Infectious Diseases Society of America

Re: Review of Lyme Disease Guidelines

Submission by Janet L. H. Sperling M.Sc. Canadian Lyme Disease Foundation

Contact information: 9131-118 street Edmonton, Alberta T6G 1T6 Canada jnfsper@telus.net (780) 432-0714

Issues relating to the 2006 Lyme Disease Guidelines:

I am the mother of a teenaged boy who suffered from undiagnosed Lyme disease, but has subsequently made a complete recovery. As a trained entomologist, I was fortunate in being able to find ways to get my son diagnosed and treated in spite of widespread misunderstandings of the limitations of the current IDSA guidelines within the North American medical community. As a result of my experiences, I am now an active volunteer assisting Lyme sufferers, as well as a board member of the Canadian Lyme Disease Foundation.

I firmly believe that the IDSA guidelines, as they stand, require revision. The current version of the IDSA guidelines is incomplete, and this inadequacy extends across the clinical assessment, treatment and prevention of Lyme disease. Limitations in serological testing are underplayed in the IDSA guidelines, and reference to the cystic form of Lyme is unhelpfully skeptical of the clinical significance of this important form of the disease-causing organism.

The base assumption, in the IDSA guidelines, that current serological testing detects all North American strains of *Borrelia burgdorferi* is unsupported. Diversity of *B. burgdorferi* and its related species in North America is mentioned only in passing and the guidelines stress that only *B. burgdorferi* is pathogenic in North America (page 1096 Wormser *et al* 2006, and continues to be assumed e.g. Craig-Mylius *et al* 2009). This stance downplays *Borrelia lonestari* (page 1098), even though credible researchers such as Burkot *et al* (2001) and Stromdahl *et al* (2003) caution that human disease may result from this variant of the *burgdorferi* 

species complex. There is no mention of the fact that *Borrelia garinii*, the most neurotropic of the European strains, has been documented in North America in both the US and Canada (Smith *et al* 2006). Since the guidelines were written, *Borrelia bissettii* and *B. carolinesis* have been described as new species in the complex (Schneider *et al* 2008, Rudenko *et al* 2009) and *B. bissettii* has been shown to be pathogenic (Schneider *et al* 2008). Even within strain B31 of *B. burgdorferi* itself, nine distinct clonal lineages have been described from a single field site in the northeast US (Bunikis *et al* 2004). Fitness differences have subsequently been demonstrated for some of these different clonal lineages by Hanincova *et al* (2008). Additional research has shown that at least 12 distinct strains coexist in the northeastern USA, based on DNA sequence differences in outer surface protein C (Qiu *et al* 2008). Nowhere do the IDSA guidelines mention that this extensive diversity is not necessarily detected by current serological tests.

In addition to ignoring genetic diversity in B. burgdorferi and related species, chronic infection is considered to be highly implausible. However, Borrelia are clearly capable of persistent infection and such persistence is the norm in mice, rats, hamsters, dogs and monkeys (Barthold 2000, Straubinger 2000, Summers et al. 2005, Hodzic et al. 2008). Persistence of Borrelia has also been well documented to occur in humans (Breier et al. 2001, Holl-Weiden et al 2007, Hunfeld et al. 2005, Oksi et al. 1999), as well as immune evasion (Bankhead and Chaconas 2007, Liang et al. 2002, Xu et al. 2008). Intracellular localization is known within endothelial cells (Ma et al. 1991, Thomas et al. 1994), synovial cells (Girschick et al. 1996) and neuronal and glial cells (Livengood and Gilmore 2006). Infiltration of blood vessels, cardiac myocytes and collagen tissues has been shown (Pachner et al. 1995), and adherence and escape of Borrelia from vasculature has recently been visualized directly by Moriarty et al. (2008). Sequestration and physical protection from the immune system in the extracellular matrix have been reviewed by Cabello et al. (2007). Yet on page 1117 of the IDSA guidelines, there is a statement that 'The notion that symptomatic, chronic B. burgdorferi infection can exist ... is highly implausible ...'. Such dismissal of a body of rigorous, peerreviewed literature is unfathomable.

Treatment of Lyme disease has been heavily influenced by Klempner *et al* 2001 (i.e. page 1116 of IDSA guidelines) without mention of the obvious flaws in that study. Until they can be definitively refuted, criticisms of these trials, such as those of Cameron (2006 and later 2009a) should be taken seriously in any IDSA

guideline revisions. Currently the IDSA guidelines dismiss post-Lyme disease syndromes as an omnibus category, and state that 'posttreatment symptoms appear to be more related to the aches and pains of daily living' (page 1115), which is again rebutted by Cameron (2009b). Livengood and Gilmore (2006) have demonstrated that B. burgdorferi is able to invade human neuronal and glial cells, where the spirochete cells are protected from gentamycin. Cabello et al (2007) and Embers et al (2004) have reviewed literature that consistently demonstrates that sequestration in immune privileged sites, antigenic variation and suppression of host immune responses are extremely important in understanding the basis of infection by B. burgdorferi. As well, Yrjanainen et al (2007) note that spirochetes can successfully avoid the lethal effects of ceftriaxone without losing their infectivity. Fallon et al (2008) have demonstrated that long term IV therapy can be unable to sustain cognitive gains in Lyme patients, and this further suggests that treatment for the cystic form of B. burgdorferi should be investigated. However, the current IDSA guidelines appear to ignore this evidence, stating instead that metronidazole and tinidazole are not recommended because of 'lack of biological plausibility' (page 1105) and 'The "cystic" forms .... have not been shown to have any clinical significance' (page 1118). This is in spite of prior publication of the widely known work of Brorson and Brorson (1999 and 2004). The Brorson and Brorson (2004) study has since been supported by Margulis et al (2009), a position paper that makes reference to an extensive body of Russian research. More recent work by Miklossy et al (2008) in North America has independently confirmed the existence of the cystic form and its role in human disease. Both Miklossy et al (2008) and Margulis et al (2009) caution that a full understanding of the serology of Lyme disease must include the atypical forms as well as typical spirochetal forms. Continued lack of consideration of these findings in IDSA guidelines constitutes a serious and potentially damaging gap in the understanding of medical practitioners who rely on them.

A further shortcoming of the IDSA guidelines is that while limitations of PCR testing for *Borrelia* are stressed, the limitations of widely used ELISA and Western blots are not. On page 1110 of the IDSA guidelines, there is a statement that 'positive PCR results for a joint fluid specimen from a seronegative patient, however, should be regarded with skepticism.' This statement is dangerously misleading. For example, Holl-Weiden *et al* (2007) have demonstrated that a positive PCR in a seronegative child allowed treatment and reduced suffering and in fact it is biologically implausible that a positive PCR backed by clinical

symptoms would be a false positive, especially when a positive response to antibiotics is seen.

At the very least, limitations of ELISA and Western blots should be discussed in the IDSA guidelines. There is considerable debate over the sensitivity of ELISA assays, especially in late stages of the disease (e.g. Donta 2007, Stricker and Johnston 2008, Wilson 2007). The meta-analysis by Aguero-Rosenfeld *et al*. (2005) reports that ELISA sensitivity is less than 50% in the acute phase of an EM rash and only about 80% in the convalescent phase after antimicrobial treatment for an EM rash or a Lyme diagnosis has been obtained due to neurological involvement. Only the arthritic form of Lyme disease was associated with a higher sensitivity for ELISA in Aguero-Rosenfeld *et al*. (2005), even though this form constitutes only one of many strains even within the *B. burgdorferi* species complex (Tilley *et al* 2008). In Europe, false negative serology is considered a significant risk in neuroborreliosis unless multiple strains of *Borrelia* are tested for (Kaiser 2000, Jovicic *et al*. 2003).

In order to confirm a Lyme diagnosis according to the IDSA guidelines, it is considered necessary to document seroconversion from IgM to IgG about 4 weeks or more after infection (Aguero-Rosenfeld *et al.* 2005, Wormser *et al* 2006). However, the immunological response to Lyme disease is not that simple in some people, since seronegativity with only a cell-mediated immune response is clearly possible (Singh and Girschick 2004). In addition, detection of antibodies that are tied up in complexes would be missed by standard tests that rely on free antibodies (Holl-Weiden *et al.* 2007, Singh and Girschick 2004).

In short, the statements in the IDSA guidelines that there is 'no convincing biologic evidence' and 'lack of biologic plausibility' (page 1094) as well as 'There is no convincing evidence in North America for the persistence of *B. burgdorferi*' (page 1117) do not take into account the fact that the biology of *B. burgdorferi* is complex and incompletely understood. The current guidelines make no mention of the unique characteristics of *B. burgdorferi*, which include enormous potential for genomic adaptation. This spirochete has over twenty plasmids, both linear and circular, in addition to its one large linear chromosome, and this structure gives *B. burgdorferi* the greatest number of plasmids described for any living organism (Chaconas 2005, Tourand *et al* 2009). In addition, *B. burgdorferi* is unusual in using manganese for electron transport instead of iron (Posey and Gherardini 2000,

Ouyang *et al.* 2009), allowing the spirochetes to evade lactoferrin defense. Furthermore, antigenic variation at vlsE by recombination of cassette fragments demonstrably allows a large number of antigenically distinct variants to be produced and therefore aids in avoidance of the host immune system (Bankhead and Chaconas 2007).

In Europe, it is widely recognized that improved patient outcome is the true goal that everyone is seeking. This insight has allowed researchers like Clarissou *et al* (2009) to step back from the tension involved in the use of the term Lyme disease and to substitute 'Tick Associated Poly Organic Syndrome', or TAPOS, as a more neutral designation.

The bottom line is that it is too early to codify treatment for Lyme disease. I trust that you will consider the full breadth of the available research in your desperately needed review of the diagnosis and treatment of Lyme disease. The key will be to include a strongly worded statement that serological testing for Lyme disease suffers from extreme limitations. This will more effectively alert medical practitioners to the true state of the fast-evolving field of Lyme disease research, rather than leaving them with the impression, from the current IDSA guidelines, that the diagnosis and treatment of Lyme disease have been sufficiently defined to ensure the health of Americans and Canadians.

Sincerely,

Janet L.H. Sperling

References:

Aguero-Rosenfeld, M.E., Wang, G., Schwartz, I., and Wormser, G.P. 2005. Diagnosis of Lyme borreliosis. Clinical Microbiology Reviews 18: 484-509.

Bankhead, T. and Chaconas, G. 2007. The role of VIsE antigenic variation in the Lyme disease spirochete: persistence through a mechanism that differs from other pathogens. Molecular Microbiology 65: 1547-1558.

Barthold, S. 2000. Lyme Borreliosis. Chapter 14 In Persistent Bacterial Infections Edited by J.P. Nataro, M.J. Blaser, and S. Cunningham-Rundles. ASM press. Washington D.C. pp 281-304.

Breier, F; Khanakah, G; Stanek, G, Kunz, G., Aberer, E., Schmidt, B., and Tappeiner, G. 2001. Isolation and polymerase chain reaction typing of *Borrelia afzelii* from a skin lesion in a seronegative patient with generalized ulcerating bullous lichen sclerosus et atrophicus. British Journal of Dermatology 144: 387-392.

Brorson, O., and Brorson, S.H. 1999 An in vitro study of the susceptibility of mobile and cystic forms of *Borrelia burgdorferi* to metronidazole. APMIS 107: 566-576.

Brorson, O., and Brorson, S.H. 2004. An in vitro study of the susceptibility of mobile and cystic forms of *Borrelia burgdorferi* to tinidazole. International Microbiology 7: 139-142.

Bunikis, J., Garpmo, U., Tsao, J., Berglund, J., Fish, D., and Barbour, A. 2004. Sequence typing reveals extensive strain diversity of the Lyme borreliosis agents *Borrelia burgdorferi* in North America and *Borrelia afzelii* in Europe. Microbiology 150: 1741–1755

Burkot, T.R., Maupin, G.O., Schneider B.S., Denatale, C., Happ, C.M., Rutherford, J.S., and Zeidner, N.S. 2001. Use of a sentinel host system to study the questing behavior of *Ixodes spinipalpis* and its role in the transmission of *Borrelia bissettii*, human granulocytic ehrlichiosis, and *Babesia microti*. American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene 65: 293-299.

Cabello, F.C., Godfrey, H.P., and Newman, S.A. 2007. Hidden in plain sight: *Borrelia burgdorferi* and the extracellular matrix. Trends in Microbiology 15: 350-354.

Cameron, D.J. 2006. Generalizability in two clinical trials of Lyme disease. Epidemiologic Perspectives and Innovations 3: 12-19.

Cameron, D.J. 2009a. Insufficient evidence to deny antibiotic treatment to chronic

Lyme disease patients. Medical Hypotheses 72,: 688-691.

Cameron D.J. 2009b. Clinical trials validate the severity of persistent Lyme disease symptoms. Medical Hypotheses 72: 153-156.

April 18, 2009

Chaconas, G. 2005. Hairpin telomeres and genome plasticity in *Borrelia*: all mixed up in the end. Molecular Microbiology 58: 625-635.

Clarissou, J., Song, A., Bernede, C., Guillemot, D., Dinh, A., Ader, F., Perronne, C., and Salomon, J. 2009. Efficacy of a long-term antibiotic treatment in patients with a chronic Tick Associated Poly-organic Syndrome (TAPOS). Medecine et Maladies Infectieuses 39: 108-115.

Craig-Mylius, K., Lee, M., Jones, K.L., and Glickstein, L.J. 2009. Arthritogenicity of Borrelia burgdorferi and Borrelia garinii: Comparison of infection in mice. American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene 80: 252-258.

Donta, S.T. 2007. Lyme disease guidelines—it's time to move forward. Clinical Infectious Diseases 44: 1134-1135.

Embers, M.E., Ramamoorthy, R., and Phillip, M.T. 2004. Survival strategies of Borrelia burgdorferi, the etiologic agent of Lyme disease. Microbes and Infection 6: 312-318.

Fallon, B.A., Keilp, J.G., Corbera, K.M., Petkova, E., Britton, C.B., Dwyer, E., Slavov, I., Cheng, J., Dobkin, J., Nelson, D.R., and Sackeim, H.A. 2008. A randomized, placebo-controlled trial of repeated IV antibiotic therapy for Lyme encephalopathy. Neurology 70: 992-1003.

Girschick, H.J., Huppertz, H.I., Rüssmann, H, Krenn, V., and Karch, H. 1996. Intracellular persistence of *Borrelia burgdorferi* in human synovial cells. Rheumatology International 16:125-132.

Hanincova, K., Ogden, N.H., Diuk-Wasser, M., Pappas, C.J., Iyer, R., Fish, D., Schwartz, I., and Kurtenbach, K. 2008. Fitness variation of *Borrelia burgdorferi* sensu stricto strains in mice. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 74:153-157.

Hodzic, E., Feng, S., Holden, K., Freet, K.J., and Barthold, S.W. 2008. Persistence of *Borrelia burgdorferi* following antibiotic treatment in mice. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy 52: 1728-1736.

Holl-Weiden, A., Suerbaum, S., and Girschick, H.J. 2007. Seronegative Lyme arthritis. Rheumatology International 11: 1091-1093.

Hunfeld, K.P., Ruzic-Sabljic, E., Norris, D.E., Kraiczy, P., and Strle, F. 2005. In vitro susceptibility testing of *Borrelia burgdorferi* sensu lato isolates cultured from patients with Erythema Migrans before and after antimicrobial chemotherapy. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy 49: 1294-1301.

Jovicic, V.L., Grego, E.M., Lako, B.L., Ristovic, B.M., Lepsanovic Z.A. and Stajkovic, N.T. 2003. Improved serodiagnosis of early Lyme borreliosis: Immunoblot with local *Borrelia afzelii* strain. Apmis 111: 1053-1059.

Kaiser, R. 2000. False-negative serology in patients with neuroborreliosis and the value of employing of different borrelial strains in serological assays. Journal of Medical Microbiology 49: 911-915.

Klempner M.S., Hu, L.T., Evans, J., Schmid, C.H., Johnson, G.M., Trevino, R.P. Norton, D., Levy, L., Wall, D., McCall, J., Kosinski, M., and Weinstein, A. 2001. Two controlled trials of antibiotic treatment in patients with persistent symptoms and a history of Lyme disease. New England Journal of Medicine 345:85–92.

Liang, F.T., Steere, A.C., Marques, A.R., Johnson, B.J.B., Miller, J.N. and Philipp, M.T. 1999. Sensitive and specific serodiagnosis of Lyme disease by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay with a peptide based on an immunodominant conserved region of VIsE. Journal of Clinical Microbiology 37: 3990-3996.

Livengood, J.A. and Gilmore, R.D. Jr. 2006. Invasion of human neuronal and glial cells by an infectious strain of *Borrelia burgdorferi*. Microbes and Infection 8: 2832-2840.

Ma, Y., Sturrock, A., and Weis, J.J. 1991. Intracellular localization of *Borrelia burgdorferi* within human endothelial cells. Infection and Immunity 59: 671–678.

Margulis, L., Maniotis, A., MacAllister, J., Scythes, J., Brorson, O., Hall, J., Krumbein, W.E., and Chapman, M.J. 2009. Spirochete round bodies syphilis, Lyme disease and AIDS: Resurgence of "the great imitator"? Symbiosis 47: 51-58.

Miklossy, J., Kasas, S., Zurn, A.D., McCall, S., Yu, S., and McGeer P.L. 2008. Persisting atypical and cystic forms of *Borrelia burgdorferi* and local inflammation in Lyme neuroborreliosis. Journal of Neuroinflammation 5: 40 doi:10.1186/1742-2094-5-40.

Moriarty, T.J., Norman, M.U., Colarusso, P., Bankhead, T., Kubes, P., and Chaconas, G. 2008. Real-time high resolution 3D imaging of the Lyme disease spirochete adhering to and escaping from the vasculature of a living host. PLoS Pathog 4(6): e1000090. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1000090.

Oksi J, Marjamäki M, Nikoskelainen J, and Viljanen MK. 1999. *Borrelia burgdorferi* detected by culture and PCR in clinical relapse of disseminated Lyme borreliosis. Annals of Medicine 31: 225-232.

Ouyang, Z., He, M., Oman, T., Yang, X.F., and Norgard, M.V. 2009. A manganese transporter, BB0219 (BmtA), is required for virulence by the Lyme disease spirochete, *Borrelia burgdorferi*. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences Published online before print February 13, 2009. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0812999106

Pachner, A.R., Basta, J., Delaney, E., and Hulinska, D. 1995. Localization of *Borrelia burgdorferi* in murine Lyme borreliosis by electron microscopy. American Journal of Tropical Medecine and Hygiene 52: 128-133.

Posey, J.E. and Gherardini, F.C. 2000. Lack of a role for iron in the Lyme disease pathogen. Science 288: 1651-1653.

Qiu, W.G., Bruno, J.F., McCaig, W.D., Xu, Y., Livey, I., Schriefer, M.E., Luft, B.J. 2008. Wide distribution of a high-virulence *Borrelia burgdorferi* clone in Europe and North America. Emerging Infectious Diseases 14: 1097-1104.

Rudenko, N. Golovchenko, M., Grubhoffer, L., and Oliver, J.H. Jr. 2009. *Borrelia carolinensis* sp.nov., a new (14<sup>th</sup>) member of *Borrelia burgdorferi* sensu lato complex from the southeastern United States. Journal of Clinical Microbiology 47: 134-141.

Schneider, B.S., Schriefer, M.E., Dietrich, G., Dolan, M.C., Morshed, M.G., and Zeidner, N.S. 2008. *Borrelia bissettii* isolates induce pathology in a murine model of disease. Vector-Borne and Zoonotic Diseases 8: 623-634.

Schwartz, I., and Kurtenbach, K. 2008. Fitness variation of *Borrelia burgdorferi* sensu stricto strains in mice. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 74:153-157.

Singh S.K. and Girschick, H.J. 2004. Lyme borreliosis: from infection to autoimmunity. Clinical Microbiology and Infection 10: 598-614.

Smith, R.P., Muzaffar, S.B., Lavers, J., Lacombe, E.H., Cahill, B.K., Lubelczyk, C.B., Kinsler, A., Mathers, A.J., and Rand, P.W. 2006. *Borrelia garinii* in seabird ticks (*Ixodes uriae*), Atlantic Coast, North America. Emerging Infectious Diseases 12: 1909-1912.

Straubinger, R.K. 2000. Lyme Borreliosis in dogs in Recent Advances in Canine Infectious Diseases Edited by L.E. Carmichael. International Veterinary Information Services.

Stricker, R and Johnston, L. 2008. Serologic tests for Lyme disease: More smoke and mirrors. Clinical Infectious Diseases 47: 1111 -1112.

Stromdahl, E.Y., Williamson, P. C., Kollars, T. M., Evans, S.R., Barry, R.K., Vince, M.A. and Dobbs, N.A. 2003. Evidence of *Borrelia lonestari* DNA in *Amblyomma americanum* (Acari: Ixodidae) removed from humans. Journal of Clinical Microbiology 41: 5557–5562.

Summers, B.A., Straubinger, A.F., Jacobson, R.H. Chang, Y.F., Appel, M.J.G., and Straubinger, R.K. 2005. Histopathological studies of experimental Lyme disease in the dog. Journal of Comparative Pathology 133: 1-13.

Thomas, D.D., Cadavid, D., and Barbour, A.G. 1994. Differential association of *Borrelia* species with cultured neural cells. Journal of Infectious Diseases 169: 445–448.

Tilley, K., Rosa, P.A., Stewart, P.E. 2008 Biology of infection with *Borrelia burgdorferi*. Infectious Diseases Clinics of North America 22: 217-234.

Tourand, Y., Deneke, J., Moriarty, T.J., and Chaconas, G. 2009. Characterization and in vitro reaction properties of 19 unique hairpin telomeres from the linear plasmids of the Lyme disease spirochete. Journal of Biological Chemistry 284: 7264-7272.

Wilson, J.M. 2007. Concerns regarding the Infectious Diseases Society of America Lyme disease clinical practice guidelines. Clinical Infectious Diseases 44: 1135–1136.

Wormser G.P., Dattwyler R.J., Shapiro E.D., Halperin J.J., Steere A.C., Klempner M.S., Krause P.J., Bakken J.S., Strle F., Stanek G., Bockenstedt L., Fish D., Dumler J.S., and Nadelman R.B. 2006. The clinical assessment, treatment, and prevention of Lyme disease, human granulocytic anaplasmosis, and babesiosis: Clinical practice guidelines by the Infectious Disease Society of America. Clinical Infectious Disease 43: 1089-134. (Erratum in 2007;45:941).

Xu, Q., McShan, K., and Liang, F.T. 2008 Modification of *Borrelia burgdorferi* to overproduce OspA or VlsE alters its infectious behaviour Microbiology 154: 3420–3429.

Yrjanainen, H., Hytonen, J., Song X.R., Oksi, J., Hariala, K., and Viljanen. M-K. 2007. Anti-tumor necrosis factor-treatment activates *Borrelia burgdorferi* spirochetes 4 weeks after ceftriaxone treatment in C3H/He mice. Journal of Infectious Diseases 195: 1489–1496.