

SEAGO TRANSPORTATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE

AGENDA FOR SEAGO TAC

Date:	January 21, 2016
Time:	10 a.m.
Location:	Cochise College Benson Center, 1025 State Hwy. 90, Benson, Arizona
Call-in No.	Call Chris Vertrees (520-432-5301 ext. 209) (cdvertrees@seago.org) 48 hrs. in advance of meeting
	date for call-in information.

Individuals wishing to participate in the meeting telephonically may do so by contacting Chris Vertrees at (520) 432-5301 extension 209. Contact must be made at least 48 hours before the meeting in order to obtain the call-in information. Please note that the option to participate telephonically may not be available unless requested as instructed above.

Si necesita acomodaciones especiales o un intérprete para esta conferencia, deben ponerse en contacto con Chris Vertrees al número (520) 432-5301, extensión 209, por lo menos setenta y dos (72) horas antes de la conferencia.

	()	,	
Voting TAC Members	Mark Hoffman – ADOT MPD Brad Hamilton – Benson Andy Haratyk – Bisbee Ian McGaughey – Clifton Karen Lamberton – Cochise County Scott Lehman – Douglas John Basteen – Duncan	Michael Bryce (Chair) – Graham County Phil Ronnerud –Greenlee Co. TBD - Huachuca City Juan Guerra – Nogales Dave Teel – Patagonia Jeff McCormick – Pima Randy Petty - Safford	Marvin Mull – San Carlos Apache Tribe (SCAT) Jesus Valdez (Vice Chair) – Santa Cruz County Heath Brown – Thatcher Donna Driskoll– Tombstone Gary Adams – Willcox
Guests, Staff, and Other Expected Attendees	Randy Heiss – SEAGO Chris Vertrees – SEAGO Jason Hafner – ADOT		

	Shaded items are action items.		
ITEM	SUBJECT	PRESENTER	PAGE
1.	Call to Order and Introductions	Michael	N/A
2.	Call to the Public	Michael	N/A
3.	Approval of Minutes of November 19, 2015	Michael	3-5
4.	STP/HSIP Ledger Reports	Chris	6-7
5.	TIP Report ➤ Discussion and Possible Action on Current TIP • Administrative Changes • Proposed Amendments	Chris	8-12
6.	SEAGO/SVMPO SHSP Consultant Selection	Chris	13-14
7.	Transportation Issues Position Statement Discussion	Randy	15-19
8.	Election of Officers	Michael	20
9.	2016 TAC Meeting Schedule Review and Approval	Chris	21
10.	LPA Section - Projects Discussion and Updates	Jason	N/A
11.	Traffic Count Program Update	Chris	22
12.	District Engineers' Report Status of State Highway Projects Quarterly Project Report	TBD	N/A

SEAGO TAC: January 21, 2016



SEAGO TRANSPORTATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE

AGENDA FOR SEAGO TAC

13.	Regional Local Program Reports	Towns, Cities,	
	Status of Local Projects	Counties, &	
	STP Projects	ADOT	
	Update on Enhancement Projects		
	Update on HSIP Projects		
	Update on all Planning Studies		N/A
14.	Items for General Discussion	All	N/A
15.	Items for Next Meeting (Project Reviews/2017 TIP/SHSP Kick-off)	All	N/A
16.	Next Meeting Date: 3/17/16	Michael	N/A
	Adjourn		

Direction may be given to SEAGO staff on any item on the agenda.



SEAGO TRANSPORTATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES FOR NOVEMBER 19, 2015

Date:	November 19, 2015	
Time:	10 a.m.	
Location:	Cochise College Benson Cen	ter, 1025 State Hwy. 90, Benson, Arizona
Voting	lan McGaughey, Clifton	Michael Bryce, Graham
TAC	Tom Klimek, Bisbee	Juan Guerra, Nogales
Members	Donna Driskell, Tombstone	Randy Petty, Safford
Present	Karen Lamberton, Cochise	Heath Brown, Thatcher
	Mark Hoffman, ADOT	
	Phil Ronnerud, Greenlee	
Guests,	Chris Vertrees, SEAGO	
Staff, and	Jason Hafner, ADOT	
Other	Dennis Donovan, Cochise	
Attendees	Mark Henige, ADOT	
	Paul David, ADOT	

1. Call to Order and Introductions

Chair Michael Bryce called the meeting to order at 10:05 a.m. TAC members, guests and SEAGO staff introduced themselves.

2. Call to the Public

Chair Michael Bryce made a Call to the Public and no one spoke.

3. Approval of Minutes of September 17, 2015

Chair Michael Bryce asked for a motion to approve the September 17, 2015 Minutes

MOTION: Ian McGaughey moved to approve the September 17, 2015 Minutes

SECOND: Mark Hoffman

ACTION: APPROVED UNANIMOUSLY

4. STP/HSIP Ledger Reports

Chris Vertrees presented the STP/HSIP Ledger Reports that were included in the TAC packet (pages 7 & 8)

5. TIP Report

Chris Vertrees presented the TIP report. The following amendments were discussed:

The Zorrila Bridge Rehabilitation Project was approved by our TAC at our September 2014 meeting. In March 2015, the Town of Clifton submitted a PARA grant application to ADOT for pre-scoping of the project. The pre-scoping report was completed on November 5, 2015. The



SEAGO TRANSPORTATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES FOR NOVEMBER 19, 2015

following are the costs identified in the document. The project will be funded through the offsystem bridge program as follows:

FY2016 - Zorilla Street Bridge Rehabilitation, Structure #9633 - Design - Federal/\$132,085 Local Match/\$7,983 Total/\$140,068

FY2018 – Zorilla Street Bridge Rehabilitation, Structure #9633 – Construction Federal/\$729,896 Local Match/\$44,118 Total/\$774,014

MOTION: Karen Lamberton moved to approve the Zorrila Bridge TIP amendments as identified

in the TIP report.

SECOND: Phil Ronnerud

ACTION: APPROVED UNANIMOUSLY

Greenlee County has submitted an STP request involving the Campbell Blue Bridge. The project's preliminary design shows a structure approximately 2 times the length as the one that was washed out. Project cost estimates are at \$1,200,000. The project is being funded through the off-system bridge program. However, the program is capped at \$1,000,000. STP funding support is needed to complete the project. If approved, the project will be included in the TIP as follows:

FY2016 – Campbell Blue Bridge Replacement – Construction – Federal/\$200,000 Local Match/\$11,400 Total/\$211,400

MOTION: Karen Lamberton moved to approve the Campbell Blue Bridge TIP amendment as identified in the TIP Report.

SECOND: Juan Guerra

ACTION: APPROVED UNANIMOUSLY

6. Approval to Pursue STP Loans

Chris Vertrees advised the TAC that with the inclusion of the Campbell Blue Bridge project, SEAGO is over-programmed by \$519,303 in STP Apportionments and \$521,956 in STP Obligation Authority. Chris advised the TAC that we would need to borrow to complete this year's projects. SVMPO has approximately \$550,000 in STP funds they want to loan SEAGO. Chris asked the TAC for approval to secure this loan.

MOTION: Juan Guerra moved to approve SEAGO securing STP loans to meet our FY16 programmed needs with repayment in FY17.

SECOND: Phil Ronnerud

ACTION: APPROVED UNANIMOUSLY

7. SEAGO/SVMPO SHSP Update

Chris Vertrees gave an update on the status of the Strategic Highway Safety Plan RFP and consultant selection process.



SEAGO TRANSPORTATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES FOR NOVEMBER 19, 2015

8. Rural Transportation Summit Reminder

Chris Vertrees reminded the TAC of the Rural Transportation Summit that will be in Yuma from January 12, 2016, to January 15, 2015. Registration is open and a draft agenda has been included in their TAC packet.

10. District Engineers' Report

Paul David presented the District Engineers' Report and Mark Hengie (ADOT Project Manager) updated the TAC on the status of projects within the region.

11. Regional Local Program Reports

Those in attendance reported their current status of local projects.

12. Items for General Discussion

Chair Michael Bryce asked if anyone had items for general discussion, no one spoke.

13. Items for Next Meeting

Chair Michael Bryce asked if anyone had items for next meeting, Chris Vertrees stated that the TAC will be selecting the SHSP consultant, electing new officers, and finalizing the 2016 TAC meeting schedule.

14. Next Meeting Date January 21, 2016 at the Cochise College Benson Center

MEETING ADJOURNED AT 11:58 AM

SEAGO STP Ledger 2016-2020 Revised: January 2016

New OA rate from ADOT effective FFY 2015	94.8% *	Projected Fed	f Funds *	Cumulative I	Balance
Action	OA Rate	Apportionment	OA	Apportionment	OA
STP Carry Forward FY15	94.8%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
FY 2016 Allocation	94.8%	\$1,001,206	\$949,143	\$1,001,206	\$949,143
Loan Repayment from ADOT		\$2,011,103	\$2,011,103	\$3,012,309	\$2,960,246
Loan from SVMPO		\$544,538	\$544,538	\$3,556,847	\$3,504,784
Repay SVMPO for FY14 Loan		-\$307,204	-\$293,380	\$3,249,643	\$3,211,404
Repay WACOG for FY15 Loan		-\$523,560	-\$500,000	\$2,726,083	\$2,711,404
Cochise County: Davis Road		-\$1,993,821	-\$1,993,821	\$732,262	\$717,583
Greenlee County: Campbell Blue Bridge		-\$200,000	-\$200,000	\$532,262	\$517,583
Nogales: Crawford Street Repavement Project		-\$485,000	-\$485,000	\$47,262	\$32,583
Tech Transfer (LTAP)		-\$10,000	-\$10,000	\$37,262	\$22,583
FY 2016 Balance				\$37,262	\$22,583
FY 2017 Allocation	94.8%	\$1,001,206	\$949,143	\$1,038,468	\$971,727
Repay SVMPO for FY15/16 Loans	34.070	-\$837,918	-\$837,918	\$200,550	\$133,809
Douglas: Chino Road Extension Phase 2 (Tenative)		-\$2,357,500	-\$2,357,500	-\$2,156,950	-\$2,223,691
Tech Transfer (LTAP)		-\$10.000	-\$10,000	-\$2,166,950	-\$2,233,691
FY 2017 Balance		ψ10,000	Ψ10,000	-\$2,166,950	-\$2,233,691
FY 2018 Allocation	94.8%	\$1,001,206	\$949,143	-\$1,165,744	-\$1,284,548
Tech Transfer (LTAP)		-\$10,000	-\$10,000	-\$1,175,744	-\$1,294,548
FY 2018 Balance				-\$1,175,744	-\$1,294,548
FY 2019 Allocation	94.8%	\$1,001,206	\$949,143	-\$174,538	-\$345,405
Tech Transfer (LTAP)		-\$10,000	-\$10,000	-\$184,538	-\$355,405
FY 2019 Balance				-\$184,538	-\$355,405
FY2020 Allocation	94.8%	\$1,001,206	\$949,143	\$816,668	\$593,738
20th Ave, Phase II (Construction) Safford		-\$2,000,000	-\$2,000,000	-\$1,183,332	-\$1,406,262
Tech Transfer (LTAP)		-\$10,000	-\$10,000	-\$1,173,332	-\$1,396,262
FY 2020 Balance				-\$1,183,332	-\$1,406,262

This is an internal SEAGO document, and is used to provide a general overview of STP funds for a five year period.

OA = Obligated Authority. This is the amount of money that can actually be obligated to SEAGO based upon the OA %. STP = Surface Transportation Program funds. This amount is allocated to SEAGO based upon the 2010 population Balance carry-over is no longer allowed. Excess funds must be utilized or loaned to another COG or to the State.

^{*} Notes: 1. Updated: January 2016

^{2.} OA Rate is at 94.8% is subject to change

^{3.} STP Apportionments are ADOT estimates and subject to change.

SEAGO HSIP Ledger 2016-2019 Revised: January 2016

New OA rate from ADOT effective FFY 2015	94.8% *	Projected Fed	Funds *	Cumulative Balance		
Action	OA Rate	Apportionment	OA	Apportionment	OA	
HSIP Balance 7/1/15		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
EV 0040 All	0.4.00/	0540.707	0.400.700	0540.707	0.400.700	
FY 2016 Allocation	94.8%	\$519,767	\$492,739	\$519,767	\$492,739	
Loan to YMPO		-\$105,000	-\$105,000	\$414,767	\$387,739	
Repay YMPO Loan		-\$193,259	-\$193,259	\$221,508	\$194,480	
FY 2016 Balance				\$221,508	\$194,480	
FY 2017 Allocation	94.8%	\$519,767	\$492,739	\$741,275	\$687,219	
Repay SVMPO Loan*		-\$493,259	-\$493,259	\$248,016	\$193,960	
Repayment from YMPO		\$105,000	\$105,000	\$353,016	\$298,960	
FY 2017 Balance				\$353,016	\$298,960	
FY 2018 Allocation	94.8%	\$519,767	\$492,739	\$872,783	\$791,699	
None		\$0	\$0	\$872,783	\$791,699	
FY 2018 Balance				\$872,783	\$791,699	
FY 2019 Allocation	0.0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
(Local HSIP Funding Allocation Discontinued)		,	,			

^{*} Notes: 1. Updated: January 2016

This is an internal SEAGO document, and is used to provide a general overview of HSIP funds for a five year period.

OA = Obligated Authority. This is the amount of money that can actually be obligated to SEAGO based upon the OA %.

HSIP = Highway Safety Improvement Program funds. This amount is allocated to SEAGO based upon ADOT's distribution formula.

Balance carry-over is no longer allowed. Excess funds must be utilized or loaned to another COG.

^{2.} Reflects ADOT assigned OA Rate of 94.8%

^{3.} HSIP Apportionments are ADOT estimates and subject to change.



TAC PACKET

TO: SEAGO TAC

FROM: CHRIS VERTREES, SEAGO TRANSPORTATION PLANNER

DATE: JANUARY 7, 2016

RE: TIP REPORT

There were no changes to our TIP since our last meeting. Attached is our most current version of the TIP for your records

Attachments: 2016-2020 TIP Amendment #2

SEAGO REGION

2016 - 2020 TIP Amendment #2

Approved By: TAC - 11/19/15 Admistrative Committee - 12/3/15 Executive Committee - 12/3/15

TIP YEAR Project ID	PROJECT SPONSOR	PROJECT NAME	PROJECT LOCATION	LENGTH	TYPE OF IMP - WK - STRU	Functional Classifications	LANES BEFORE	LANES AFTER	FED AID TYPE	FEDERAL FUNDS	LOCAL MATCH	OTHER FUNDS	TOTAL COST
2016													
		US 70 MP 291 SUP and Eas			Construction/SUP,								
ST-TE-16	State	Entry Monument (San Carlos Apache Tribe)	US 70 MP 291		landscaping, lighting entry monument				TE17	\$956,055	\$57,789		\$1,013,844
			Golf Course Rd from Reay Ln to 20th		Construction								
GGH-TE-13	Graham County	Golf Course Road SUP	Ave	7,150 ft	TE Shared Use Path				TE 18	\$454,752	\$27,488		\$482,240
ST-TE-20	State	SR 191, Sidewalk Project	SR 191, Sidewalk project		Construction: Sidewalks				TE18	\$312,543			\$312,543
GGH13-04	Graham County	Reay Lane Irrigation Canal Ditch Relocation	Reay Lane Between US70 & Safford Bryce Road in Safford	.2 miles	ROW	Rural Minor Collector	2	2	HRRRP	\$20,746	\$1,254		\$22,000
GGH13-04	Granam County	Santa Cruz County:	Multiple unpaved roads in the	.2 miles	ROW	Rurai Willior Collector	2		HKKKP	\$20,746	\$1,254		\$22,000
		Nogales Non-Attainment	unicororated Rio Rico area of		0 4 11 (01)						******		
SCC15-02	Santa Cruz County	Area Surfacing	Santa Cruz County.	9.7 miles	Construction (Chipsealing) Construction of Safety &		2	2	CMAQ	\$457,355	\$27,645		\$485,000
CCH12-10	Cochise County	Davis Rd. Improvements	Davis Road MP 13	1 mile	Drainage Improvements	Rural Major Collector	2	2	STP	\$924,560	\$55,885		\$980,445
CCH15-01	Cochise County	Davis Rd. Improvements	Davis Road MP 5	0.61 miles	Construction of Safety & Drainage Improvements	Rural Major Collector	2	2	STP	\$1,045,000	\$63,165		\$1,108,165
001113-01	Cochise County	Bankard Avenue and		0.011111163	-	Truiai Major Collector	2		311	\$1,045,000	\$00,100		\$1,100,103
ADOT16-01	ADOT	UPRR railroad crossing 742-038V	Bankard Avenue, east of 19B in Nogales, Santa Cruz County, AZ	0.1	Railroad Signal Improvements		2	2	HSIP-RGC	\$305,000			\$305,000
ADOT 10-01	ADOT	742-036V	Nogales, Santa Cruz County, Az	0.1	improvements		2		HSIF-RGC	\$303,000			\$303,000
		Baffert Place and UPRR	Baffert Place, east of 19B in		Railroad Signal					****			****
ADOT16-02	ADOT	Banks Bridge-UPRR RR	Nogales, Santa Cruz County, AZ Banks Bridge east of 19B in	0.1	Improvements Railroad Signal		2	2	HSIP-RGC	\$313,000			\$313,000
ADOT16-03	ADOT	crossing 742-040W	Nogales, Santa Cruz County, AZ	0.1	Improvements		2	2	HSIP-RGC	\$484,500			\$484,500
ADOT16-04	ADOT	Calle Sonora-UPRR RR crossing 742-037N	Calle Sonora, east of 19B in Nogales, Santa Cruz County, AZ	0.1	Railroad Signal Improvements		2	2	HSIP-RGC	\$484,500			\$484,500
ADOT 10-04	ADOT	_	Nogales, Santa Cruz County, Az	0.1	improvements				11011 -1100	ψ 4 04,300			ψ+0+,300
ADOT16.05	ADOT	Court Street and UPRR	Court Street, east of 19B in	0.1	Railroad Signal Improvements		2	2	HSIP-RGC	6142.000			\$143,000
ADOT16-05	ADOT	Mt. Turnbull Rd and AZER	Nogales, Santa Cruz County, AZ Mt. Turnbull Road (AKA Home	0.1	improvements		2		nsir-RGC	\$143,000			\$143,000
		railroad crossing safety	Alone Rd), south of US70 @ MP										
ADOT 15-01	ADOT	improvements DOT#742- 307K	295.8 in Bylas, Graham County, AZ	0.1	Railroad-Highway Grade Crossing improvements		2	2	HSIP-RGC	\$360,000			\$360,000
		Campbell Blue Bridge	Blue River Road (FR 281), 8.8		<u> </u>		_						
GEH-BR-08	Greenlee County	Replacement Zorilla Street Bridge	South of E Jct US 180	61 feet	Construction	Rural Local	2	2	STP	\$200,000	\$11,400		\$211,400
		Rehabilitation, Structure	Zorilla Street between US 191 and						Off-System				
CLF16-01	Town of Clifton	#9633 Crawford Street Pavement	Park, Avenue, Clifton, AZ	216 Feet	Design	Rural Local	2	2	Bridge	\$132,085	\$7,983		\$140,068
NOG12-06	City of Nogales	Project	Sonoita Ave to McNab Drive	0.37	Construction	Urban Collector	2	5	STP	\$485,000	\$29,316		\$514,316
	LTAP								STP	\$10,000			\$10,000
	TOTAL FOR 2016									\$7,088,096	\$281,926	\$0	\$7,370,022
2017													
		Joe Carlson Safe Routes			Construction Sidewalks, Crosswalks, Striping & ADA								
DGS13-05	City of Douglas	to School	Douglas		Ramps				SRTS	\$250,000			\$250,000
D0047.04	Oit of Doubles	Chino Road Extension	Ohio - Dood of Ohio of the ODOO	05!	O - material in m	Listens Miner Astroial	2		STP	40.057.500	#440 F00		#0.500.000
DGS17-01	City of Douglas	Phase 2	Chino Road: 9th Street to SR90	.85 miles	Construction	Urban Minor Arterial	2	2	SIP	\$2,357,500	\$142,500		\$2,500,000
		Sidewalks: Hwy 92: MP353											
ST-TE-15	State	353.4, Naco Hwy: Naco Hwy-Collins Rd, Bisbee	Hwy 92:MP353-353.4, Naco Hwy: Naco Hwy-Collins Rd, Bisbee		Construction/Sidewalks				TE18	\$706,987	\$42,734		\$749,721
	5,010	Town of Pima US 70	,							7.00,00	¥ 12,1 0 1		**,
ST-TE-21	State	Pedestrian Bridge Extension	US 70, Town of Pima		Construction: Pedestrian Bridge				TE17	\$561,792	\$33,958		\$595,750
		Reay Lane Irrigation Canal	Reay Lane Between US70 &										
GGH-13-04	Graham County	Ditch Relocation	Safford Bryce Road in Safford	.2 miles	Construction	Rural Minor Collector	2	2	HRRRP	\$238,390	\$14,410		\$252,800
	TOTAL FOR 2017								STP	\$10,000 \$4,124,669	\$233,601	\$0	\$10,000 \$4,358,270
2018		8th Ave & Airport Rd											
GGH12-04	Graham County	Intersection	Intersection		Construction	Rural Major Collector	2	2	HRRP	\$2,300,000			\$2,300,000
		Zorilla Street Bridge Rehabilitation, Structure	Zorilla Street between US 191 and						Off-System				. 7
CLF16-01	Town of Clifton	#9633	Park, Avenue, Clifton, AZ	216 Feet	Construction	Rural Local	2	2	Bridge	\$729,896	\$44,118		\$774,014
	LTAP								STP	\$10,000			\$10,000
<u> </u>	TOTAL FOR 2018									\$2,310,000	\$0	\$0	\$2,310,000
2019													
CCII 40 04	Cashina Caushy	Davis Dd. Improve	Davis David MD 0	1 mile	Construction of Safety &	Dural Major Calls -4	_	2	STP	64.250.424	600.054		£1 420 £15
CCH-19-01	Cochise County	Davis Rd. Improvements	Davis Road MP 9	1 mile	Drainage Improvements	Rural Major Collector	2	2	512	\$1,359,461	\$80,054		\$1,439,515

SEAGO REGION

2016 - 2020 Draft TIP Amendment #2
Approved By: TAC - 11/19/15 Administrative Committee - 12/3/15 Executive Committee- 12/3/15

	T	1			T							
		River Road and Pendleton Drive Safety										
SCC12-12	Santa Cruz County	Improvements	River Road and Pendleton Drive	Varies	Construction	Rural Major Collector	2	2	HRRRP	\$534,354	\$30,486	\$564,840
00012 12	Carita Cruz County	Reay Lane/Safford Bryce	Taver road and r chalcton brive	varies	Construction	Trainingor Concolor	-		THUUU	ψ004,004	ψ00,400	ФООТ,ОТО
GGH12-03	Graham County	Road	Intersection		Construction	Rural Major Collector	2	2	HRRRP	\$424,350	\$25,650	\$450,000
	,	Rio Rico and Pendleton				,						
		Drive Intersection										
SCC12-03	Santa Cruz County	Improvements	Intersection		Construction	Rural Major Collector			HRRRP	\$754,400	\$45,600	\$800,000
	LTAP								STP	\$10,000		\$10,000
	TOTAL FOR 2019									\$3,082,565	\$181,790	\$3,264,355
2020 SAF12-02	City of Safford	20th Ave, Phase II	Relation St to Golf Course Rd	.63 Miles	Construction	Urban Minor Arterial	2	5	STP	\$2,000,000	\$120,891	\$2,120,891
3AI 12-02	LTAP	Zotii Ave, i nase ii	Relation St to Goil Course No	.00 ivilles	Construction	Orban Millor Arterial	3	<u> </u>	STP	\$10,000	ψ120,031	\$10,000
	TOTAL FOR 2020								OII	\$2,010,000	\$120,891	\$0 \$2,130,891
										+ =,=:=,===	¥ 1=0,00 1	72,100,001
	BRIDGE PROJECTS											
					Scoping, Design,							
		Ft. Thomas River Structure			Environmental ROW, and				Off System			
GGH-BR-02	Graham County	No. 8131	Ft. Thomas River	1000 feet	Construction	Rural Local	2	2	Bridge	\$1,000,000	\$60,445	\$1,060,445
		Soap Box Canyon Bridge	W						055 0			
GEH-BR-07	Greenlee County	Replacement Structure 8149: Phase 2	Wards Canyon Road, 3.39 miles E Jct US 191	31 feet	Replacement	Rural Local	2	2	Off System Bridge	\$424,350	\$25,650	\$450,000
GEH-BR-07	Greeniee County	8149. Filase 2	300 03 191	31 leet	Replacement	Ruiai Locai	2		Blidge	\$424,330	\$25,050	\$450,000
	TOTAL BRIDGE PROJECTS									\$1,424,350	\$86,095	\$1,510,445
	TOTAL BRIDGET ROCEOTO									ψ1,424,000	ψου,υσο	\$1,510,445
	TOTAL FOR FIVE YEAR											
	PROGRAM									\$20,039,680	\$904,303	\$20,943,983
	FUNDING OBLIGATED IN 20	15										
		Regional Traffic Count										
SEA15-01	SEAGO Region	Program Continuation	Various Locations	N/A	Planning Study	Varies	N/A	N/A	STP	\$125,000	\$7,556	\$132,556
	_				Construction of Safety &							
CCH12-09	Cochise County	Davis Rd. Realignment	SR80 to SR191	24miles	Drainage Improvements	Rural Major Collector	2	2	HPP	\$1,993,821	\$120,517	\$2,114,338
					Design Sidewalks,							
D0040.05	0, 10 1	Joe Carlson Safe Routes			Crosswalks, Striping & ADA				0.070			2450.000
DGS13-05	City of Douglas	to School	Douglas		Ramps				SRTS	\$150,000		\$150,000
GGH12-03	Graham County	Reay Lane & Safford- Bryce Rd Intersection	Intersection		Dight of Mou	Dural Major Callagter	2	2	STP	\$30,000	\$1,813	\$31,813
GGH12-03	Granam County	City Traffic Signs Upgrade	Intersection		Right-of-Way	Rural Major Collector	2		SIP	\$30,000	\$1,013	\$31,013
SAF14-02	City of Safford	Project	City Wide	N/A	Construction				HSIP	\$76,885		\$76,885
THR12-13	Town of Thatcher	Church Street Widening	US 70 to Stadium Avenue	5,400 feet	ROW	Urban Major Collector	2	3	STP	\$532,282	\$32,174	\$564,456
	Town or Triatorio	Citywide Traffic Sign	CO TO to Cladian Titoria	0,1001000		Gran major Concotor	_		0	\$002,202	ψ02,	\$551,155
NOG 14-01	City of Nogales	Replacement	City Wide	N/A	Construction				HSIP	\$122,585		\$122,585
	, ,	Chino Road Extension	Chino Road: 3rd Street to 9th									
DGS12-05	City of Douglas	Phase 1	Street	.9 miles	Construction	Urban Minor Arterial	2	2	STP	\$141,000	\$8,523	\$149,523
		Copper Heights Phase VI	Segments along Graveyard Wash		Construction							
SAF-TE-09	City of Safford	SUP	and 14th Ave	.88 miles	TE Shared Use Path	Urban Collector			STP	\$30,000	\$1,710	\$31,710
0.5 75 40	011 50 55 1	Main Streescape and	Main Street @ 6th, 5th, & Central	0.45				2	0.70	****	***	2010110
SAF-TE-10	City of Safford	Drainage Improvements	Avenues	0.15	Drainage Improvements	Urban Collector	2	2	STP	\$230,000	\$13,110	\$243,110
		Pendleton Drive/Palo										ļ ,
		Parado Road Intersection	Pendleton Drive/Palo Parado									
SCC12-15	Santa Cruz County	Improvements	Intersection	N/A	Construction	Rural Major Collector	2	3	STP	\$190,000	\$11,485	\$201,485
	,	·	Main Street @ 6th, 5th, & Central		Replace existing traffic	,						
SAF12-01	City of Safford	Main Street Traffic Signals	Avenues	0.15	signals - Construction	Urban Collector	2	2	HSIP	\$100,000		\$100,000
		railroad crossing safety	Produce Row, east of 19B @ MP									
		improvements DOT#742-	3.8 in Nogales, Santa Cruz		Railroad-Highway Grade							
ADOT 15-02	ADOT	034T	County, AZ	0.1	Crossing improvements		2	2	HSIP-RGC	\$400,000		\$400,000
		Gold Hill Rd and UPRR										
		railroad crossing safety	Produce Row, east of 19B @ MP									
ADOT 45 00	ADOT	improvements DOT#742-	4.4 in Nogales, Santa Cruz	0.4	Railroad-Highway Grade		0	2	HSIP-RGC	0050.000		#050 000
ADOT 15-03	ADOT	032E Boardwalk and	County, AZ	0.1	Crossing improvements		2	2	HOIP-RGC	\$252,000	-	\$252,000
		Sidewalk/SR 80 Fremont			Construction/Boardwalk,							
			SR 80 Fremont Street between 3rd		sidewalk, porch roofs,							
ST-TE-19	State	St (Tombstone)	and 6th St (Tombstone)		landscaping				TE15	\$683,952	\$41,345	\$725,297
		SUP and Entry										
		Monument/SR 90										
10		MP313.01 School Dr to										
		313.05 Patton Rd,	SR 90 MP313.01 School Dr to		Construction/SUP, entry						***	
	0								TE18	\$437,552	\$26,448	\$464,000
ST-TE-18	State	Huachuca City	313.05 Patton Rd, Huachuca City		monument				ILIU	ψ 4 07,002	Ψ20,440	\$404,000
		Huachuca City Regional Strategic		NI/A		Vario	\$1/ *	N1/A				
SEA15-02	SEAGO/SVMPO Region	Huachuca City Regional Strategic Highway Safety Plan	Various Locations	N/A	Planning Study	Varies	N/A	N/A	HSIP	\$330,050	\$19,950	\$350,000
		Huachuca City Regional Strategic		N/A .63 Miles		Varies Urban Minor Arterial	N/A 3	N/A 5				

SEAGO REGION

2016 - 2020 TIP Amendment #2

Approved By: TAC - 11/19/15 Admistrative Committee - 12/3/15 Executive Committee - 12/3/15

LTAP					STP	\$10,000		\$10,000
TOTAL FOR 2015						\$6,005,063	\$292,464	\$6,297,527
·			•			-		

	Future Construction Pro	jects										
THR12-13	Town of Thatcher	Church Street Widening	US 70 to Stadium Avenue	5,400 feet	Construction	Urban Major Collector	2	3	STP	\$3,017,600	\$182,400	\$3,200,000
SAF12-02	City of Safford	20th Ave, Phase I3	Relation St to Golf Course Rd	.63 Miles	Construction	Urban Minor Arterial	3	5	STP	\$1,337,000	\$80,815	\$1,417,815
CCH14-04	Cochise County	Davis Road Improvements	SR191 to Central Highway	1.6 miles	Construction	Rural Major Collector	2	2				

	SEAGO Region FY 2015 5	310 Awards					
Project ID	Project Sponsor	Project Name	Project Location	Award Type	Federal Share	Loacal Share	Total Award
SEA-16-01	Douglas ARC	Cutaway with Lift - 9 Passenger	Douglas	Capital	\$56,700	\$6,300	\$63,000
SEA-16-02	Easter Seals Blake Foundation CLS Safford	Minivan No Ramp	Safford	Capital	\$22,500	\$2,500	\$25,000
SEA-16-03	SEACRS, Inc	Expansion Minivan - No Ramp	Nogales	Capital	\$22,500	\$2,500	\$25,000
SEA-16-04	Santa Cruz Training Program, Inc.	Minivan with Ramp	Nogales	Capital	\$36,000	\$4,000	\$40,000
SEA-16-05	Santa Cruz Training Program, Inc.	Cutaway with Lift - 9 Passenger	Nogales	Capital	\$56,700	\$6,300	\$63,000
SEA-16-06	Santa Cruz Training Program, Inc.	Cutaway with Lift - 9 Passenger	Nogales	Capital	\$50,400	\$12,600	\$63,000
SEA-16-07	SEAGO	Regional Mobility Manager	Region-wide	Mobility Management	\$125,000	\$31,250	\$156,250
SEA-16-08	SEAGO	Pilot Regional Training Program	Region-wide	Mobility Management	\$150,000	\$37,500	\$187,500
SEA-16-09	Easter Seals Blake Foundation - SAGE Graham County	Minivan No Ramp	Graham County	Capital	\$22,500	\$2,500	\$25,000
SEA-16-10	Easter Seals Blake Foundation - SAGE Greenlee County	Cutaway with Lift - 9 Passenger	Greenlee County	Capital	\$56,700	\$6,300	\$63,000
SEA-16-11	SEACRS, Inc	Cutaway with Lift - 14 Passenger	Sierra Vista	Capital	\$58,500	\$6,500	\$65,000
SEA-16-12	SEACRS, Inc	Cutaway with Lift - 14 Passenger	Nogales	Capital	\$58,500	\$6,500	\$65,000
SEA-16-13	Easter Seals Blake Foundation - SAGE Graham County	Transit Program Operating Funds	Graham County	Operating	\$40,000	\$40,000	\$80,000
SEA-16-14	Easter Seals Blake Foundation - SAGE Greenlee County	Transit Program Operating Funds	Greenlee County	Operating	\$5,000	\$5,000	\$10,000
SEA-16-15	Santa Cruz Training Program, Inc.	Transit Program Operating Funds	Nogales	Operating	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$40,000
SEA-16-16	Senior Citizens of Patagonia, Inc	Transit Program Operating Funds	Patagonia	Operating	\$8,000	\$8,000	\$16,000
SEA-16-17	Southeastern Arizona Community Action Program, Inc.	Transit Program Operating Funds	Safford	Operating	\$35,000	\$35,000	\$70,000
SEA-16-18	Volunteer Interfaith Caregiver Program	Transit Program Operating Funds	Sierra Vista	Operating	\$30,000	\$30,000	\$60,000
	Total FY15 Awards				\$854,000	\$262,750	\$1,116,750

	Sierra Vista MPO Projects												
TIP YEAR	PROJECT	PROJECT	PROJECT	LENGTH	TYPE OF	Functional	LANES	LANES	FED AID	FEDERAL	LOCAL	OTHER	TOTAL
Project ID	SPONSOR	NAME	LOCATION		IMP - WK - STRU	Classifications	BEFORE	AFTER	TYPE	FUNDS	MATCH	FUNDS	COST
2016													
		Resurfacing Buffalo Soldier											
SVS16-01	City of Sierra Vista	Trail	Between Fry Blvd and SR 90 Bypass	1.5 Miles	Environmental	Minor Arterial	4	4	STP	\$9,430	\$570		\$10,000
		Resurfacing Buffalo Soldier											
SVS16-01	City of Sierra Vista	Trail	Between Fry Blvd and SR 90 Bypass	1.5 Miles	ADOT Review	Minor Arterial	4	4	STP	\$28,290	\$1,710		\$30,000
	TOTAL FOR 2016									\$37,720	\$2,280		\$40,000
2017													
		Resurfacing Buffalo Soldier											
SVS16-01	City of Sierra Vista	Trail	Between Fry Blvd and SR 90 Bypass	1.5 Miles	Construction	Minor Arterial	4	4	STP	\$1,191,096	\$71,966		\$1,263,062
	TOTAL FOR 2017									\$1,191,096	\$71,966		\$1,263,062
	5-YEAR PROGRAM TOTAL									\$1,228,816	\$74,246		\$1,303,062

	SVMPO TRANSIT PROJECTS									
	ID#	Sponsor	Project Description	Type of Work	Fed Aid Type	Apportionment Year	ALI CODE	Federal Funding	Local Match	Total Cost
Г			General							

SEAGO REGION 2016 - 2020 Draft TIP Amendment #2 Approved By: TAC - 11/19/15 Administrative Committee - 12/3/15 Executive Committee- 12/3/15

					Totals FY 2015/2016		\$1,028,049	\$626,211	\$1,654,260
SVMPO 16-06	City of Sierra Vista	Fleet Fuel Software	Capital	5339	2015/2016	11.62.20	\$161,600	\$40,400	\$202,000
SVMPO 16-05	City of Sierra Vista	Preventive Maintenance	Capital	5307	2014/2015	11.7A.00	\$239,301	\$59,826	\$299,127
SVMPO 16-04	City of Sierra Vista	Acquire - Bus Passenger Shelters	Capital	5307	2015/2016	11.33.02	\$6,000	\$1,500	\$7,500
SVMPO 16-03	City of Sierra Vista	Construction - Bus Pullouts	Capital	5307	2015/2016	30.09.01	\$51,040	\$12,760	\$63,800
SVMPO 16-02	City of Sierra Vista	Transit Operations 50/50	Operations	5307	2015/2016	30.09.01	\$492,236	492,256	\$984,472
SVMPO 16-01	City of Sierra Vista	Development/Comprehensive Planning	Planning	5307	2015/2016	44.22	\$77,872	\$19,469	\$97,341



TAC PACKET

TO: SEAGO TAC

FROM: CHRIS VERTREES, SEAGO TRANSPORTATION PLANNER

DATE: JANUARY 12, 2016

RE: SEAGO/SVMPO JOINT REGIONAL STRATEGIC HIGHWAY SAFETY PLAN

At our meeting, we will be selecting the consultant that will develop a Regional Strategic Highway Safety Plan (SHSP) for the SEAGO and Sierra Vista Metropolitan Planning Organization Regions. Three consultants have been selected by the review committee to present to our TAC. Below is the presentation schedule:

Firm	Time
Kimley-Horn	10:30 AM
Wilson & Company, Inc.	11:00 AM
Amec Foster Wheeler Environmental & Infrastructure, Inc.	11:30 AM

Each firm will have 15 minutes to present their proposal, followed by a 15 minute question and answer period. For your review, I have attached a copy of the score form that will be used to score the presenting firms. The scoring criteria were established during our September TAC Meeting.

Attachments: SEAGO/SVMPO Joint Regional SHSP Scoring Form

SEAGO SEAGO/SVMPO JOINT REGIONAL STRATEGIC HIGHWAY SAFETY PLAN REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL SCORING FORM

Nan	ne of Firm			
Date	e of Rating			
Nan	ne of Reviewer			
	Eva	Iluation Criteria	Possible Points	Points Awarded
1.	Evaluation of exis	ting conditions and key project	20	
2.	Identification of key that should be consi	issues, challenges and opportunities dered.	20	
3.	Innovative approach	es in the process	20	
4.	Experience and cap studies	pabilities in development of similar	20	
5.	Specific reasons why project	y the firm should be engaged in the	20	
		Total Points	100	
Con	nments:			
COII	inients.			



TRANSPORTATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE PACKET

MEMO TO: TRANSPORTATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE

FROM: RANDY HEISS, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

DATE: JANUARY 13, 2016

SUBJECT: TRANSPORTATION ISSUES POSITION STATEMENT

For the last several years, the SEAGO TAC has engaged in a substantive discussion regarding transportation issues of concern impacting the ability of local governments to plan and implement transportation projects. The issues the TAC felt were particularly important last year are presented within the 2015 - 2016 Transportation Issues Position Statement that begins on the following page. I appreciate the opportunity to review these with you and gather your feedback on current issues that the TAC recommends be included in this year's Transportation Issues Position Statement.

Attachments: 2015 – 2016 Transport	ation Issues Position Statement	
Action Requested:	☐ Information Only	Action Requested Below:

A motion to approve, amend or reject the Transportation Issues Position Statement to be presented to the Administrative Council and Executive Board.



SouthEastern Arizona Governments Organization

Serving our member governments and their constituents since 1972

SEAGO Member Entities

Cochise County Benson Bisbee Douglas Huachuca City Sierra Vista *Tombstone* Willcox Graham County Pima Safford San Carlos Apache Tribe Thatcher Greenlee County Clifton Duncan Santa Cruz County Nogales Patagonia

> SEAGO Main Office

Administration CDBG Economic Dev. Housing Transportation

1403 W. Hwy 92 Bisbee, AZ 85603 520-432-5301 520-432-5858 Fax

Area Agency on Aging Office

300 Collins Road Bisbee, AZ 85603 520-432-2528 520-432-9168 Fax

www.seago.org

On February 27, 2015, the SEAGO Executive Board adopted the following positions pertaining to transportation issues of concern impacting the SEAGO region:

1. END THE DIVERSION OF DEDICATED TRANSPORTATION FUNDING.

Background

Despite an annual statutory cap of \$20 million, approximately \$96 million in HURF funding was diverted to fund Department of Public Safety operations in the current fiscal year, and over \$1.8 billion has been diverted over the past thirteen years. At their February 27, 2015 meeting, the SEAGO Executive Board adopted Resolution No. 2015-01, urging the Arizona Legislature and Governor to end the diversion of HURF revenues to the State General Fund, and direct dedicated transportation funding to its intended uses. The Resolution cites facts such as how the HURF diversions are negatively impacting highway safety, increasing vehicle maintenance and repair costs for both the general public and businesses, and limiting the State's ability to develop and maintain the quality infrastructure needed to support jobs and economic growth, enhance Arizona's global competitiveness in interstate and international trade, and maintain the quality of life Arizona residents expect.

<u>Position Statement:</u> Urge the Governor and Legislature to direct dedicated transportation funding to its intended uses as requested in Resolution No. 2015-01.

2. RESTORE THE HURF EXCHANGE PROGRAM.

Background

The HURF exchange program was suspended in 2008 due to inadequate state-generated transportation revenues. The program enabled rural local governments to exchange their federal transportation dollars with ADOT for state-generated HURF funding. This allowed the locals to bypass federal aid requirements which significantly increase project administrative costs, delay project delivery and prevent local governments from retaining administrative control over their projects. Despite commendable efforts on the part of ADOT over the last two years to increase staffing in the Environmental Planning Group, many projects remain stuck in the environmental clearance process and may not be able to move forward this year.

As a result of these delays, COGs and MPOs continue an increasingly complex juggling act of loaning obligation authority among one another to manage the risk of funds being rescinded. A downward change in Obligation Authority rate, or worse, a reduction in the amount Arizona receives from the Federal government could be catastrophic to this strategy.

Transportation planning experts in the SEAGO region and across rural Arizona believe the single most effective way to enhance local project delivery and cost effectiveness is through restoration of the HURF Exchange program. Restoring program would have the additional benefit of relieving the administrative burden on

Transportation Issues Position Statement 2015-2016
Page 2

ADOT staff in reviewing local projects and allow them to refocus their efforts on state projects. If the HURF diversions are sufficiently reduced, ADOT would have the capacity to reinstitute the HURF Exchange Program.

<u>Position Statement:</u> Encourage ADOT to restore the HURF Exchange Program.

3. EXPLORE ALL POSSIBLE FUNDING OPTIONS TO RESOLVE THE SR 189 BOTTLENECK

Background

A long-term solution is needed to ease the commercial traffic congestion on SR 189, which connects the newly expanded Mariposa LPOE to Interstate 19. Now that the LPOE expansion is completed, a total of 12 inspection lanes are operational. The additional capacity at the LPOE, combined with increasing manufacturing activity in Mexico and the continued expansion of the Seaport of Guaymas is expected to double the commercial traffic on SR 189, which currently has only two northbound lanes. While the interim signalization and turn lane improvements recently completed by ADOT at the I-19/SR 189 transportation interchange are appreciated, at the peak of the produce season, this pinch point will continue to hamper cross border trade and economic growth in Arizona until a long-term solution is implemented.

On November 21, 2014, the SEAGO Executive Board adopted Resolution No. 2014-05 resolving the intent of SEAGO to work cooperatively with the Maricopa Association of Governments, the Pima Association of Governments, and the remaining Regional Planning Agencies in the State to jointly advocate to the Arizona Department of Transportation, the Federal Highway Administration, the State Transportation Board, Arizona's Congressional Delegation, the Arizona Legislature, and other public and private stakeholders, to explore additional funding, creative financing, and additional statutory flexibility in order to advance the construction of the preferred build alternative for SR 189 into the ADOT Five-Year Transportation Facilities Construction Program while holding harmless currently programmed projects.

<u>Position Statement:</u> Encourage ADOT to support the efforts of the Regional Planning Agencies to raise above the line revenue to advance the preferred build alternative for SR 189 into the ADOT Five-Year Transportation Facilities Construction Program and to build a long-term solution that reduces the commercial traffic congestion on SR 189, facilitates cross border trade, enhances economic growth, and fosters job creation in Arizona, as expressed in Resolution No. 2014-05.

4. EXPAND TRANSPORTATION INFRASTRUCTURE FUNDING MECHANISMS

Background

While Arizona has not adjusted the gas tax for inflation in over 20 years, many other states have been far more proactive by increasing their gas tax, implementing automatic adjustments based on gas prices and inflation, or are considering alternative funding measures such as dedicated sales taxes or moving to vehicle miles travelled fee structures. Similarly, the federal gasoline tax has not been increased since 1993, and the Highway Trust Fund (HTF), into which the revenue flows, has suffered because the tax has not kept pace with inflation. In addition, improvements in vehicle fuel economy and increased use of alternative fuel vehicles have reduced consumption.

Historically, when the HTF has run low on cash, Congress has authorized a transfer of general fund dollars to continue transportation funding levels, but with the current partisan divisiveness in D.C. and the ongoing debate over the nation's indebtedness, the issue could remain unresolved. The federal gasoline tax currently generates approximately 2/3 of the funds going into the HTF, so absent a general fund transfer, states would experience a 1/3 reduction in federal transportation funding. The Congressional Budget Office recently

Transportation Issues Position Statement 2015-2016
Page 3

indicated that Congress will need to come up with \$73 billion to pass a five-year reauthorization bill this year to make up the gap between gas tax receipts and expected funding levels and prevent the HTF from going broke. Failure to bridge this gap would not only result in serious consequences to transportation projects across the nation, but would also be catastrophic to the loaning of funds between COGs and MPOs established to avoid having regional apportionments rescinded by ADOT.

<u>Position Statement:</u> Urge the Governor, Legislature, and Congress to expand existing dedicated transportation funding sources, and develop sustainable alternative state and federal transportation funding mechanisms.

5. EMPOWER ADOT STAFF TO CHALLENGE THE PROCESS

Background

MAP 21 contains a provision for categorical exclusions for projects using under \$5 million in federal funding and occurring within the existing operational right-of-way. Essentially, a categorical exclusion would apply to projects that will use less than \$5 million in federal funds and which are proposed to take place entirely within an existing operational right-of-way. Existing operational right-of-way refers to right-of-way that has been disturbed for an existing transportation facility or is maintained for a transportation purpose, including the roadway and shoulders, bridges, interchanges, culverts, drainage, and other areas maintained for transportation purposes such as clear zones, traffic control signage, landscaping, any rest areas with direct access to a controlled access highway, areas maintained for safety and security of a transportation facility, parking facilities with direct access to an existing transportation facility, and more.

However, this unique opportunity to streamline small projects is apparently compromised by a requirement for considering 'unusual circumstances' in determining whether a categorical exclusion will be allowed on a project. Unusual circumstances refers to "circumstances in which a normally excluded action may have a significant environmental effect and, therefore, requires an EA or EIS." Examples of unusual circumstances include "substantial controversy on environmental grounds, significant impacts on properties protected by section 4(f) of the Department of Transportation Act or section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act, or inconsistencies with any Federal, State, or local law, requirement, or administrative determination relating to the environmental aspects of the action" (emphasis added).

Based on a preliminary discussion with Arizona's FHWA Division Administrator, they are interpreting 'unusual circumstances' to mean any project area that had not previously been cleared through the NEPA process. Because many of the roads in the SEAGO region were built or improved to their current state before NEPA existed or improvements were funded using HURF Exchange or local funds, this interpretation renders the categorical exclusion provided under MAP 21 useless for the majority of rural Arizona roads.

<u>Position Statement:</u> Encourage ADOT and Federal Highway Administration to uphold the categorical exclusion provisions in MAP 21 as intended by Congress and impose the <u>minimum federal</u> requirements and allow <u>maximum flexibility</u> for small local public agency projects with no significant environmental effect.

6. RAISE TITLE 34 LIMITATION ON USE OF LOCAL FORCES

Background

Arizona Revised Statutes Title 34 Section 201 Subsection D prohibits cities, counties and other public agencies from constructing any street, road, bridge, water or sewer project using their regularly employed personnel unless the total cost of the work is less than one hundred fifty thousand dollars adjusted by the

Transportation Issues Position Statement 2015-2016
Page 4

annual percentage change in the GDP price deflator. This amounts to \$217,710 in today's dollars and severely limits local governments' use of their own forces to construct transportation and other infrastructure improvements. As an example, for a road project, the earthwork and drainage improvements alone can cost up to \$253,000 per mile. The impact of this limitation to rural local governments is compounded by the fact that many contractors are not interested in bidding small projects in rural areas, and when they do, bids frequently come in higher than budgeted because of mobilization costs. It would greatly assist rural local governments in improving their transportation infrastructure, and provide for more cost effective use of rural Arizona taxpayer's dollars if the statutory limitation in A.R.S. § 34-201, Subsection D were reset to \$500,000 and/or the cost of materials were excluded from the calculation of project costs.

<u>Position Statement:</u> Urge the Governor and Legislature, to reset the Title 34 limitation on use of local forces to construct street, road, bridge, water or sewer projects without advertising for bids to \$500,000 and/or exclude the cost of materials from the calculation of project costs.



TAC PACKET

TO: SEAGO TAC

FROM: CHRIS VERTREES, SEAGO TRANSPORTATION PLANNER

DATE: JANUARY 7, 2016

RE: ELECTION OF OFFICERS

Article 6 of the **SEAGO TAC Bylaws** requires that a Chairperson and Vice-Chairperson be elected at the first meeting of the new calendar year. Our current officers are:

Chairperson: Michael Bryce – Graham County

Vice Chairperson: Jesus Valdez – Santa Cruz County

The Bylaws provide no direction in regards to length of service limitations. Therefore, the TAC could elect to keep the current Chair and Vice-Chair in place or elect new officers.



TAC PACKET

TO: SEAGO TAC

FROM: CHRIS VERTREES, SEAGO TRANSPORTATION PLANNER

DATE: JANUARY 14, 2016

RE: 2016 TAC MEETING CALANDER

The SEAGO TAC is scheduled to meet on the third Thursday of every other month. Below for your approval is our 2016 meeting calendar.

Date	Scheduled Business	Location
	Election of Officers, SHSP Consultant	
January 21, 2016	Selection, Transportation Issues Position	Cochise College
	Statement (Update)	Benson Center
	2017-2021 Draft TIP, Final FY 16 Project	Cochise College
March 17, 2016	Status Reviews, SHSP Kick-off Meeting	Benson Center
		Cochise College
May 19, 2016	Traffic Count/HPMS Program Updates	Benson Center
		Cochise College
July 21, 2016	General Business	Benson Center
		Cochise College
September 15, 2016	General Business	Benson Center
		Cochise College
November 17, 2016	Initial FY 16 Project Status Reviews	Benson Center



Works Consulting

GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION SOLUTIONS & LINEAR REFERENCE SYSTEM MANAGEMENT FOR

ROADWAYS . TRAFFIC . PUBLIC SAFETY

December 4, 2015

Southeastern Arizona Governments Organization 1403 W. Highway 92 Bisbee, AZ 85603

Chris Vertrees, Transportation Planner Attention:

Cindy Osborn, Accounts Manager

Subject: Extension to

2011-12 Regional Traffic Count, Data Collection & Mapping Program

Ext #1 - Progress Report #3 – 06/25/2015 to 12/04/2015 Ext #2 - Progress Report #1 - 07/01/2015 to 12/04/2015

Dear Mr. Vertrees and members of the TTAC:

Attached is our third statement for the 1st extension of the subject project. Due to the fact that we've also started the 2nd extension, we are providing two invoices to cover the two different budgets.

During the period, our traffic counting work plan has been delayed as we have further concentrated on working with the counties to get the centerline files as clean and compliant as possible. We did finally get confirmation on the location of all Extension #1 traffic count locations, but our concentration on building a valid MS2-based traffic count map – to provide the subcontractor for logistics – kept us from executing it. Furthermore, the ability to combine the two plans will provide an economy of scale for counts conducted probably in January.

There haven't been any follow-up queries from ADOT regarding last June's HPMS submittal.

This opportunity to be of service is appreciated. If you have any questions or concerns please call.

Sincerely.

Jerome P. Breyer, P.E.

Managing Member