

## SEAGO TRANSPORTATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE

### AMENDED AGENDA FOR SEAGO TAC

Date:	March 16, 2017
Time:	10 a.m.
Location:	Cochise College Benson Center, 1025 State Hwy. 90, Benson, Arizona
Call-in No.	Call Chris Vertrees (520-432-5301 ext. 209) (cdvertrees@seago.org) 48 hrs. in advance of meeting
	date for call-in information.

Individuals wishing to participate in the meeting telephonically may do so by contacting Chris Vertrees at (520) 432-5301 extension 209. Contact must be made at least 48 hours before the meeting in order to obtain the call-in information. Please note that the option to participate telephonically may not be available unless requested as instructed above.

Si necesita acomodaciones especiales o un intérprete para esta conferencia, deben ponerse en contacto con Chris Vertrees al número (520) 432-5301, extensión 209, por lo menos setenta y dos (72) horas antes de la conferencia.

7 0. ti 000 a	annord (020) 102 0001, oxtonioidii 2	00, por 10 moneo cotoma y aco (1 =) 1.	oras armos as la seriforential
Voting TAC Members	Mark Hoffman – ADOT MPD Michelle Johnson –Benson Andy Haratyk – Bisbee lan McGaughey – Clifton Karen Lamberton – Cochise County Lynn Kartchner – Douglas John Basteen – Duncan	Michael Bryce (Vice-Chair) – Graham County Phil Ronnerud –Greenlee Co. TBD - Huachuca City Juan Guerra – Nogales Dave Teel – Patagonia Jeff McCormick – Pima Randy Petty - Safford	Marvin Mull – San Carlos Apache Tribe (SCAT) Jesus Valdez (Chair) – Santa Cruz County Heath Brown – Thatcher Donna Driskell Tombstone Galo Galovale– Willcox
Guests, Staff, and Other Expected Attendees	Chris Vertrees – SEAGO		

	Shaded items are action items.		
ITEM	SUBJECT	PRESENTER	PAGE
1.	Call to Order and Introductions	Jesus	N/A
2.	Call to the Public	Jesus	N/A
3.	Approval of Minutes of January 26, 2017	Jesus	3-6
4.	STP/HSIP Ledger Reports	Chris	7-8
5.	TIP Report  ➤ Discussion and Possible Action on Current TIP  • Administrative Changes  • Proposed Amendments	Chris	9-12
6.	Approval of SEAGO 2018-2022 Draft TIP	Chris	13-15
7.	HURF Exchange Program Update	Chris	16-26
8.	Arizona Local Public Agency Stakeholder Council Meeting Schedule	Chris	27
9.	SHSP TAC Meeting Reminder		28
10.	District Engineers' Report  > Status of State Highway Projects Quarterly Project Report	TBD	N/A

SEAGO TAC: March 16, 2017



### SEAGO TRANSPORTATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE

### AMENDED AGENDA FOR SEAGO TAC

11.	Regional Local Program Reports	Towns, Cities,	
	Status of Local Projects	Counties, &	
	STP Projects	ADOT	
	<ul> <li>Update on Enhancement Projects</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>Update on HSIP Projects</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>Update on all Planning Studies</li> </ul>		N/A
12.	Items for General Discussion	All	N/A
13.	Items for Next Meeting	All	N/A
14.	Next Meeting Date: May 18, 2017	Jesus	N/A
15.	Adjourn		

Direction may be given to SEAGO staff on any item on the agenda

SEAGO TAC: March 16, 2017



# SEAGO TRANSPORTATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES FOR January 26, 2017

Date:	January 26, 2017		
Time:	10 a.m.		
Location:	Cochise College Benson Cer	nter, 1025 State Hwy. 90, Benson, Ar	izona
Voting	Michelle Johnson, Benson	Michael Bryce, Graham	Lynn Kartchner, Douglas
TAC	Karen Lamberton, Cochise	Andy Haratyk, Bisbee	, , ,
Members	Mark Hoffman, ADOT	Heath Brown, Thatcher	
Present	Jesus Valdez, Santa Cruz	Phil Ronnerud, Greenlee	
	Juan Guerra, Nogales	lan McGaughey, Clifton	
Guests,	Chris Vertrees, SEAGO		
Staff, and			
Other			
Attendees			

#### 1. Call to Order and Introductions

Chair Jesus Valdez called the meeting to order at 10:07 a.m. TAC members, guests and SEAGO staff introduced themselves.

#### 2. Call to the Public

Chair Jesus Valdez made a Call to the Public and no one spoke.

#### 3. Approval of Minutes of November 17, 2016

Chair Jesus Valdez asked for a motion to approve the November 17, 2016 Minutes.

**MOTION:** Michelle Johnson moved to approve the November 17, 2016 Minutes.

**SECOND:** Ian McGaughey

**ACTION: APPROVED UNANIMOUSLY** 

#### 4. STP/HSIP Ledger Reports

Chris Vertrees presented the STP/HSIP Ledger Reports that were included in the TAC packet on pages 6 and 7.

#### 5. TIP Report

Chris Vertrees presented the TIP Report. Chris advised the TAC of the following proposed amendments:

**DGS13-05 - City of Douglas - (Joe Carlson SRTS):** This is a Safe Routes to School Project funded with HSIP funds. We have \$250,000 programmed for this project. However, the current construction estimate is \$320,000. This project was programmed in FY16 for \$200,000 for



# SEAGO TRANSPORTATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES FOR January 26, 2017

design. However, only \$40,000 was used. The unused \$160,000 was reprogrammed. If approved, this project will be programmed in FY17 in the amount of \$70,000 Federal funding. The project is eligible for 100% HSIP funding, so no local match will be required.

SCC12-12 (River Road and Pendleton Drive Safety Improvements) and SCC12-03 (Rio Rico and Pendleton Drive Intersection Improvements) are in need of additional HSIP funding to complete the design phase of each project. If approved, each project will be programmed in the following manner:

SCC12-12 (River Road and Pendleton Drive Safety Improvements): Federal - \$56,373 and \$3,627 Local

SCC12-03 (Rio Rico and Pendleton Drive Intersection Improvements): Federal - \$70,467 and \$4,533 Local

Chris advised that ADOT has recommended (Santa Cruz County and the City of Nogales have concurred) that the two CMAQ funded and programmed projects, I-19/Ruby Road TI Design (SCC18-01) and the Valle Verde/Paseo Verde Paving project (NOG19-01), swap programmed years. This change would move the Nogales paving project to FY2018 and the Santa Cruz County Ruby Road TI Design project to FY2019. This change was recommended due to the ongoing I-19, East Frontage Road, Ruby Road to Rio Rico Drive Project Assessment. The PA will not be complete until November 2017. Swapping the program years for the two projects will allow for delivering a CMAQ project in FY2018 as planned and will place the Santa Cruz County Ruby Road TI Design project in the correct year to follow the PA recommendations.

Chair Jesus Valdez asked for a motion to approve the discussed TIP Amendments.

**MOTION:** Heath Brown moved to approve the TIP Amendments as discussed.

SECOND: Juan Guerra

**ACTION: APPROVED UNANIMOUSLY** 

#### 6. Transportation Issues Position Statement

Chris Vertrees presented the Transportation Issues Position Statement located on page 12 through 16 of their TAC Packet. Each issue of the Statement was reviewed by the TAC. The TAC was in agreement with the issues identified in the Statement. The TAC felt that Position Statement #5 (Reject Efforts To Lower The Title 34 Limitation On Use of Local Forces) was extremely imported and requested that it be elevated to Position Statement #2 in the final Position Statement presented to the SEAGO Executive Board for approval. The TAC also discussed the need to use stronger wording to emphasize the need to build SR 189 Phase 1 and 2 at the same time. Chris will work with Randy on the wording of Position Statement #3 (Explore All possible Options To Build Phases 1 and 2 of the SR 189 Project in FY19).

Chair Jesus Valdez asked for a motion to approve the discussed Transportation Issues Position Statement.



# SEAGO TRANSPORTATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES FOR January 26, 2017

MOTION: Michelle Johnson moved to approve the Transportation Issues Statement with the

modifications to Position Issue Statement #5 and #3.

SECOND: Juan Guerra

**ACTION: APPROVED UNANIMOUSLY** 

#### 7. Approval of SEAGO TAC 2017 Meeting Calendar

Chris Vertrees advised the TAC that the 2017 meeting calendar was located on page 17 of their packet. Chris asked if anyone saw any conflicts with the calendar. No one identified any conflicts.

Chair Jesus Valdez asked for a motion to approve the SEAGO TAC 2017 Meeting Calendar.

MOTION: Jesus Valdez moved to approve the SEAGO TAC 2017 Meeting Calendar

**SECOND:** Andy Haratyk

**ACTION: APPROVED UNANIMOUSLY** 

#### 8. Election of Officers

Chris Vertrees advised the TAC of the following:

**Article 6 of the SEAGO TAC Bylaws** requires that a Chairperson and Vice-Chairperson be elected at the first meeting of the new calendar year. Our current officers are:

Chairperson: Jesus Valdez – Santa Cruz County Vice Chairperson: Michael Bryce – Graham County

The Bylaws provide no direction in regards to length of service limitations. Therefore, the TAC could elect to keep the current Chair and Vice-Chair in place or elect new officers. The TAC discussed the selection of officers and indicated the preference to keep the current officers in place.

Chair Jesus Valdez asked for a motion to approve the selection of officers.

**MOTION:** Andy Haratyk moved to keep our current officers in place for another year.

**SECOND:** Heath Brown

**ACTION: APPROVED UNANIMOUSLY** 

#### 9. SHSP Site Visit Prioritization

Chris Vertrees advised the TAC that there is approximately \$35,000 available in HSIP funding to conduct law enforcement site visits for our Strategic Highway Safety Plan. The \$35,000 includes travel costs, data collection time, data analysis, and reporting. The time needs of each site will be unique and will be dependent on agency cooperation, organization, and available resources. Therefore, the financial capability to visit all sites may not be possible.

Chris advised the TAC that the draft SHSP Site Visit Matrix was located on page 20 of their



# SEAGO TRANSPORTATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE

### **MEETING MINUTES FOR January 26, 2017**

packet. He advised that was developed based upon current crash data, discussions at our TAC meetings and with our consultant team. The Matrix shows the fatal, incapacitating injury, and total crashes reported for the last 5 year reporting period (2011 through 2015) by each of our agencies. The TAC reviewed and discussed the matrix. No changes were recommended. However, the TAC directed Chris to take steps to save travel costs by ensuring that agencies located near each other be conducted during the same trip.

Chair Jesus Valdez asked for a motion to approve the SHSP Site Visit Matrix.

MOTION: Ian McGaughey moved to approve the SHSP Site Visit Matrix with the additional cost

saving direction.

**SECOND:** Karen Lamberton

**ACTION: APPROVED UNANIMOUSLY** 

#### 10. Discussion and Selection of a SEAGO LPA Representative

Chris Vertrees advised the TAC that we are in need of a new representative for the Arizona Local Public Agency (LPA) Stakeholder Council. The purpose of the LPA Stakeholder Council is to develop partnerships that enhance communication among the state's local public agencies, ADOT and FHWA. Each MPO and COG has been asked to have a representative on the Council. Karen Lamberton and Heath Brown have both served as the SEAGO representative. After discussion Karen Lamberton volunteered to serve as our representative. However, she felt that other TAC members should also attend the meetings in support of LPA issues. After further discussion, it was decided that Chris will get the meeting schedule from ADOT and that a sign-up sheet be provided at the next meeting for those interested in attending.

#### 11. District Engineers' Report

Representatives from our District Engineers offices were unable to attend due to scheduling conflicts. Chris did distribute the LPA Section Project Planning Update.

#### 12. Regional Local Program Reports

Those in attendance reported their current status of local projects and issues.

#### 13. Items for General Discussion

Chair Jesus Valdez asked if anyone had items for general discussion. No one spoke.

#### 14. Items for Next Meeting

Chris Vertrees reported that the agenda for our March meeting will be kept short due to our SHSP TAC Meeting that will follow our TAC Meeting. Items for next meeting include approval of the SEAGO 2018-2022 TIP, project reviews if needed, and an a LPA Stakeholder Council Meeting Schedule and meeting sign-up sheet will be provided for those interested in attending.

#### 15. Next Meeting Date January 17, 2017 at the Cochise College Benson Center.

#### **MEETING ADJOURNED AT 12:10 PM**

#### SEAGO Draft STP Ledger 2017-2021 Revised: March 2017

New OA rate from ADOT effective FFY 2017	94.9% *	Projected Fed	Funds *	Cumulative I	Balance
Action	OA Rate	Apportionment	OA	Apportionment	OA
STP Carry Forward FY16	94.9%	\$223,196	\$211,590	\$223,196	\$211,590
FY 2017 Allocation	94.9%	\$1,001,206	\$950,144	\$1,224,402	\$1,161,734
Davis Road MP 9.9 Bid Savings		\$482,675	\$482,675	\$1,707,077	\$1,644,409
Repay SVMPO for FY15/16 Loans		-\$905,637	-\$905,637	\$801,440	\$738,772
Greenlee County: Campbell Blue Bridge		-\$223,210	-\$223,210	\$578,230	\$515,562
Douglas - Joe Carlson SFTS		-\$66,010	-\$66,010	\$512,220	\$449,552
Tech Transfer (LTAP)		-\$10,000	-\$10,000	\$502,220	\$439,552
FY 2016 Balance				\$502,220	\$439,552
EV 0040 All	0.1.00/	04.004.000	0050 444	D4 500 400	<b>#</b> 4 000 007
FY 2018 Allocation	94.9%	\$1,001,206	\$950,144	\$1,503,426	\$1,389,697
Douglas: Chino Road Extension Phase 2 (Tenative)		-\$2,357,500	-\$2,357,500	-\$854,074	-\$967,803
Cochise County: Davis Road ROW		-\$250,920	-\$250,920	-\$1,104,994	-\$1,218,723
Repay SVMPO for FY16 Loan #2		-\$69,870	-\$69,870	-\$1,174,864	-\$1,288,593
Tech Transfer (LTAP)		-\$10,000	-\$10,000	-\$1,184,864	-\$1,358,463
FY 2018 Balance				-\$1,184,864	-\$1,358,463
FY 2019 Allocation	94.9%	\$1,001,206	\$950,144	-\$183,658	-\$408,319
Tech Transfer (LTAP)		-\$10,000	-\$10,000	-\$193,658	-\$418,319
FY 2019 Balance		, ,	, ,	-\$193,658	-\$418,319
FY 2020 Allocation	94.9%	\$1,001,206	\$950,144	\$807,548	\$531,826
20th Ave, Phase II (Construction) Safford	34.370	-\$2,000,000	-\$2,000,000	-\$1,192,452	-\$1,468,174
Tech Transfer (LTAP)		-\$2,000,000	-\$10,000	-\$1,202,452	-\$1,408,174
FY 2020 Balance		-φ10,000	-φ10,000	-\$1,202,452	-\$1,478,174
1 1 2020 Dalatice				-φ1,202,432	-φ1,470,174
FY2021 Allocation	94.9%	\$1,001,206	\$950,144	-\$201,246	-\$528,030
Tech Transfer (LTAP)		-\$10,000	-\$10,000	-\$211,246	-\$538,030
FY 2021 Balance				-\$221,246	-\$548,030

#### This is an internal SEAGO document, and is used to provide a general overview of STP funds for a five year period.

 ${\sf OA = Obligated\ Authority.}\ \ {\sf This\ is\ the\ amount\ of\ money\ that\ can\ actually\ be\ obligated\ to\ SEAGO\ based\ upon\ the\ OA\ \%.}$ STP = Surface Transportation Program funds. This amount is allocated to SEAGO based upon the 2010 population Balance carry-over is no longer allowed. Excess funds must be utilized or loaned to another COG or to the State.

<sup>\*</sup> Notes: 1. Updated: March 2017

<sup>2.</sup> OA Rate is at 94.9% is subject to change

<sup>3.</sup> STP Apportionments are ADOT estimates and subject to change.

#### SEAGO HSIP Ledger 2017-2020 Revised: March 2017

New OA rate from ADOT effective FFY 2016	94.9% *	Projected Fed	l Funds *	Cumulative	Balance
Action	OA Rate	Apportionment	OA	Apportionment	OA
HSIP Balance 10/1/16		\$118,850	\$112,670	\$118,850	\$112,670
FY 2017 Allocation	94.9%	\$519,767	\$493,259	\$638,617	\$605,929
Repay SVMPO Loan*		-\$496,377	-\$496,377	\$142,240	\$109,552
Repayment from YMPO		\$105,000	\$105,000	\$247,240	\$214,552
SEAGO/SVMPO Strategic Higway Safety Plan		-\$50,000	-\$50,000	\$197,240	\$164,552
Santa Cruz County: River Road/ Pendleton Design		-\$56,580	-\$56,580	\$140,660	\$107,972
Santa Cruz County: Rio Rico/Pendleton Design		-\$70,725	-\$70,725	\$69,935	\$37,247
Graham County: Reay Lane/Safford Bryce Intersection		-\$66,010	-\$66,010	\$3,925	-\$28,763
FY 2017 Balance				\$3,925	-\$28,763
FY 2018 Allocation	94.9%	\$519,767	\$493,259	\$523,692	\$464,496
None		\$0	\$0	\$523,692	\$464,496
FY 2018 Balance				\$523,692	\$464,496
FY 2019 Allocation	94.9%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
(Local HSIP Funding Allocation Discontinued)		·	·		·

<sup>\*</sup> Notes: 1. Updated: March 2107

#### This is an internal SEAGO document, and is used to provide a general overview of HSIP funds for a five year period.

OA = Obligated Authority. This is the amount of money that can actually be obligated to SEAGO based upon the OA %.

HSIP = Highway Safety Improvement Program funds. This amount is allocated to SEAGO based upon ADOT's distribution formula.

Balance carry-over is no longer allowed. Excess funds must be utilized or loaned to another COG.

<sup>2.</sup> Reflects ADOT assigned OA Rate of 94.9%

<sup>3.</sup> HSIP Apportionments are ADOT estimates and subject to change.



## TAC PACKET

TO: SEAGO TAC

FROM: CHRIS VERTREES, SEAGO TRANSPORTATION PLANNER

**DATE:** MARCH 6, 2017

**RE:** TIP REPORT

At the request of ADOT, the following two projects were administratively changed/added to our TIP following the TAC meeting:

**GGH 12-04 - Graham County - (Reay Lane Canal Ditch Relocation):** This is an HRRRP project. The ADOT Project Manager requested that construction funds be decreased to \$184,200 (a decrease of \$68,000) and that those funds be added to FY17 for ROW. Total project costs did not change. The project has been programmed in the following manner: Federal - \$68,000 and \$4,110 Local.

**GGH 13-04 - Graham County – (8<sup>th</sup> Avenue & Airport Road Intersection):** This project was identified in ADOT's repurposing plan of Earmark funding (Safford Bridge) as approved by Congress as part of the FY 2016 Appropriations Bill. This is an HRRRP project programmed for FY18. The ADOT Project Manager requested that \$700,000 of repurposed HPP funds (100% Federal funds) be added to FY17 for Design and that \$1,377,000 of repurposed HPP funds (100% Federal funds) to FY18 for Construction.

SEAGO TIP Amendment #3 is attached for your records.

#### SEAGO REGION

#### 2017- 2021 TIP Amendment #3

Approved By: TAC - 1/26/17 Admistrative Council- 2/9/17 Executive Board - 2/24/17

TIP YEAR	PROJECT	PROJECT	PROJECT	LENGTH	TYPE OF	Functional	LANES	LANES	FED AID	FEDERAL	LOCAL	OTHER	TOTAL
Project ID	SPONSOR	NAME	LOCATION		IMP - WK - STRU	Classifications	BEFORE	AFTER	TYPE	FUNDS	MATCH	FUNDS	COST
2017													
		Joe Carlson Safe Routes			Construction Sidewalks, Crosswalks, Striping & ADA								
DGS13-05	City of Douglas	to School	Douglas		Ramps				SRTS	\$250,000			\$250,000
		Joe Carlson Safe Routes			Construction Sidewalks, Crosswalks, Striping & ADA								
DGS13-05	City of Douglas	to School	Douglas		Ramps				STP	\$66,010	\$3,990		\$70,000
		Sidewalks: Hwy 92: MP353											
		353.4, Naco Hwy: Naco	Hwy 92:MP353-353.4, Naco Hwy:										
ST-TE-15	State	Hwy-Collins Rd, Bisbee	Naco Hwy-Collins Rd, Bisbee		Construction/Sidewalks				TE18	\$706,987	\$42,734		\$749,721
GEH-BR-08	Greenlee County	Campbell Blue Bridge Replacement	Blue River Road (FR 281), 8.8 South of E Jct US 180	61 feet	Design	Rural Local	2	2	STP	\$200,000	\$12,089		\$212,089
	· ·	Reay Lane/Safford Bryce					_						
GGH12-03	Graham County	Road Reay Lane/Safford Bryce	Intersection		Construction	Rural Major Collector	2	2	HRRRP	\$424,350	\$25,650		\$450,000
GGH12-03	Graham County	Road	Intersection		Construction	Rural Major Collector	2	2	HSIP	\$66,010	\$3,990		\$70,000
SEA15-02	OF A CO (O) (MIDO D - vi - v	Regional Strategic	Mariana I anationa	N/A	Diseasing Objects	Maria	N/A	N/A	HSIP	\$50,000	\$3,022		\$53,022
SEA15-02	SEAGO/SVMPO Region	Highway Safety Plan River Road and Pendleton	Various Locations	N/A	Planning Study	Varies	N/A	N/A	HSIP	\$50,000	\$3,022		\$53,022
		Drive Safety											
SCC12-12	Santa Cruz County	Improvements Rio Rico and Pendleton	River Road and Pendleton Drive	Varies	Design	Rural Major Collector	2	2	HSIP	\$56,580	\$3,420		\$60,000
		Drive Intersection											
SCC12-03	Santa Cruz County	Improvements Town of Pima US 70	Intersection		Design	Rural Major Collector			HSIP	\$70,725	\$4,275		\$75,000
		Pedestrian Bridge			Construction: Pedestrian								
ST-TE-21	State	Extension	US 70, Town of Pima		Bridge				TE17	\$561,792	\$33,958		\$595,750
GGH12-04	Graham County	8th Ave & Airport Rd Intersection	Intersection		Design	Rural Major Collector	2	2	HPP	\$700,000			\$700,000
		Reay Lane Irrigation Canal	Reay Lane Between US70 &										
GGH-13-04	Graham County	Ditch Relocation Reay Lane Irrigation Canal	Safford Bryce Road in Safford Reay Lane Between US70 &	.2 miles	ROW	Rural Minor Collector	2	2	HRRRP	\$68,000	\$4,110		\$72,110
GGH-13-04	Graham County	Ditch Relocation	Safford Bryce Road in Safford	.2 miles	Construction	Rural Minor Collector	2	2	HRRRP	\$184,200	\$11,134		\$195,334
	TOTAL FOR 2017								STP	\$10,000 <b>\$3,414,654</b>	\$148,373		\$10,000 <b>\$3,563,027</b>
	TOTAL FOR 2017									\$3,414,654	\$140,373		\$3,563,027
2018													
GGH12-04	Graham County	8th Ave & Airport Rd Intersection	Intersection		Construction	Rural Major Collector	2	2	HPP	\$1,377,000			\$1,377,000
	·	8th Ave & Airport Rd					_						
GGH12-04	Graham County	Intersection Chino Road Extension	Intersection		Construction	Rural Major Collector	2	2	HRRRP	\$2,300,000			\$2,300,000
DGS17-01	City of Douglas	Phase 2	Chino Road: 9th Street to SR90	.85 miles	Construction	Urban Minor Arterial	2	2	STP	\$2,357,500	\$142,500		\$2,500,000
CC1140 04	Cashina Caumhu	Davis Road Project	Davis Road from Hwy 191 to N.	1.6 miles	DOW	Dural Major Callagter	2	2	STP	#2E0 020	646 440		6267.062
CCH18-01	Cochise County	Assessment and DCR	Central Highway Valle Verde Dr. and Paseo Verde	1.6 miles	ROW	Rural Major Collector	2		SIP	\$250,920	\$16,143		\$267,063
		Valle Verde/Paseo Verde Paving Project	Drive between Grand Ave. and W.				_	_					
NOG 19-01	City of Nogales	Zorilla Street Bridge	Mesa Verde Dr.	1150 Feet	Construction	Urban Local	2	2	CMAQ	\$471,675	\$26,885		\$498,560
		Rehabilitation, Structure	Zorilla Street between US 191 and						Off-System				
CLF16-01	Town of Clifton LTAP	#9633	Park, Avenue, Clifton, AZ	216 Feet	Construction	Rural Local	2	2	Bridge STP	\$729,896 \$10,000	\$44,118		\$774,014 \$10,000
	TOTAL FOR 2018								SIF	\$7,496,991	\$103,612		\$7,600,603
2019													
		River Road and Pendleton											
SCC12-12	Santa Cruz County	Drive Safety Improvements	River Road and Pendleton Drive	Varies	Construction	Rural Major Collector	2	2	HRRRP	\$534,354	\$30,486		\$564,840
		I-19/Ruby Road TI-					_						
SCC 18-01	Santa Cruz County	Improvements Rio Rico and Pendleton	I-19/Ruby Road TI		Design	Rural Major Collector	2	2	CMAQ	\$984,256	\$59,494		\$1,043,750
		Drive Intersection											
SCC12-03	Santa Cruz County LTAP	Improvements	Intersection		Construction	Rural Major Collector			HRRRP STP	\$754,400 \$10,000	\$45,600		\$800,000 \$10,000
	TOTAL FOR 2019								SIF	\$2,283,010	\$135,580	\$0	\$2,418,590
<b>2020</b> SAF12-02	City of Safford	20th Ave, Phase II	Relation St to Golf Course Rd	.63 Miles	Construction	Urban Minor Arterial	3	5	STP	\$2,000,000	\$120,891		\$2,120,891
	LTAP				222.140				STP	\$10,000			\$10,000
	TOTAL FOR 2019									\$2,010,000	\$120,891		\$2,130,891
			,									_	

# SEAGO REGION 2017 - 2021 TIP Amendment #3 Approved By: TAC - 1/26/17 Administrative Council - 2/9/17 Executive Board- 2/24/17

2021	LTAP								STP	\$10,000		\$10
	TOTAL FOR 2020								SIP	\$10,000 \$10,000	\$0	\$0 \$10
	TOTAL FOR 2020									\$10,000	ΨŪ	ψ <b>0</b> ψ10
	DDIDGE DDG IFOTO											
	BRIDGE PROJECTS				Scoping, Design,							
		Ft. Thomas River Structure			Environmental ROW, and				Off System			
GGH-BR-02	Graham County	No. 8131	Ft. Thomas River	1000 feet	Construction	Rural Local	2	2	Bridge	\$1,000,000	\$60,445	\$1,060
GEH-BR-07	Greenlee County	Replacement Structure 8149: Phase 2	Wards Canyon Road, 3.39 miles E Jct US 191	31 feet	Replacement	Rural Local	2	2	Off System Bridge	\$424,350	\$25,650	\$450
GER-BR-07	Greeniee County	6149. Pilase 2	JCI OS 191	31 leet	Replacement	Rurai Locai	2		Бпаде	\$424,350	\$25,650	\$450
	TOTAL BRIDGE PROJECTS									\$1,424,350	\$86,095	\$1,510
	TOTAL FOR FIVE YEAR											
	PROGRAM									\$16,639,005	\$594,550	\$17,233
										***,****,****	700 1,000	<del>,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,</del>
	<b>FUNDING OBLIGATED IN 201</b>	16										
		US 70 MP 291 SUP and East			Construction/SUP,							
ST-TE-16	State	Entry Monument (San Carlos Apache Tribe)	US 70 MP 291		landscaping, lighting entry monument				TE17	\$956,055	\$57,789	\$1,013
		, ,	Golf Course Rd from Reay Ln to 20th		Construction							
GGH-TE-13	Graham County	Golf Course Road SUP	Ave	7,150 ft	TE Shared Use Path				TE 18	\$454,752	\$27,488	\$482
ST-TE-20	State	SR 191, Sidewalk Project	SR 191, Sidewalk project		Construction: Sidewalks				TE18	\$312,543		\$312
		Reay Lane Irrigation Canal	Reay Lane Between US70 &									
GGH13-04	Graham County	Ditch Relocation	Safford Bryce Road in Safford	.2 miles	ROW	Rural Minor Collector	2	2	HRRRP	\$20,746	\$1,254	\$22
		Santa Cruz County: Nogales Non-Attainment	Multiple unpaved roads in the unicororated Rio Rico area of									
SCC15-02	Santa Cruz County	Area Surfacing	Santa Cruz County.	9.7 miles	Construction (Chipsealing)		2	2	CMAQ	\$457,355	\$27,645	\$485
		Santa Cruz County:	Multiple unpaved roads in the									
SCC15-02	Santa Cruz County	Nogales Non-Attainment Area Surfacing	unicororated Rio Rico area of Santa Cruz County.	9.7 miles	Construction (Chipsealing)		2	2	CMAQ	\$150,000	\$9,067	\$159
0001002	Ounta Oraz Odanty	7 irea ourracing	Sunta Graz Gounty.	0.7 miles	Construction of Safety &		-		OW IQ	ψ100,000	ψ5,007	<b>\$100</b>
CCH-19-01	Cochise County	Davis Rd. Improvements	Davis Road MP 9	1 mile	Drainage Improvements	Rural Major Collector	2	2	STP	\$1,830,468	\$104,337	\$1,934
CCH12-09	Cochise County	Davis Rd. Realignment	SR80 to SR191	24miles	Construction of Safety & Drainage Improvements	Rural Major Collector	2	2	HPP	\$1,993,821	\$110,643	\$2,114
CCITIZ-09	Cocinise County	Davis Itu. Itealigiillient			Drainage improvements	Truiai Major Collector			1111	ψ1,993,021	ψ110,043	Ψ2,114
CCH14-04	Cochise County	Davis Road Improvements	SR191 to Central Highway	1.6 miles	PE (Design Review)	Rural Major Collector	2	2	STP	\$56,373	\$ 3,627	\$60
DGS12-05	City of Douglas	Chino Road Extension Phase 1	Chino Road: 3rd Street to 9th Street	.9 miles	Construction	Urban Minor Arterial	2	2	STP	\$46,978	\$3,022	\$50
D0012 00	Oity of Douglas	Bankard Avenue and	Olicet	.o mileo	Construction	Orban Willor / Viterial	-		011	ψ+0,570	ψ0,022	φου
		UPRR railroad crossing	Bankard Avenue, east of 19B in		Railroad Signal					****		****
ADOT16-01	ADOT	742-038V	Nogales, Santa Cruz County, AZ	0.1	Improvements		2	2	HSIP-RGC	\$305,000		\$305
		Baffert Place and UPRR	Baffert Place, east of 19B in		Railroad Signal							
ADOT16-02	ADOT	railroad crossing 742-036G	Nogales, Santa Cruz County, AZ	0.1	Improvements		2	2	HSIP-RGC	\$313,000		\$313
		Banks Bridge-UPRR RR	Banks Bridge east of 19B in		Railroad Signal							
ADOT16-03	ADOT	crossing 742-040W	Nogales, Santa Cruz County, AZ	0.1	Improvements		2	2	HSIP-RGC	\$484,500		\$484
			0.11.0		B 11 101 1							
ADOT16-04	ADOT	Calle Sonora-UPRR RR crossing 742-037N	Calle Sonora, east of 19B in Nogales, Santa Cruz County, AZ	0.1	Railroad Signal Improvements		2	2	HSIP-RGC	\$484,500		\$484
710011004	71001	01003111g 742 00714	regards, durita draz dourty, rez	0.1	improvements		-		TIOII TOO	ψ+0+,500		<b>\$</b>
		Court Street and UPRR	Court Street, east of 19B in		Railroad Signal							2440
ADOT16-05	ADOI		Nogales, Santa Cruz County, AZ Mt. Turnbull Road (AKA Home	0.1	Improvements		2	2	HSIP-RGC	\$143,000		\$143
		railroad crossing safety	Alone Rd), south of US70 @ MP									
		improvements DOT#742-	295.8 in Bylas, Graham County,		Railroad-Highway Grade					****		***
ADOT 15-01	ADOI	307K Campbell Blue Bridge	AZ Blue River Road (FR 281), 8.8	0.1	Crossing improvements		2	2	HSIP-RGC	\$360,000		\$360
GEH-BR-08	Greenlee County	Replacement	South of E Jct US 180	61 feet	Design	Rural Local	2	2	STP	\$200,000	\$11,400	\$211
	•	Zorilla Street Bridge							0".0			
CLF16-01	Town of Clifton	Rehabilitation, Structure #9633	Zorilla Street between US 191 and Park, Avenue, Clifton, AZ	216 Feet	Design	Rural Local	2	2	Off-System Bridge	\$235,750	\$14,250	\$250
OLI 10-01	TOWN OF CHILDIN	Citywide Traffic Sign	r ark, Avenue, Gillon, AZ	210 FEEL	Design	INUI AI LUCAI	2			φ230,730	0 ا+,∠∪	φ250
NOG 14-01	City of Nogales	Replacement	City Wide	N/A	Construction				HSIP	\$119,517		\$119
NOG12-06	City of Nogales	Crawford Street Pavement Project	Sonoita Ave to McNab Drive	0.37	Construction	Urban Collector	2	5	STP	\$485,000	\$29,316	\$514
NOG 12-00	LTAP	1 TOJECE	COTIONA AVE TO MICHAD DITVE	0.31	CONSTRUCTION	Orban Collector	2	J	STP	\$10,000	01 5,5 ع	\$10
	TOTAL FOR 2016									\$9,419,358	\$401,425	\$9,820

	Future Construction F	Projects										
THR12-13	Town of Thatcher	Church Street Widening	US 70 to Stadium Avenue	5,400 feet	Construction	Urban Major Collector	2	3	STP	\$3,017,600	\$182,400	\$3,200,000
					Construction of Safety &							
CCH12-10	Cochise County	Davis Rd. Improvements	Davis Road MP 13	1 mile	Drainage Improvements	Rural Major Collector	2	2	STP	\$924,560	\$55,885	\$980,445

#### SEAGO REGION

#### 2017- 2021 TIP Amendment #3

#### Approved By: TAC - 1/26/17 Admistrative Council- 2/9/17 Executive Board - 2/24/17

					Construction of Safety &							
CCH15-01	Cochise County	Davis Rd. Improvements	Davis Road MP 5	0.61 miles	Drainage Improvements	Rural Major Collector	2	2	STP	\$1,045,000	\$63,165	\$1,108,165
SAF12-02	City of Safford	20th Ave, Phase 3	Relation St to Golf Course Rd	.63 Miles	Construction	Urban Minor Arterial	3	5	STP	\$1,337,000	\$80,815	\$1,417,815

	SEAGO Region FY 2016 5	310 Awards					
Project ID	Project Sponsor	Project Name	Project Location	Award Type	Federal Share	Loacal Share	Total Awar
	Easter Seals Blake	,	,				101211111
	Foundation - Greenlee	Minivan with Ramp to replace	Clifton	Capital			
SEA-17-01	Community	VIN 1323		· ·	\$39,237	\$4,360	\$43,59
	Easter Seals Blake						
	Foundation - Graham City	Minivan with Ramp to replace	Safford	Capital			
SEA-17-02	Work	VIN 2620			\$39,237	\$4,360	\$43,59
SEA-17-03	SEACRS, Inc	Minivan with Ramp to replace VIN 5556	Sierra Vista	Capital	\$39.237	\$4.360	\$43,59
3EA-17-03	SEACKS, IIIC	Cutaway with Lift - 14			φ39,237	\$4,300	\$43,58
		Passenger to replace VIN	Sierra Vista	Capital			
SEA-17-04	SEACRS, Inc	2427	Gierra vista	Oupitui	\$56,677	\$6,297	\$62,97
	Senior Citizens of Patagonia,	Minivan wth Ramp Expansion	Patagonia	Capital			
SEA-17-05	Inc	for Sonoita/Elgin			\$39,237	\$4,360	\$43,59
		Minivan with No Lift to replace	Sierra Vista	Capital			
SEA-17-06	Horizon Health and Wellness	VIN 9862	Ciona victa	·	\$25,290	\$2,810	\$28,10
SEA-17-07	SEAGO	Regional Mobility Manager	Region-wide	Mobility	\$135.000	\$33.750	\$168,75
3EA-17-07	SEAGO	Regional Mobility Manager		Management Mobility	\$135,000	\$33,730	\$100,75
SEA-17-08	SEAGO	Regional Training Program	Region-wide	Management	\$100,000	\$25,000	\$125.00
0271 17 00	Easter Seals Blake	regional realization of the gramm		Wanagement	ψ100,000	<b>\$20,000</b>	Ų 120,00
	Foundation - Graham City	Minivan with Ramp to	Graham County	Capital			
SEA-17-09	Work	replace VIN 1325	, , , , , , ,		\$39,237	\$4,360	\$43,59
	Easter Seals Blake	Minivan with Ramp to	Greenlee County	Capital			
SEA-17-10	Foundation - Greenlee Work	replace VIN 1324			\$39,237	\$4,360	\$43,59
	Easter Seals Blake						
054 47 44	Foundation - SAGE Graham	Transit Program Operating Funds	Graham County	Operating	\$40.000	\$40,000	\$80.00
SEA-17-11	County Easter Seals Blake	runas			\$40,000	\$40,000	\$80,00
	Foundation - SAGE Greenlee	Transit Program Operating	Greenlee County	Operating			
SEA-17-12	County	Funds	Greeniee County	Operating	\$5.000	\$5.000	\$10.00
3LA-17-12	Santa Cruz Training Program,	Transit Program Operating			ψ3,000	ψ3,000	\$10,00
SEA-17-13	Inc.	Funds	Nogales	Operating	\$10,000	\$10,000	\$20.00
	Senior Citizens of Patagonia,	Transit Program Operating			* 1	* ,	123,00
SEA-17-14	Inc	Funds	Patagonia	Operating	\$8,000	\$8,000	\$16,00
	Volunteer Interfaith Caregiver	Transit Program Operating	01	0	1-1	1.7	, 5,00
SEA-17-15	Program	Funds	Sierra Vista	Operating	\$40,000	\$40,000	\$80,00
	Total FY16 Awards				\$655,389	\$197,017	\$852,40



## TAC PACKET

TO: SEAGO TAC

FROM: CHRIS VERTREES, SEAGO TRANSPORTATION PLANNER

**DATE:** MARCH 7, 2017

RE: SEAGO REGION DRAFT 2018-2022 TIP

The SEAGO Region 2018-2022 TIP needs be submitted to ADOT by **July 1, 2017**. Due to the SEAGO TAC, Administrative Council, and Executive Board schedules, and the forty-five (45) day public participation process, the 2018-2022 Draft TIP needs to be approved for submission to Administrative and Executive Committees at this meeting of the TAC.

#### The following adjustments to the 2017-2021 TIP were made in the drafting of the 2018-2022 TIP:

- All projects listed as Obligated in 2016 section of the TIP have been removed from the TIP.
- All FY 2017 projects that are expected to obligate by June 30, 2017, have been moved to the Obligated in 2017 section of the TIP.
- THR12-13 Town of Thatcher (Church Street Widening): The project has been advanced from Future Construction Projects section of the TIP and tentatively programmed for FY 2022.
- FY 2017 Transit Projects have been removed from the Draft 2018-2022 TIP.

Attachments: Draft 2018-2022 TIP

#### SEAGO REGION

#### Draft 2018- 2022 TIP (Public Comment)

Approved By: TAC - Admistrative Council- Executive Board -

2021	TOTAL COST	OTHER FUNDS	LOCAL MATCH	FEDERAL FUNDS	FED AID TYPE	LANES AFTER	LANES BEFORE	Functional Classifications	TYPE OF IMP - WK - STRU	LENGTH	PROJECT LOCATION	PROJECT NAME	PROJECT SPONSOR	TIP YEAR Project ID
Control Control   Sin Area & August 15   Interesting   Veneroction   V		$\longrightarrow$												2040
Intersection   Inte	\$1,377,000			\$1,377,000	HPP	2	2	Rural Major Collector	Construction		Intersection	Intersection	Graham County	
DOI-19-01   City of Douglas   Phese 2   Chris Potes 69   Service   Service   Constitution   Co	\$2,300,000			\$2,300,000	HRRRP	2	2	Rural Major Collector	Construction		Intersection		Graham County	GGH12-04
Control Country	\$2,500,000		\$142 500	\$2 357 500	STP	2	2	Urban Minor Arterial	Construction	85 miles	Chino Road: 9th Street to SR90		City of Douglas	DGS17-01
Value Versicherance Versicher   Value Versicherance Vers							-				Davis Road from Hwy 191 to N.	Davis Road Project		
Cut-10-07   Town of Citiban   Path-abilitation, Structure   Message   Path Avenue, Citiban A2   216 Feet   Construction   Rural Local   2 2 0 0ff System   9 10000	\$267,063										Valle Verde Dr. and Paseo Verde Drive between Grand Ave. and W.	Valle Verde/Paseo Verde	·	
CLITER   Town of Cillian   #953	\$498,560		\$26,885	\$471,675		2	2	Urban Local	Construction	1150 Feet		Zorilla Street Bridge	City of Nogales	NOG 19-01
1701AL FOR 2017	\$774,014		\$44,118	\$729,896		2	2	Rural Local	Construction	216 Feet			Town of Clifton	CLF16-01
Sci   Santa Cruz County   Improvements   River Road and Pendleton Drive Sating   Improvements   River Road and Pendleton Drive Sating   Improvements   Imp	\$10,000				STP									
SCC12-12   Santa Cruz County   Improvements   Imp	\$7,726,637		\$229,646	\$7,496,991									TOTAL FOR 2017	
SCC12-12   Santa Cruz County   Improvements   River Road and Pendleton Drive   Varies   Construction   Rural Major Collector   2   2   HRRRPP   \$534,354   \$30,488   \$50,498   \$50,198														2019
SCC12-12   Santa Cruz County														
SCC1 4.01   Santa Cruz County   Improvements   1-9Rtuby Road TI   Design   Rural Major Collector   2   2   CMAQ   \$984.256   \$59.494	\$564,840		\$30,486	\$534,354	HRRRP	2	2	Rural Major Collector	Construction	Varies	River Road and Pendleton Drive	Improvements	Santa Cruz County	SCC12-12
SCC12-03   Santa Cruz County   Improvements   Intersection   Construction   Rural Major Collector   HRRRP   \$754.400   \$45.600	\$1,043,750		\$59,494	\$984,256	CMAQ	2	2	Rural Major Collector	Design		I-19/Ruby Road TI	Improvements	Santa Cruz County	SCC 18-01
Construction	****		445.000									Drive Intersection		00040.00
2020	\$800,000 \$10,000		\$45,600					Rural Major Collector	Construction		Intersection	Improvements		SCC12-03
SAF12-02   City of Safford   20th Ave, Phase II   Relation St to Golf Course Rd   63 Miles   Construction   Urban Minor Arterial   3   5   STP   \$2,000,000   \$120,891   \$170	\$2,418,590		\$135,580	\$2,283,010									TOTAL FOR 2018	
TAP   STP   \$10,000   S120,991   St   S2,010,000   S0   S120,991   St   S10,000   S0   S120,991   S1,000   S1,00														
2021	\$2,120,891 \$10,000		\$120,891			5	3	Urban Minor Arterial	Construction	.63 Miles	Relation St to Golf Course Rd	20th Ave, Phase II		SAF12-02
Construction   Cons	0 \$2,130,891	\$0	\$120,891	\$2,010,000									TOTAL FOR 2019	
TOTAL FOR 2019   S10,000   \$0														2021
THR12-13   Town of Thatcher   Church Street Widening   US 70 to Stadium Avenue   5,400 feet   Construction   Urban Major Collector   2   3   STP   \$3,017,600   STP   \$10,000   STP   \$10,00	\$10,000 <b>\$10,000</b>		\$0		STP									
THR12-13   Town of Thatcher   Church Street Widening   US 70 to Stadium Avenue   5,400 feet   Construction   Urban Major Collector   2   3   STP   \$3,017,600   STP   \$10,000   STP   \$10,00														2022
BRIDGE PROJECTS   Single Project P	\$3,200,000		\$182,400			3	2	Urban Major Collector	Construction	5,400 feet	US 70 to Stadium Avenue	Church Street Widening		
GGH-BR-02 Graham County No. 8131 Ft. Thomas River Structure No. 8131 Ft. Thomas River Structure No. 8131 Ft. Thomas River Structure Soap Box Canyon Bridge Replacement Structure 8149: Phase 2 Jct US 191 S1 feet Replacement Rural Local 2 2 Bridge \$424,350 \$25,650 S25,650	\$10,000 0 \$3,210,000	\$0	\$182,400		STP									
GGH-BR-02 Graham County No. 8131 Ft. Thomas River Structure No. 8131 Ft. Thomas River Structure No. 8131 Ft. Thomas River Structure Scap Box Canyon Bridge Replacement Structure 8149: Phase 2 Jct US 191 S1 feet Replacement Rural Local 2 2 Bridge \$424,350 \$25,650 S26,650														
GGH-BR-02   Graham County   No. 8131   Ft. Thomas River   1000 feet   Construction   Rural Local   2   2   Bridge   \$1,000,000   \$60,445					Off System				Environmental ROW, and			Ft. Thomas River Structure	BRIDGE PROJECTS	
Replacement Structure   Stru	\$1,060,445		\$60,445	\$1,000,000		2	2	Rural Local		1000 feet	Ft. Thomas River	No. 8131	Graham County	GGH-BR-02
TOTAL BRIDGE PROJECTS   \$1,424,350 \$86,095	\$450,000		\$25,650	\$424,350	Off System Bridge	2	2	Rural Local	Replacement	31 feet		Replacement Structure	Greenlee County	GEH-BR-07
TOTAL FOR FIVE YEAR PROGRAM  FUNDING OBLIGATED IN 2017  Construction Sidewalks, Crosswalks, Striping & ADA Ramps  Construction Sidewalks, Crosswalks, Striping & ADA SRTS \$250,000  Construction Sidewalks, Crosswalks, Striping & ADA Ramps  Joe Carlson Safe Routes Joe Carlson Safe Routes  Construction Sidewalks, Crosswalks, Striping & ADA Ramps  Construction Sidewalks, SRTS \$250,000	\$1,510,445				Ü				·					
PROGRAM   \$16,251,951 \$754,612	<b>\$1,010,110</b>		<b>\$00,000</b>	<b>\$1,121,000</b>										
DGS13-05 City of Douglas   Construction Sidewalks, Crosswalks, Striping & ADA Ramps   SRTS \$250,000	\$17,006,563		\$754,612	\$16,251,951										
DGS13-05 City of Douglas   Construction Sidewalks, Crosswalks, Striping & ADA Ramps   SRTS \$250,000												7	FUNDING ORLICATED IN 20	
DGS13-05         City of Douglas         to School         Douglas         Ramps         SRTS         \$250,000           Joe Carlson Safe Routes         Construction Sidewalks, Crosswalks, Striping & ADA         Construction Sidewalks, Striping & ADA         Construction Sidewalks, Striping & ADA													FUNDING OBLIGATED IN 20	
Construction Sidewalks, Joe Carlson Safe Routes Crosswalks, Striping & ADA	\$250,000			\$250,000	SRTS						Douglas		City of Douglas	DGS13-05
												Joe Carlson Safe Routes	, ,	
	\$70,000		\$3,990	\$66,010	STP						Douglas		City of Douglas	DGS13-05
Sidewalks: Hwy 92: MP353-														
353.4, Naco Hwy: Naco	\$749,721		\$42,734	\$706,987	TE18				Construction/Sidewalks		Naco Hwy-Collins Rd, Bisbee	Hwy-Collins Rd, Bisbee	State	ST-TE-15
Campbell Blue Bridge   Blue River Road (FR 281), 8.8   Greenlee County   Replacement   South of E Jct US 180   61 feet   Design   Rural Local   2   2   STP   \$200,000   \$12,089	\$212,089		\$12,089	\$200,000	STP	2	2	Rural Local	Design	61 feet		Replacement	Greenlee County	GEH-BR-08
Reay Lane/Safford Bryce GGH12-03 Graham County Road Intersection Construction Rural Major Collector 2 2 HRRP \$424,350 \$25,650	\$450,000		\$25,650	\$424,350	HRRRP	2	2	Rural Major Collector	Construction		Intersection		Graham County	GGH12-03

# SEAGO REGION Draft 2018 - 2022 TIP Amendment (Public Comment) Approved By: TAC - Administrative Council - Executive Board-

		Reay Lane/Safford Bryce										
GGH12-03	Graham County	Road	Intersection		Construction	Rural Major Collector	2	2	HSIP	\$66,010	\$3,990	\$70,000
		Regional Strategic										
SEA15-02	SEAGO/SVMPO Region	Highway Safety Plan	Various Locations	N/A	Planning Study	Varies	N/A	N/A	HSIP	\$50,000	\$3,022	\$53,022
		River Road and Pendleton										
		Drive Safety										
SCC12-12	Santa Cruz County	Improvements	River Road and Pendleton Drive	Varies	Design	Rural Major Collector	2	2	HSIP	\$56,580	\$3,420	\$60,000
		Rio Rico and Pendleton										
		Drive Intersection										
SCC12-03	Santa Cruz County	Improvements	Intersection		Design	Rural Major Collector			HSIP	\$70,725	\$4,275	\$75,000
		Town of Pima US 70										
		Pedestrian Bridge			Construction: Pedestrian							
ST-TE-21	State	Extension	US 70, Town of Pima		Bridge				TE17	\$561,792	\$33,958	\$595,750
		8th Ave & Airport Rd										
GGH12-04	Graham County	Intersection	Intersection		Design	Rural Major Collector	2	2	HPP	\$700,000		\$700,000
		Reay Lane Irrigation Canal	Reay Lane Between US70 &									
GGH-13-04	Graham County	Ditch Relocation	Safford Bryce Road in Safford	.2 miles	ROW	Rural Minor Collector	2	2	HRRRP	\$68,000	\$4,110	\$72,110
		Reay Lane Irrigation Canal										
GGH-13-04	Graham County	Ditch Relocation	Safford Bryce Road in Safford	.2 miles	Construction	Rural Minor Collector	2	2	HRRRP	\$184,200	\$11,134	\$195,334
	LTAP								STP	\$10,000		\$10,000
	TOTAL FOR 2016									\$3,414,654	\$148,373	\$3,563,027

	<b>Future Construction Pro</b>	jects										
					Construction of Safety &							
CCH12-10	Cochise County	Davis Rd. Improvements	Davis Road MP 13	1 mile	Drainage Improvements	Rural Major Collector	2	2	STP	\$924,560	\$55,885	\$980,445
					Construction of Safety &							
CCH15-01	Cochise County	Davis Rd. Improvements	Davis Road MP 5	0.61 miles	Drainage Improvements	Rural Major Collector	2	2	STP	\$1,045,000	\$63,165	\$1,108,165
SAF12-02	City of Safford	20th Ave, Phase 3	Relation St to Golf Course Rd	.63 Miles	Construction	Urban Minor Arterial	3	5	STP	\$1,337,000	\$80,815	\$1,417,815

# ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION POLICIES AND PROCEDURES

#### FIN-5.01 HURF Exchange Program

Effective: October 1, 2017

Supersedes: None

Review: October 1, 2019

Transmittal: 2017-October

Responsible Office: Financial Management Services, Page 1 of 11

Office of the Chief Financial Officer

#### 1.01 PURPOSE

To ensure the timely and effective use of Highway User Revenue Fund (HURF) Exchange funds for the benefit of the traveling public. Due to the less restrictive and more flexible nature of HURF Exchange funding, projects are expected to cost less and progress much more rapidly than federal aid projects.

#### 1.02 SCOPE / APPLICABILITY

This policy applies to the Arizona Department of Transportation's (ADOT) management of the HURF Exchange program, as well as ADOT's interactions with Arizona Councils of Governments (COG) and Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPO) wishing to participate in the HURF Exchange program and any local project agency (LPA) wishing to receive HURF Exchange funding.

#### 1.03 AUTHORITY

A.R.S. § 28-6993(G)

#### 1.04 BACKGROUND

Annually, ADOT provides federal Surface Transportation Block Grant Program (STBGP) funding on a discretionary basis to Arizona's Councils of Governments (COG) and Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPO), which then program the funds for specific local projects in the applicable region. To use STBGP funding, federal law requires LPAs to be "certified" by the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) to administer federal aid projects. Since most cities/towns/counties receive very limited federal funding, it is not cost effective to become certified. Therefore, these LPAs must contract with a certified entity (usually ADOT) to develop and construct their projects.

The use of federal funding also requires compliance with certain federal environmental, procurement and other regulations. These requirements typically result in higher costs than if the project were built with non-federal funds.

ADOT routinely administers federally-funded projects and can generally deploy the discretionary federal funding quickly. In light of this, the COGs/MPOs and ADOT supported legislation in the early 1990s authorizing the exchange of HURF monies distributed to the State Highway Fund

37 16

Effective: October 1, 2017 Transmittal: 2017– October Supersedes: None Page 2 of 11

(SHF) for COG/MPO STPBG funding. Known as "HURF Exchange," this program enables cities/towns/counties to build their projects themselves using state funding, avoiding the expensive and time-consuming federal regulatory requirements. Because the HURF Exchange results in reduced costs and administrative burden for the cities/towns/counties and transfers that burden to ADOT, the statute allows ADOT to pay \$.90 cents in SHF for each \$1 of federal funding exchanged.

The HURF Exchange program is offered at ADOT's discretion.

#### 1.05 DEFINITIONS

Apportionments	Surface	Transportation	Block	Grant	Program	(STBGP)	funds
----------------	---------	----------------	-------	-------	---------	---------	-------

provided by ADOT to COGs/MPOs on a discretionary basis.

Arizona Financial Information System (AFIS) The Arizona State accounting system

COG/MPO ledger

The COG/MPO federal aid funding ledger. This document reflects the authorization status of projects in the current federal fiscal year, apportionments and obligation authority, transfers and loans, available and lapsing funds. Ledgers are prepared by ADOT Resource Administration for each COG/MPO and posted monthly on ADOT's website at:

http://www.azdot.gov/about/FinancialManagementServices/transportation-funding/federal-aid-highway-program.

Federal Highway
Administration (FHWA)

A division of the US Department of Transportation specializing in highway transportation

Fiscal Management Information System (FMIS)

FHWA's major financial information system for tracking federallyfunded projects

**Final Voucher unit** 

FMS unit responsible for preparing a final project accounting for

each project.

Financial Management Services (FMS)

ADOT division responsible for project funding, accounting, final vouchers, accounts receivable, accounts payable and other

accounting and financial management functions.

Fully executed IGA An IGA which has received all required approvals and opinions and

has been signed by all parties.

**HURF Exchange subfund**  A sub-fund of the State Highway Fund (SHF) to which SHF monies are transferred for approved and authorized HURF Exchange

Effective: October 1, 2017 Transmittal: 2017– October Supersedes: None Page 3 of 11

projects.

Infrastructure Delivery and Operations (IDO)

ADOT division responsible for the management, design and

construction of state and local transportation projects.

IGA Intergovernmental agreement

Monthly Receipts and Expenditures Report A monthly report prepared by the General Ledger unit of ADOT Financial Management Services reflecting receipts and

expenditures by fund/sub-fund.

Multimodal Planning Division (MPD)

ADOT division responsible for managing the planning of the

statewide transportation network.

Obligation Authority The amount of apportionments which may be obligated in a

federal fiscal year. ADOT provides obligation authority, on a

discretionary basis, to COGs/MPOs.

Resource Administration Database The Access database used by Resource Administration to track federal aid and HURF Exchange funding and produce the COG/MPO

ledgers.

Resource Administration ADOT's Financial Management Services Resource Administration

unit, which processes and obligates project funding.

Surface Transportation Block Grant Program (STBGP) A category of funding under the federal aid highway program. The subcategories of STBGP applicable to the HURF Exchange program are Under 200,000 population and Under 5,000 population. These categories appear on the COG/MPO ledgers as "STP Other."

#### 1.06 POLICY

#### A. Availability and Amount

- Availability of the HURF Exchange Program is at ADOT's discretion. ADOT reserves the right to discontinue the program at any time. Appropriations, transfers, distributions or revenue shortfalls which diminish SHF revenues may result in the immediate discontinuation of the program.
- 2. The amount of HURF Exchange available will be determined annually in conjunction with the development of the ADOT Five Year Transportation Facilities Construction Program (5-year Program).
- **3.** The only types of federal aid eligible for exchange are the Under 200,000 population and Under 5,000 population categories of STBGP provided to COGs/MPOs. The annual

Effective: October 1, 2017 Transmittal: 2017– October Supersedes: None Page 4 of 11

amount of HURF Exchange available to each COG/MPO for programming will be based on its pro-rata share of discretionary funding in these categories.

- **4.** Federal aid apportionments and obligation authority will be exchanged for SHF based on the process discussed in Section 1.06.E. The HURF Exchange rate is \$.90 in SHF for each \$1.00 in federal obligation authority exchanged.
- 5. All discretionary funding, including federal aid and HURF Exchange funds, must be transferred or loaned to another COG/MPO or ADOT by March 31 or obligated to projects by June 30 of each fiscal year. Any funding remaining at July 1 will revert to ADOT.

#### **B.** Funds Management

- 1. HURF Exchanges and HURF Exchange project transactions will be reflected on the COG/MPO federal aid funding ledgers produced by the ADOT Resource Administration database.
- 2. ADOT General Ledger will transfer funding from the SHF into the HURF Exchange subfund (DT2030/D2030\_\_) as each project phase is authorized. An exhibit for the HURF Exchange sub-fund will be presented in the Monthly Receipts and Expenditures Report.
- **3.** A monthly reconciliation will be performed by ADOT Resource Administration of the AFIS HURF Exchange sub-fund and the Resource Administration database.
- **4.** HURF Exchange projects will be subject to ADOT's Inactive Project Obligation policy and procedures, which includes inactive projects.

#### C. Eligible Projects and Costs

- 1. In order to be eligible for the HURF Exchange program, a project must have as its primary purpose the improvement of the efficiency and safety of motor vehicle travel on roadways. Pursuant to A.R.S. § 28-6993(G), all HURF Exchange projects must be on the federal aid system and be eligible for federal STBGP funding. Projects on local roads are not eligible. All phase(s) of HURF Exchange projects must also be approved by the COG/MPO Technical Advisory Committee and programmed as HURF Exchange in the approved, fiscally-constrained Transportation Improvement Plan (TIP).
- 2. HURF Exchange funds may be used only for costs directly related to the design, right of way and construction of eligible HURF Exchange projects. Ancillary items such as utility relocation required for the primary purpose of the project, sidewalks and ADA ramps may be included in the eligible project. Other costs, including but not limited to the following, are not eligible for HURF Exchange and are the responsibility of the LPA:
  - Maintenance of the HURF Exchange project.
  - Costs incurred prior to date of finance authorization.
  - Any items outside of the project right of way.

19

Effective: October 1, 2017 Transmittal: 2017– October Supersedes: None Page 5 of 11

- Utility relocation which is not directly and unavoidably caused by the road work.
- Betterments of utilities.
- **3.** Project phases authorized with federal aid prior to October 1, 2017 are not eligible for HURF Exchange funding. The phase must be completed with its current funding type.
- **4.** Subsequent phases of federally-funded projects authorized prior to October 1, 2017 are eligible for HURF Exchange funding, subject to applicable federal requirements. These projects may still be subject to oversight by ADOT and/or the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA).
- **5.** As of the effective date of this Policy, all new projects, and each phase thereof, must be programmed as either HURF Exchange **or** federally funded. After October 1, 2017, any new projects authorized with federal funds will not be eligible for HURF Exchange.

#### D. Project Initiation and Intergovernmental Agreements (IGA)

1. All HURF Exchange projects require an IGA and must be initiated through the ADOT Local Public Agency (LPA) section. The LPA will request project initiation and must provide the project scope, schedule, project budget, a map showing the project location and the functional classification of the roadway, a copy of the transportation improvement plan (TIP) listing reflecting the HURF Exchange programming and any other documents which may be required.

For each phase of the project, additional documents will also be required at the time of finance authorization of the phase based on the delivery method as discussed in Section E, Finance Authorization.

- 2. Upon receipt of a complete submission, the LPA section will:
  - **a.** Establish an ADOT project number and forward the project to the Project Management Group (PMG) which will assign an ADOT Project Manager (PM), and
  - **b.** Initiate an IGA, which must be fully executed before the project can seek finance authorization.
- **3.** The PM must review any projects funded with federal aid prior to the effective date of this Policy with FHWA to identify applicable federal requirements. The PM must ensure these requirements are incorporated into the IGA.
- **4.** The PM must also review the project scope to identify any ineligible costs under the HURF Exchange program. Such costs will be identified in the IGA and will be the responsibility of the LPA.
- **5.** Changes in the project scope, schedule or budget may require amendment of the IGA. To help avoid this, PMs should carefully review and discuss project documentation with

Effective: October 1, 2017 Transmittal: 2017– October Supersedes: None Page 6 of 11

LPAs to ensure the project scope can actually be completed on time and with the funding provided.

**6.** A fully executed IGA does not guarantee funding or constitute permission to begin work. The LPA must request and receive finance authorization for each project phase in advance of starting work as discussed in Section E, Finance Authorization. Expenditures incurred prior to the date of finance authorization of the applicable phase are not eligible for HURF Exchange.

#### E. Finance Authorization

- 1. Upon full execution of the IGA, the LPA may request finance authorization. Such authorization is to be requested and approved for each project phase based on the schedule in the IGA. Subsequent phases will not be authorized until preceding phases are completed, with the exception of a right of way phase that must be undertaken currently with design.
- 2. Each phase of a HURF Exchange project must be ready to proceed and must receive finance authorization by June 30 of the fiscal year(s) reflected in the IGA. Funding for project phases which cannot proceed pursuant to this schedule will not be obligated. The applicable COG/MPO is responsible for transferring or loaning the funds to another COG/MPO or ADOT by March 31 or programming it to other projects which can authorize by June 30 of the fiscal year. Any remaining funding will revert to ADOT on July 1. The LPA is responsible for working with the applicable COG/MPO to reprogram the funds for the affected phase(s).
- **3.** To ensure the June 30<sup>th</sup> deadline is met, the request should be submitted to the ADOT PM no later than May 15<sup>th</sup>. Depending on the delivery method of the phase, the following documents must accompany the finance authorization request:
  - **a.** If performed by the LPA's staff or with consultants/contractors selected based on qualifications the TIP listing, the final, itemized project budget, scope and schedule including the start and completion of work.
  - **b.** If a competitive bid is required the TIP listing, bid package, and the project schedule including the timeframes for advertising, bid opening, award, start and completion of work.
  - **c.** Other documents as requested by ADOT.
- **4.** Upon receipt of a complete finance authorization submission, the PM will prepare a Project Funding Request (PRF) for the applicable phase of the project, checking the HURF Exchange box. The PFR, including the project scope, schedule and budget and TIP listing, will be emailed to the ADOT Resource Administration email box.
- **5.** Upon receipt of a complete and accurate PFR, Resource Administration will review the project phase information to ensure eligibility under the HURF Exchange program. Once

21

Effective: October 1, 2017 Transmittal: 2017– October Supersedes: None Page 7 of 11

eligibility is confirmed, Resource Administration will transfer 100% of the federal apportionments and obligation authority from the applicable COG/MPO ledger to ADOT. This transaction will appear as a "transfer out" on the ledger.

- **6.** Resource Administration will fund each project phase as HURF Exchange at 90% of the federal obligation authority transferred to ADOT. This transaction will appear as a "transfer in" of HURF Exchange funding to the COG/MPO on the ledger.
- **7.** For projects with federally-funded phases authorized prior to the effective date of this policy, Resource Administration will also amend the end date of the project in FMIS and AFIS based on the schedule reflected in the IGA.
- **8.** Upon completion of the ledger transfers, Resource Administration will approve the PFR and notify ADOT General Ledger to transfer the authorized HURF Exchange amount from the SHF to the HURF Exchange sub-fund.
- **9.** When the funds have been transferred into the HURF Exchange sub-fund, Resource Administration will obligate the HURF Exchange funds on the project phase, establish the project phase budget in AFIS and inform the PM the project phase is open. The PM will notify the LPA that the project phase may be advertised, consultants may be selected or work can begin.
- **10.** LPAs must begin work, initiate selection of consultants/contractors or advertise the project, as applicable, within 30 days of the date of authorization.
- **11.** Upon selection or award of consultants/contractors for each project phase, as applicable, LPAs are required to submit the final, itemized project budget, scope, and schedule including the start and completion of work to the ADOT PM as follows:
  - **a.** If performed by consultants/contractors selected based on qualifications within thirty (30) days of selection. The submission should include the consultant scope with itemized costs.
  - **b.** If a competitive bid is required within thirty (30) days of award. The submission should include an itemized cost breakout for the awarded contract.
  - **c.** IGA amendments may be required if the final, itemized project budget, scope, and schedule varies from that reflected in the IGA.
- 12. For all project phases, if the final, itemized project budget is less than the amount authorized, the ADOT PM will inform Resource Administration, which will release the excess HURF Exchange funding from the project phase and return it to the COG/MPO. This transaction will be reflected on the applicable COG/MPO ledger as a credit in the HURF Exchange column. These funds must be transferred or loaned to another COG/MPO or ADOT by March 31 or obligated to projects by June 30 of each fiscal year.

Effective: October 1, 2017 Transmittal: 2017– October Supersedes: None Page 8 of 11

If the final, itemized project phase budget is greater than the amount of HURF exchange funding authorized, the LPA is responsible for the difference.

13. As each subsequent phase is authorized, any preceding phases will be closed in AFIS pursuant to ADOT's Project Obligation policy and any remaining funds will be returned to the COG/MPO for reprogramming. These funds must be transferred or loaned to another COG/MPO or ADOT by March 31 or obligated to projects by June 30 of each fiscal year.

#### F. Project Billing

- 1. The invoicing schedule for each phase of HURF Exchange projects will follow a standard protocol of 30%/30%/10%:
  - **a.** Within 10 business days of the date of finance authorization for each project phase and prior to the start of work the LPA will submit to the ADOT PM an invoice for 30% of the HURF Exchange funding for that phase. This initial invoice may be submitted with the documents required in Section 1.06.E.11.
    - After adjusting the project phase budget as discussed in Section 1.06.E.12, ADOT will advance 30% of the HURF Exchange amount to the LPA within 30 days of receipt and approval of the invoice and documents required in Section 1.06.E.10.
  - b. At 30% completion of the work the LPA will submit to the ADOT PM an invoice for an additional 30% of the HURF Exchange funding. The invoice must include copies of project invoices and expenses incurred and paid to date which demonstrate the work is progressing to the 30% mark. ADOT will advance the next 30% to the LPA within 30 days of receipt and approval of the invoice and accompanying documentation.
  - c. At 60% completion the LPA will submit to the ADOT PM an invoice for an additional 30% of the HURF Exchange funding. The invoice must include copies of project invoices and expenses incurred and paid since the previous draw which demonstrate the work is progressing to the 60% mark. ADOT will advance the next 30% to the LPA within 30 days of receipt and approval of the invoice and accompanying documentation.
  - **d.** At final completion The final 10% of HURF Exchange funding will be paid on a reimbursement basis as outlined in the Section G, Project Close-out.
- 2. Projects are expected to progress according to the scope, schedule and budget in the IGA and submitted pursuant to Section 1.06.E.10. In accordance with ADOT Project Obligation policies and procedures, LPAs will be required to justify the viability of those projects which do not demonstrate adequate progress or the funding will be deobligated by ADOT. Deobligated HURF Exchange funds will be returned to the applicable COG/MPO and must be transferred or loaned to another COG/MPO or ADOT by March 31 or obligated to projects by June 30 of each fiscal year.

Effective: October 1, 2017 Transmittal: 2017– October Supersedes: None Page 9 of 11

**3.** Expenditures made prior to the date of finance authorization are not eligible for HURF Exchange.

- **4.** All HURF Exchange submissions and invoices are to be submitted to the assigned ADOT PM, who is responsible for reviewing, approving and forwarding invoices to FMS for payment within 10 days of receipt.
- **5.** Any invoicing protocol proposed for the IGA which varies from the 30%/30%/30%/10% structure must be approved in advance in writing by the ADOT Chief Financial Officer.

#### G. Project Close-out

- 1. The LPA, applicable COG/MPO and ADOT representative (and possibly FHWA if federal funds were used to design the project) must complete a final project review, which should be done before the close-out package is submitted.
- 2. Within 60 days of the completion of the project, the LPA will submit the close-out package to the ADOT PM. The close-out package must include an invoice for the final 10%, the Project Acceptance letter from the LPA to the contractor, and a close-out letter to ADOT.
- **3.** The ADOT PM will review and approve the close-out package and submit it to Resource Administration.
- **4.** Resource Administration will review the close-out package to ensure all documentation has been received. Upon confirmation, Resource Administration will forward the close-out package to the FMS Final Voucher unit.
- **5.** A final voucher review will be conducted on all HURF Exchange projects. ADOT will reimburse the final 10% of the cost of the project to the LPA within 30 days of the completion of the final voucher. Upon payment of the final 10%, the project will be closed out in AFIS, any remaining funding will be released from the project and no further invoices will be processed.
- **6.** Any released funding will be returned to the COG/MPO, and will appear as a credit to the project in the HURF Exchange section on the COG/MPO ledger. The released funds must be transferred or loaned to another COG/MPO or ADOT by March 31 or obligated to projects by June 30 of each fiscal year.

#### H. Miscellaneous

1. Any HURF Exchange funds deobligated, released or otherwise removed from projects will be returned to the applicable COG/MPO for reprogramming and will appear on the ledger as a credit to the project in the HURF Exchange section on the COG/MPO ledger. The released funding and must be transferred or loaned to another COG/MPO or ADOT by March 31 or obligated to projects by June 30 of each fiscal year. Any remaining funding will revert to ADOT on July 1.

24

Effective: October 1, 2017 Transmittal: 2017– October Supersedes: None Page 10 of 11

2. ADOT employees are required to track their time on each HURF Exchange project using the administrative phase. These costs will not be billed to LPAs without amendment of this policy in advance.

**3.** If a project developed (including Right of Way acquisition) with HURF Exchange funds is not constructed within two years of the completion of development, the LPA must repay all HURF Exchange payments to ADOT. Upon receipt of an invoice from ADOT, the LPA has 30 days to remit the full amount.

The repaid funds will be deposited in the HURF Exchange sub-fund of the SHF and will be returned to the applicable COG/MPO to be transferred or loaned to another COG/MPO or ADOT by March 31 or obligated to projects by June 30 of each fiscal year. The returned funds will appear on the ledger as a credit to the project in the HURF Exchange section.

- **4.** LPAs are required to retain all records related to a HURF Exchange project for a period of five years after the date of the final payment of HURF Exchange funding from ADOT.
- **5.** All HURF Exchange projects are subject to audit. ADOT may refer projects to the State Auditor General or ADOT's Internal Audit unit in cases of suspected misuse of HURF Exchange funding.
- **6.** ADOT reserves the right to refuse to enter into further HURF Exchange transactions with a LPA which owes repayment of previous HURF Exchange funding or has misused HURF Exchange funds.
- **7.** ADOT assumes no liability or financial responsibility for HURF Exchange projects. LPAs are solely responsible for complying with all applicable laws, rules and regulations, for any additional funding required to complete the project and for any claims due to delays, change orders or any other circumstances.

#### I. Shutdown Process

- 1. Certain situations result in the diminishment of SHF revenues, and may result in the immediate discontinuation of the program. These include, but are not limited to: appropriations, transfers, or distributions of HURF or SHF funds; legislative fund sweeps; declining revenues or revenue shortfalls; delays in federal reimbursements; significant changes in federal aid funding or pro rata match requirements; and economic or market conditions. In such situations, ADOT may choose to discontinue the HURF Exchange program.
- **2.** ADOT will implement a shutdown process as follows:
  - a. FMS will determine the effective date of the shutdown.
  - **b.** FMS will notify IDO, MPD, the JPA group and the COGs/MPOs of the shutdown date as soon as possible.

Effective: October 1, 2017 Transmittal: 2017– October Supersedes: None Page 11 of 11

c. HURF Exchange IGAs which are fully executed as of the shutdown date will be honored. No further HURF Exchange IGAs will be executed or initiated after the shutdown date.

- **d.** COGs/MPOs will need to reprogram any remaining HURF Exchange projects with federal aid. All applicable federal requirements will apply.
- **e.** All federal aid projects (with the exception of those of CA agencies) will be administered by ADOT. ADOT staff will charge their time directly to the projects and the LPA will be billed for these charges.
- f. The March 31 deadline for the transfer or loan to another COG/MPO or ADOT, the June 30 deadline for obligation of funds to projects and reversion to ADOT on July 1 will continue to apply.

#### 1.07 CORRESPONDING POLICIES AND DOCUMENTATION

FIN-2.01 Funds Control Policy

FIN-5.09 Charging/Distributing Costs to Local, State and Federal Projects

MGT-14.01 Department-wide Agreement Policy

ADOT Local Public Agency Projects Manual: <a href="http://azdot.gov/docs/default-source/business/lpa-manual.pdf?sfvrsn=50">http://azdot.gov/docs/default-source/business/lpa-manual.pdf?sfvrsn=50</a>

ADOT Project Development Process Manual: <a href="http://azdot.gov/docs/projects/project-development-process.pdf?sfvrsn=0">http://azdot.gov/docs/projects/pr

**ADOT Project Funding Request** 

ADOT Project Obligation policy and procedures (in development)

State of Arizona Accounting Manual: <a href="https://gao.az.gov/publications/SAAM/">https://gao.az.gov/publications/SAAM/</a>

Functional Classification Maps: http://www.azdot.gov/maps/functional-classification-maps

#### **Chris Vertrees**

From:

Jennifer Catapano < JCatapano@azdot.gov>

Sent:

Tuesday, March 07, 2017 4:50 PM

To:

cdvertrees@seago.org

Cc:

Jodi Rooney

Subject:

Every Day Counts Arizona Local Public Agency Stakeholder Council Meeting

Hello Chris,

How are you? I hope all is well. Jodi forwarded your email to me. Please forward me the name of the representative when you make the decision. I will forward them information about the meeting expectations and agenda.

Below are the meeting dates for 2017:

March 9, 2017 June 15, 2017 September 14, 2017 December 7, 2017

All meetings are from 10:00 am to 2:00 pm at the Maricopa Association of Governments office in Phoenix.

Please let me know if there is anything else that you need.

Best,

Jennifer T. Catapano
Administrative Services Officer III
Local Public Agency Section
1615 West Jackson Street
MD EM11
Phoenix, Arizona 85007
O: 602-712-4873
M: 602-867-2386
www.azdot.gov
jcatapano@azdot.gov









### SEAGO/Sierra Vista MPO Strategic Highway Safety Plan TAC Meeting

Cochise College Benson Center 1025 State Route 90, Benson March 16, 2017 – 11:15 AM to 1:00 PM

- 1. Preliminary Network Screening Results
- 2. Crash Dashboard Demo
- 3. Additional Traffic Volume and Crash Data
- 4. Safety Plan Vision and Goal
- 5. Draft Emphasis Areas and Safety Strategies
- 6. Stakeholder/Public Involvement
- 7. Next Steps