, Dressler F, Rutledge BJ, Rys PN, Persing DH, Steere AC. Detection of borrelia burgdorferi DNA by polymerase chain reaction in synovial fluid from patients with Lyme arthritis. *N Engl J Med* 1994;**330**:229–34.
- 26 Hulinska D, Votycka J, Valesova M. Persistence of borrelia garinii and borrelia afzelii in patients with Lyme arthritis. *Zbl Bakt* 1999;**289**:301–18.
- 27 Carlson D, Hernandez J, Bloom BJ, Coburn J, Aversa JM, Steere AC. Lack of borrelia burgdorferi DNA in synovial samples from patients with antibiotic treatment-resistant Lyme arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 1999;**42**:2705–9.
- 28 Brown CR, Reiner SL. Clearance of borrelia burgdorferi may not be required for resistance to experimental Lyme arthritis. *Infect Immun* 1998;**66**:2065–7.
- 29 Steere AC, Glickstein L. Elucidation of Lyme arthritis. *Nature Rev* 2004;**4**:143–52.
- 30 Schnarr S, Putschky N, Jendro MC, Zeidler H, Hammer M, Kuipers JG, et al. Chlamydia and borrelia DNA in synovial fluid of patients with early undifferentiated oligoarthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* 2001;**44**:2679–85.
- 31 Wormser GP, Nadelman RB, Dattwyler RJ, Dennis DT, Shapiro ED, Steere AC, et al. Practice guidelines for the treatment of Lyme disease. *Clin Infect Dis* 2000;**31**(suppl 1):S1–14.
- 32 Clark JR, Carlson RD, Sasaki CT, Pachner AR, Steere AC. Facial paralysis in Lyme disease. *Laryngoscope* 1985;**95**:1341–5.
- 33 Steere AC, Batsford WP, Weinberg M, Alexander J, Berger HJ, Wolfson S, et al. Lyme carditis: cardiac abnormalities of Lyme disease. *Ann Intern Med* 1980;**93**:8–16.
- 34 Hansen K, Lebech AM. The clinical and epidemiological profile of Lyme neuroborreliosis in Denmark 1985–1990. A prospective study on 187 patients with Borrelia burgdorferi specific intrathecal antibody production. *Brain* 1992;**115**:399–423.
- 35 Pfister HW, Preac-Mursic V, Wilske B, Einhaupl KM. Cefotaxime vs penicillin G for acute neurologic manifestations of Lyme borreliosis. A prospective randomised study. *Arch Neurol* 1989;**46**:1190–4.
- 36 Karlsson M, Hammers-Berggren S, Lindquist L, Stiernstedt G, Svenungsson B. *Neurology* 1994;**44**:1203–7.
- 37 Logigian EL, Kaplan RF, Steere AC. Chronic neurologic manifestations of Lyme disease. *N Engl J Med* 1990;**323**:1438–44.
- 38 Kindstrand E, Nilsson BY, Hovmark A, Pirskanen R, Asbrink E. Peripheral neuropathy in acrodermatitis chronica atrophicans—effect of treatment. *Acta Neurol Scand* 2002;**106**:253–7.
- 39 Klempner MS, Hu LT, Evans J, Schmid CH, Johnson GM, Trevino RP, et al. Two controlled trials of antibiotic treatment in patients with persistent symptoms and a history of Lyme disease. *N Engl J Med* 2001;**345**:85–92.
- 40 Gerber MA, Shapiro ED, Burke GS, Parcells VJ, Ball GL. Lyme disease in children in southeastern Connecticut. *N Engl J Med* 1996;**335**:1270–4.
- 41 Plörer A, Sepp N, Schmutzhard E, Krabichler S, Trobos S, Schauer G, et al. Effects of adequate versus inadequate treatment of cutaneous manifestations of Lyme borreliosis on the incidence of late complications and late serologic status. *J Invest Dermatol* 1993;**100**:103–9.
- 42 Seltzer EG, Gerber MA, Cartter ML, Freudigman K, Shapiro, eds. Long-term outcome of persons with Lyme disease. *JAMA* 2000;**283**:609–16.
- 43 Asbrink E, Olsson I, Hovmark A. Erythema chronicum migrans afzelii in Sweden. A study on 231 patients. *Zbl Bakt Hyg (A)* 1986;**263**:229–36.
- 44 Huppertz HI, Karch H, Suschke HJ, Döring E, Ganser G, Thon A, et al. Lyme arthritis in European children and adolescents. *Arthritis Rheum* 1995;**38**:361–8.
- 45 Huppertz HI, Böhme M, Standaert SM, Karch H, Plotkin SA. Incidence of Lyme borreliosis in the Würzburg region of Germany. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 1999;**18**:697–703.
- 46 Priem S, Munkelt K, Franz JK, Schneider U, Werner T, Burmester GR, et al. Epidemiologie und Therapie der Lyme-Arthritis und anderer Manifestationen der Lyme-Borreliose in Deutschland. *Z Rheumatol* 2003;**62**:450–8.
- 47 Münchhoff P, Wilske B, Preac-Mursic V, Schierz G. Antibodies against borrelia burgdorferi in Bavarian forest workers. *Zbl Bakt Hyg [A]* 1987;**263**:412–19.
- 48 Fahrer H, Sauvain MJ, Zhioua E, van Hoecke C, Gern LE. Longterm survey (7 years) in a population at risk for Lyme borreliosis: what happens to the seropositive individuals? *Eur J Epidemiol* 1998;**14**:117–23.
- 49 Zhioua E, Gern L, Aeschlimann A, Sauvain MJ, van der Linden S, Fahrer H. Longitudinal study of Lyme borreliosis in a high risk population in Switzerland. *Parasite* 1998;**5**:383–6.
- 50 Öhme R, Hartelt K, Backe H, Brockmann S, Kimmig P. Foci of tick-borne diseases in southwest Germany. *Int J Med Microbiol*, 2002;**291**(Suppl), 33:22–9.
- 51 Kaiser R, Kern A, Kampa D, Neumann-Hoefelin D. Prevalence of antibodies to borrelia burgdorferi and tick-borne encephalitis virus in an endemic region in southern Germany. *Zbl Bakt* 1997;**286**:534–41.
- 52 Maiwald M, Öhme R, March O, Petney TN, Kimmig P, Naser K, et al. Transmission risk of borrelia burgdorferi sensu lato from ixodes ricinus ticks to humans in southwest Germany. *Epidemiol Infect* 1998;**121**:103–8.
- 53 Rauter C, Öhme R, Diterich I, Engele M, Hartung T. Distribution of clinically relevant borrelia genospecies in ticks assessed by a novel, single-run, real-time PCR. *J Clin Microbiol* 2002;**40**:36–43.
- 54 Reid MC, Schoen TR, Evans J, Rosenberg JC, Horwitz RI. The consequences of overdiagnosis and overtreatment of Lyme disease. *Ann Intern Med* 1998;**128**:354–62.
- 55 Sieper J, Fendler C, Laitko S, Sorensen H, Grippenberg-Lerche C, Hiepe F, et al. No benefit of long-term ciprofloxacin treatment in patients with reactive arthritis and undifferentiated oligoarthritis: a three-month, multicenter, double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled study. *Arthritis Rheum* 1999;**42**:1386–96.