

## TRANSPORTATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE AGENDA

Date:	November 17, 2022						
Time:	10 a.m.						
<b>Location:</b>	Zoom Meeting						
Call-in No.	ttps://us02web.zoom.us/j/82545970830?pwd=cm5aOXlwWU9Vdng0cnRoRE04L1dYZz09						
	Meeting ID: 825 4597 0830 Passcode: 419012						

Individuals wishing to participate in the meeting telephonically may do so by contacting Randy Heiss at (520) 432-5301 Extension 202. Contact must be made at least 48 hours before the meeting in order to obtain the call-in information. Please note that the option to participate telephonically may not be available unless requested as instructed above.

Si necesita acomodaciones especiales o un intérprete para esta conferencia, deben ponerse en contacto con Randy Heiss al número (520) 432-5301, Extensión 202, por lo menos setenta y dos (72) horas antes de la conferencia.

Voting TAC Members	Michael Bryce– Graham County (Chair) Lance Henrie – Safford Mark Hoffman – ADOT MPD Abbie King– Benson Matthew Gurney – Bisbee Rudy Perez – Clifton Jackie Watkins – Cochise County	Luis Pedroza – Douglas Terry Hinton – Duncan Reed Larson - Greenlee County Juan Guerra – Nogales Vernon Batty – Pima Barney Bigman – San Carlos Apache Tribe (SCAT) Leonard Fontes – Santa Cruz County	Tom Palmer - Thatcher (Vice Chair) William Teeters – Willcox Regina Duran - Tombstone Ronald Robinson –Patagonia
Guests, Staff, and Other Expected Attendees	Chris Vertrees, SEAGO John Merideth, SEAGO Mark Henige - ADOT		

	Shaded areas indicate items for possible action.									
ITEM	SUBJECT	PRESENTER	PAGE							
1.	Call to Order and Introductions	Michael	N/A							
2.	Call to the Public	Michael	N/A							
3.	Approval of Minutes of September 22, 2022	Michael	3-6							
4.	District Engineers' Report  Status of State Highway Projects  Quarterly Project Report	Todd Emery	N/A							
5.	STBG Ledger Report	Chris	7							
6.	<ul><li>TIP Report</li><li>Possible TIP Amendment(s)</li><li>Possible Administrative Amendments</li></ul>	Chris	8-10							
7.	TAC 12-month Meeting Schedule - Approval	Chris	11							
8.	ADOT Call for Traffic Count Data	Chris	12							
9.	AZ SMART Fund Webinar Notice	Chris	13-16							
10.	OSB Call for Projects - Reminder	Chris	17-26							
11.	ADOT LPA Section Updates	Mark	N/A							

12.	Regional Local Program Reports  Status of Local Projects  STP Projects  Update on Enhancement Projects  Update on HSIP Projects  Update on all Planning Studies	Towns, Cities, Counties, & ADOT	N/A
13.	Items for General Discussion	All	N/A
14.	Next Meeting Date: January 17, 2023	Michael	N/A
15.	Adjourn	Michael	N/A

Direction may be given to SEAGO staff on any item on the agenda



# SEAGO TRANSPORTATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE DRAFT MEETING MINUTES FOR SEPTEMBER 22, 2022

Date:	September 22, 2022		
Time:	10 a.m.		
Location:	Zoom Conference		
Voting	Michael Bryce (Chair) Graham	Lance Henrie, Safford	
TAC	County	Terry Hinton, Duncan	
Members	Will Randolph, ADOT	Leonard Fontes, Santa Cruz County	
Present	Travis Fast, Cochise County Tom Palmer, Thatcher Juan Guerra, Nogales Michelle Johnson, Benson	Luis Pedroza, Douglas Ron Robinson, Patagonia Vernon Batty, Pima	
Guests,	Chris Vertrees, SEAGO	Abbie King, Benson	
Staff, and	John Merideth, SEAGO	Dylan Renner, ADOT LPA	
Other	Max Tapia, Douglas	Jackie Watkins, Cochise	
Attendees	Mark Henige, ADOT LPA	County	

#### 1. Call to Order and Introductions

Chair Michael Bryce called the meeting to order at 10:01 a.m. Chris Vertrees conducted a roll call of members and guests that were participating via Zoom.

#### 2. Call to the Public

Chair Michael Bryce made a Call to the Public and no one spoke.

#### 3. Approval of July 21, 2022, Meeting Minutes

Chair Michael Bryce asked the TAC to review the minutes for needed corrections. Chair Michael Bryce asked for a motion to approve the July 21, 2022, Meeting Minutes.

**MOTION:** Leonard Fontes moved to approve

**SECOND:** Michelle Johnson

**ACTION: APPROVED UNANIMOUSLY** 

#### 4. District Engineer's Report

No District Staff were available for a report.

#### 5. STBG Ledger Report

Chris Vertrees referred the TAC to the STBG Ledger Report located on pages 8 of their packet. Chris advised the TAC that the Ledger was updated to reflect the FY23-27 Fiscal Years. Chris updated the TAC on the ADOT loan that was executed in June. In May, we had \$53,007 in final voucher savings for Thatcher–Church Street and \$42,313 in final voucher savings for Graham County Reay Lane Ditch Project. That left us with \$185,576 in unused OA. In order to not lose the funding, he executed a loan with ADOT in the amount of \$185,576. ADOT will repay the loan in FY24. As a result, we will have \$191,000 in OA available for FY23.

#### 6. TIP Report

Chris advised the TAC that SEAGO did not receive any amendment requests for this meeting. On September 1, 2022, we did make the following administrative amendment to our FY23-27 TIP. The change was as follows:

**NOG 20-02 – City of Nogales (Frank Reed Rd MUP)** - The ADOT project Manager has requesting additional CMAQ funding for the FY23 design phase on project. The City of Nogales Engineer has concurred with the change. The additional funding request is as follows:

FY23 Design Federal - \$136,735 Local - \$8,265 Total - \$145,000

Chris advised that our FY23 TIP can be found on page 10 of the packet.

#### 7. RTAC Priority Project Request

Chris reminded the TAC that last year, the Rural Transportation Advisory Council (RTAC) requested that Greater Arizona COGs and MPOs develop a list of regional priorities consisting of the top projects to be put forward by all of the COGs/MPOs in Greater Arizona to the State Legislature for funding. RTAC recommended that \$50 million of this year's transportation earmark funding be designated towards regional transportation priorities. The Greater Arizona Priority Project List was included in the in the leadership budget framework on June 10, 2022. Unfortunately, they were pulled at the last minute from the final budget. RTAC has indicated that this year's budget includes a very substantial carry forward balance for next year, so we should be prepared to pursue another request.

Chris advised that RTAC has requested that Greater Arizona COGs/MPOs develop tiered project lists of \$100/200/300 million. The following table reflects SEAGO's allocation based upon 2020 population estimates:

С	OG/MPO	Population*	\$100M		\$200M		\$100M \$200M		\$300M
	SEAGO	162,972	\$	9,051,752	\$	18,103,504	\$	27,155,256	

Chris reminded the TAC that in order to expedite the process and ensure we have projects available to promote at the Rural Transportation Summit in September, he recommended that the Tier 1 projects include those that were vetted and approved at our meeting last July and by our Executive Board at their meeting last August. The TAC voted that those projects would be submitted to RTAC as our Tier 1 projects. Chris advised that those projects were adjusted for inflation and submitted to RTAC as our Tier 1 projects. The following was the final Tier 1 list submitted to RTAC:

2	2023 RTAC PRIORITY TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS FOR THE SEAGO REGION										
TIER 1											
Project Sponsor Project Name Total Cost Local Contribution Recommend											
				Funding							
Graham County	Safford Bryce Road – Talley Wash	\$1,991,928	\$210,462	\$1,781,466							
	Crossing										
City of Nogales	Industrial Park Drive Reconstruction	\$2,505,944	\$142,839	\$2,363,105							
	Project										
San Carlos Apache	Peridot Siding Road (BIA Route 103)	\$1,500,000	\$85,500	\$1,414,500							
Tribe	Pavement Overlay Project										
Santa Cruz County	Ruby Road Bridge at Potrero Creek	\$15,829,984	\$4,500,000	\$3,492,681							
	Replacement										
	Totals	\$21,259,856	\$4,938,801	\$9,051,752							

To develop the Tier 2 and 3 project list, SEAGO issued a Call for Projects on July 2, 2022, with application due on September 2, 2022. The following applications were received:

2023 RTAC PRIORITY TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS FOR THE SEAGO REGION TIER 2 & TIER 3 APPLICATIONS										
Project Sponsor	Project Name	Total Cost	Local Contribution	Recommended Funding						
Graham County	Norton Road/Reay Lane Intersection Reconstruction	\$513,496	\$80,619	\$432,877						
City of Nogales	Frank Reed Road Paving Project	\$1,864,652	\$106,285	\$1,758,367						
City of Douglas	Douglas Port of Entry Connector Road	\$15,300,000	\$856,500	\$14,443,500						
Town of Patagonia	McKeown Avenue Reconstruction Project	\$1,523,068	\$86,211	\$1,436,857						
Town of Thatcher	8 <sup>th</sup> Street widening Project	\$4,764,580	\$271,581	\$4,492,999						
Santa Cruz County	West Frontage Road at Camino Ramanote Roudabout	\$841,419	\$48,000	\$793,419						
	Totals	\$24,807,215	\$1,449,196	\$23,358,019						

SEAGO made the applications and a scoring form available electronically. Scoring was due by September 19, 2022. 10 agencies provided scoring. The following are the scoring results and funding recommendations that were are consistent with the total 2-3 Tier allocations as identified by RTAC based upon 2020 population estimates:

Score	Project Sponsor	Project Name	Total Cost	Local	Recommended
				Contribution	Funding
973	Santa Cruz County	West Frontage Road at Camino Ramanote Roudabout	\$841,419	\$42,070	\$799,349
964	Town of Thatcher	8th Street Widening Project	\$4,764,580	\$238,229	\$4,526,351

900	City of Douglas	Douglas Port of Entry Connector Road (Design/ROW/Construction)	\$15,300,000	\$722,196	\$12,77,804
896	City of Nogales	Frank Reed Road Paving Project	\$1,864,652	\$93,233	\$1,771,419
030	city of Hogaics	Trank need houd raving respect	Ţ1,004,03 <u>2</u>	<b>\$33,233</b>	Ų1,771,413
888	Graham County	Norton Road/Reay Lane	\$513,496	\$25,675	\$487,821
		Intersection Reconstruction			
880	Town of Patagonia	McKeown Avenue Reconstruction	\$1,523,068	\$76,153	\$1,446,915
		Project			
		Totals	\$22,707,215	\$1,267,042	\$21,809,659

**MOTION:** Leonard Fontes moved to approve

SECOND: Juan Guerra

**ACTION: APPROVED UNANIMOUSLY** 

#### 8. SEAGO Region Road Pavement Assessment Project

Chris referred the TAC to pages 15-16 of their TAC packet. Chris updated the TAC on the progress of the Region Road Pavement Assessment Project. Chris advised that almost 600 miles have been completed. Chris advised the TAC that the SEAGO Data Portal is active. Chris reminded the TAC to accept their invitations to join the portal. Additional requests need to be submitted to John Merideth.

#### 9. ADOT LPA Section Updates

Mark Henige provided updates for the ADOT LPA section. In his updates, Mark noted that the Off System Bridge Call for Project will be issued on October 3, 2022.

#### 13. Regional Program Reports

Those in attendance reported their current status of local projects and issues.

#### 14. Items for General Discussion

Chris Vertrees stated that the November TAC meeting would include an ADOT ER Program presentation and a Bridge Program Q&A led by the LPA Section.

15. Next Meeting Date: January 19, 2022

#### 16. Meeting adjourned at 11:55 a.m.

#### SEAGO STBG Ledger 2023-2027 November 2022

OA rate from ADOT	94.9% *	Projected Fe	d Funds *	Cumulative E	Balance
Action	OA Rate	Apportionment	OA	Apportionment	OA
STBGP Carry Forward FY 2022	94.9%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
FY 2023 Allocation*	94.9%	\$907,800	\$861,502	\$907,800	\$861,502
Repay SVMPO (OUT) for Thatcher Part 2		-\$395,617	-\$395,617	\$512,183	\$465,885
Partial repayment Safford 20th Ave. Loan (OUT)		-\$451,461	-\$451,461	\$60,722	\$14,424
ADOT Loan Repayment (IN)		\$186,576	\$186,576	\$247,298	\$201,000
Tech Transfer (LTAP)		-\$10,000	-\$10,000	\$237,298	\$191,000
FY 2023 Balance				\$237,298	\$191,000
FY 2024 Allocation	94.9%	\$907,800	\$861,502	\$907,800	\$861,502
Partial Repayment Safford 20th Ave. Loan (OUT)		-\$847,078	-\$847,078	\$60,722	\$14,424
Tech Transfer (LTAP)		-\$10,000	-\$10,000	\$50,722	\$4,424
FY 2024 Balance				\$50,722	\$4,424
FY 2025 Allocation	94.9%	\$907,800	\$861,502	\$907,800	\$861,502
Final Repayment Safford 20th Ave. Loan (OUT)		-\$529,435	-\$529,435	\$378,365	\$332,067
City of Douglas - Chino Road - Design		-\$75,440	-\$75,440	\$302,925	\$256,627
Tech Transfer (LTAP)		-\$10,000	-\$10,000	\$292,925	\$246,627
FY 2025 Balance				\$292,925	\$236,627
FY 2026 Allocation	94.9%	\$907,800	\$861,502	\$907,800	\$861,502
Tech Transfer (LTAP)		-\$10,000	-\$10,000	\$897,800	\$851,502
FY 2026 Balance				\$897,800	\$851,502
FY2027 Allocation	94.9%	\$907,800	\$861,502	\$907,800	\$861,502
Tech Transfer (LTAP)		-\$10,000	-\$10,000	\$897,800	\$851,502
FY 2026 Balance				\$897,800	\$851,502

<sup>\*</sup> Notes: 1. OA = Obligated Authority. This is the amount of money that can actually be obligated to SEAGO based upon the OA %.

This is an internal SEAGO document, and is used to provide a general overview of STBG funds for a five year period.

<sup>2.</sup> STBGP = Surface Transportation Block Grant Program. This amount is allocated to SEAGO based upon the new Federal Authorization (IIJA).

<sup>3.</sup> OA Rate of 94.9% is subject to change

<sup>4.</sup> in addition to the OA Rate of 94.9%, \$6,375 of OA is taken annually for the SPR funding to the SEAGO region.

<sup>5.</sup> STBG Apportionments are SEAGO estimates and subject to change.

<sup>6.</sup> Balance carry forward is no longer allowed. Excess funds must be utilized or loaned to another COG or to the State.

<sup>7.</sup> Reconciled with the ADOT Federal Aid Transaction Ledger (May 2022)



## TAC PACKET

TO: SEAGO TAC

FROM: CHRIS VERTREES, TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM ADMINISTRATOR

DATE: NOVEMBER 7, 2022

RE: SEAGO TIP REPORT

SEAGO did not receive any amendment requests for this meeting. Since are last meeting, we did make the following administrative amendments to our FY23-27 TIP. The changes were as follows:

BIS 23-01 – City of Bisbee (City of Bisbee Shared Use Path) – On September 27, 2022, the City of Bisbee was notified by the U.S. Economic Development Administration (EDA) that it was awarded a grant in the amount of \$4,572,000 to develop a shared use path on SR 80 adjacent to the Lavender Pit. The funding request is as follows:

FY23 – PE/Design Federal - \$1,147,137 Local - \$12,964 Total - \$1,160,101

FY25 – Construction Federal - \$3,375,000 Local - \$36,899 Total - \$3,411,899

**NOG 21-01 – City of Nogales** (Shared Use Pathway along Patagonia Highway/SR82) - The ADOT project Manager has requesting additional CMAQ funding for the FY23 design phase on project. The City of Nogales Engineer has concurred with the change. The additional funding request is as follows:

FY23 Design Federal - \$32,576 Local - \$1,970 Total - \$34,546

Our SEAGO 2023-2027 TIP (Administrative Amendment #2) is attached for your records.

#### SEAGO REGION

#### 2023- 2027 TIP (Administrative Amendment #2)

Approved By: TAC- 3/17/22 Admistrative Committee- 3/31/22 Executive Committee - 3/31/22

Project ID	PROJECT SPONSOR	PROJECT NAME	PROJECT LOCATION	LENGTH	TYPE OF IMP - WK - STRU	Functional Classifications	LANES BEFORE		FED AID TYPE	FEDERAL FUNDS	HURF EXCHANGE	LOCAL MATCH	OTHER FUNDS	TOTAL COST
2023														
			Structure# 08536 Frisco Avenue -											
		Chase Creek Bridge #1	0.1 mile north of Junction with						Off System					
CLF21-01	Town of Clifton	Replacement	Park Avenue	.01 mile	Construction	Rural Local	2	2	Bridge	\$726,821		\$43,933		\$770,754
		Pendleton Drive - Roadway	Pendleton Drive Dip at Sonoita											
SCC 21-01	Santa Cruz County	Dip Elimination	Creek Wash	.25 miles	Construction	Minor Arterial	2	2	HSIP	\$424,350		\$25,650		\$450,000
		Double Adobe Road, SR												
		80 to Frontier Road, Installation of Rumble	Double Adobe Road, SR 80 to											
CCH 21-01	Cochise County	Strips	Frontier Road	4.9 miles	Design	Major Collector	2	2	HSIP	\$264,000		\$0		\$264,000
		Golf Course Road,	Golf Course Road from Hoopes Avenue to just west of 20th											
		Cottonwood Wash Road -	Avenue; Cottonwood Wash Road											
		Shoulders and Rumble	from Cottonwood Wash Loop to				_	_						
GGH 21-01	Graham County	Strips	1200 South.  East side of Grand Avenue from	5.1 miles	Construction	Major Collector	2	2	HSIP	\$1,992,408		\$186,830		\$2,179,238
			Baffert Drive to Country Club											
			Drive. Intersects with Grand Avenue path on south side of											
		Frank Reed Rd MUP,	Frank Reed Road to Nogales High											
NOG 20-02	City of Nogales		School	3 miles	Design	N/A	N/A	N/a	CMAQ	\$18,860		\$1,140		\$20,000
			East side of Grand Avenue from Baffert Drive to Country Club											
			Drive. Intersects with Grand											
		5 1 5 15 14 15	Avenue path on south side of											
NOG 20-02	City of Nogales	Frank Reed Rd MUP, Nogales HS to Grand Ave.	Frank Reed Road to Nogales High School	3 miles	Design	N/A	N/A	N/a	CMAQ	\$136,735		\$8,265		\$145,000
		City of Bisbee Shared Use	SR80 from Downtown Bisbee to											
BIS 23-01	City of Bisbee	Path	Erie Street	1.43 miles	PE/Design	Urban Principal Arterial	4	3	EDA	\$1,147,137		\$12,964		\$1,160,101
		Multiuse Pathway along	Patgonia Highway (SR82) from											
NOG 21-01	City of Nogales	Patagonia Highway (SR82)	Morley Avenue to Royal Road	1.4 miles	Design	N/A	N/A	N/A	CMAQ	\$32,576		\$1,970		\$34,546
	LTAP								STP	\$10,000		\$0		\$10,000
2024	TOTAL FOR 2023									\$4,026,066		\$236,819		\$4,262,885
2024														
		Multiuse Pathway along	Patgonia Highway (SR82) from											
NOG 21-01	City of Nogales	Double Adobe Road, SR	Morley Avenue to Royal Road	1.4 miles	Construction	N/A	N/A	N/A	CMAQ	\$1,090,546		\$65,919		\$1,156,465
		80 to Frontier Road,												
CCH 21-01	Cochise County	Installation of Rumble Strips	Double Adobe Road, SR 80 to Frontier Road	4.9 miles	Construction	Major Collector	2	2	HSIP	\$383,940		\$0		\$383,940
CCH 21-01	LTAP	Strips	Frontier Road	4.9 1111165	Construction	iviajor Collector			STP	\$10,000		φ0		\$10,000
	TOTAL FOR 2024									\$1,484,486		\$65,919		\$1,550,405
2025														
BIS 23-01	City of Bisbee	City of Bisbee Shared Use Path	SR80 from Downtown Bisbee to Erie Street	1.43 miles	Construction	Urban Principal Arterial	4	3	EDA	\$3,375,000		\$36,899		\$3,411,899
		Chino Road Extension			CONSTRUCTION		*							
DGS17-01	City of Douglas	Phase 2	Chino Road: 9th Street to SR90	.85 miles	Design	Urban Minor Arterial	2	2	STP	\$75,440		\$4,560		\$80,000
	TOTAL FOR 2025								STP	\$10,000 <b>\$85,440</b>		\$0 <b>\$4,560</b>	\$0	\$10,000 <b>\$90,000</b>
2026													<b>40</b>	
	LTAP								STP	\$10,000		\$0 <b>\$0</b>		\$10,000
2027	TOTAL FOR 2026									\$10,000		\$0		\$10,000
		Chino Road Extension												
DGS17-01	City of Douglas	Phase 2	Chino Road: 9th Street to SR90	.85 miles	Construction	Urban Minor Arterial	2	2	STP	\$2,829,000		\$171,000		\$3,000,000
	TOTAL FOR 2027								STP	\$10,000 <b>\$2,839,000</b>		\$0 <b>\$171,000</b>		\$10,000 <b>\$3,010,000</b>
	5-YEAR TOTALS									\$8,444,992		\$478,298		\$8,923,290
	FUNDING OBLIGATED IN 202	22												
	I SHUING OBLIGATED IN 20.													
NOC 24 2:	Cit. of Novelle	Multiuse Pathway along	Patgonia Highway (SR82) from	4.4 - 3 -	ADOT David (DDA 5	N//A		N./A	01110	#00 0==		04.7/-		600.05
NOG 21-01	City of Nogales	ratagonia Highway (SR82)	Morley Avenue to Royal Road	1.4 miles	ADOT Review/PDA Fees	N/A	N/A	N/A	CMAQ	\$28,290		\$1,710		\$30,000
		Multiuse Pathway along	Patgonia Highway (SR82) from											
NOG 21-01	City of Nogales	Patagonia Highway (SR82)	Morley Avenue to Royal Road	1.4 miles	Design	N/A	N/A	N/A	CMAQ	\$171,371		\$10,359		\$181,730
		Ft. Thomas River Structure	Ft. Thomas River Road @ Gila						Off System					
	Graham County		River		Construction	Minor Collector	2	2	Bridge	\$938,000		\$210,000		\$1,148,000

SEAGO REGION
2023- 2027 TIP (Administrative Amendment #2)
Approved By: TAC - 3/17/22 Admistrative Committee- 3/31/22 Executive Board - 3/31/22

	LTAP							I	STP	\$10,000				\$10,000
	TOTAL FOR 2022								0	\$3,140,069	\$0	\$222,069	\$0	\$1,369,730
	Future Construction	Projects								44,774,000	70	, LLL, 603	Ţ.	<b>V</b> 1,000,000
	i didie Constituction	Tojecis			Construction of Safety &									
CCH12-10	Cochise County	Davis Rd. Improvements	Davis Road MP 13	1 mile	Drainage Improvements	Rural Major Collector	2	2 2	STP	\$924,560		\$55,885		\$980,445
SCC 22-01	Santa Cruz County	Ruby Road Bridge at Potrero Creek Replacement Project	Ruby Road- 1500 feet east of I19	.27 miles	Bridge Replacement	Minor Arterial	2	2 2	TBD		\$4	1,500,000	\$1,517,304	\$13,631,315
GEH 22-01	Greenlee County		Soapbox Canyon Bridge (Structure 8149)	.10 miles	Bridge Replacement	Local		2	TBD	\$240,000		TBD		\$240,000
GLI122-01	Greeniee County	Replacement	0149)	. 10 IIIIes	Blidge Replacement	Local			100	\$240,000		IDU		\$240,000
CCH 22-01	Cochise County		Davis Road -Central Highway to SR80	22.3 miles	PE/Design	Rural Major Collector	2	2 2	TBD	\$6,320,641		\$382,054		\$6,702,695
CCH 22-01	Cochise County		Davis Road -Central Highway to SR80	22.3 miles	ROW	Rural Major Collector	2	2 2	TBD	\$1,131,600		\$68,400		\$1,200,000
CCH 22-01	Cochise County		Davis Road -Central Highway to SR80	22.3 miles	Construction	Rural Major Collector	2	2 2	TBD	\$61,084,658	\$3	3,392,286		\$64,476,944
CCH15-01	Cochise County	Davis Rd. Improvements	Davis Road MP 5	0.61 miles	Construction of Safety & Drainage Improvements	Rural Major Collector	2	2 2	STP	\$1,045,000		\$63,165		\$1,108,165



## TAC PACKET

TO: SEAGO TAC

FROM: CHRIS VERTREES, TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM ADMINISTRATOR

**DATE:** NOVEMBER 7, 2022

**RE:** 12-MONTH TAC MEETING SCHEDULE

The SEAGO TAC is scheduled to meet on the third Thursday of every other month. Below for your approval is the TAC meeting calendar for the next 12 months:

Date	Scheduled Business	Location
	Election of Officers, Off-system Bridge	
January 19, 2023	Programming	Zoom Meeting
	2024-2028 Draft TIP, Final FY 23 Project	
March 16, 2023	Status Reviews	TBD
May 18, 2023	General Business	TBD
July 20, 2023	General Business	TBD
September 21, 2023	General Business	TBD
	Initial FY 23 STBG Project Status Reviews,	
November 16, 2023	Transportation Issues Statement	TBD

#### **Chris Vertrees**

From: Sage Donaldson <sdonaldson@azdot.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, November 09, 2022 9:21 AM
To: Chris Vertrees; jmerideth@seago.org

Cc: Sanja Katic-jauhar; Mark Hoffman; AZTrafficData - ADOT; Jothan Samuelson; Lucas

Murray; Jason James

**Subject:** 2022 Call for Traffic Count Data

Dear local and regional agency partners,

Each year, traffic count data collected by local and regional agencies is requested to help the state to comply with the Federal Highway Administration's Highway Performance Monitoring System (HPMS) requirements, which in turn, enables Arizona to receive federal aid funds for eligible roads.

- ADOT is respectfully requesting all 2022 traffic count data collected by your agency. Where possible, data should be imported to the MS2 TCDS web portal (<a href="https://seago.ms2soft.com">https://seago.ms2soft.com</a>). Other data formats may be accepted where agencies are not sufficiently familiar with the MS2 TCDS.
- To be included in the 2022 HPMS report, 2022 traffic counts should be loaded by **February 1, 2023**. **Please reply** (or request individual jurisdictions reply) indicating where 2022 count data is available and whether that data has been shared.
- Technical assistance and training may be provided to agencies that need support in the data import
  process. Tutorial Story Maps on uploading traffic data is available on the ADOT Data Analytics
  website, here. Please reach out to me, by email or phone (aztrafficdata@azdot.gov, 602.712.7870),
  for support.

As part of ADOT's recent Statewide Traffic Data Management Program, a statewide traffic count status dashboard has been produced to allow local agencies to view where traffic counting efforts are needed. ADOT is encouraging local public agencies to use this dashboard as a tool to plan their yearly traffic count programs in accordance with ADOT federal reporting requirements. Visit the ADOT Data Analytics website to view this, or the link here: https://arcg.is/eLjPD

For any questions or technical assistance, please contact ADOT's Traffic Data Liaison Sanja Katic Jauhar (<a href="mailto:aztrafficdata@azdot.gov">aztrafficdata@azdot.gov</a>) or ADOT's consultant, Jothan Samuelson (<a href="mailto:jsamuelson@worksconsulting.com">jsamuelson@worksconsulting.com</a>, 480.648.5007).

ADOT appreciates your continued partnership in coordinating HPMS related transportation data.

--

Sage Donaldson
Data Analytics Section Manager
HPMS Program Manager

1611 W Jackson St. Building 1004A

Phoenix, AZ 85007 Work: 480.261.8220 Cell: 480.204.9836

**ADOT Data Analytics Site** 

X

#### **Chris Vertrees**

From: Jennifer Catapano < jcatapano@azdot.gov>
Sent: Thursday, November 10, 2022 12:16 PM

**To:** CA Primary and Secondary Contacts - ADOT; COG and MPO Partners - ADOT

Cc:Jennifer Catapano; Lisa DankaSubject:AZ SMART Fund Applicant Webinar

#### Good afternoon:

The information below is sent to you on behalf of Lisa Danka, Programming Manager, Multimodal Planning Division, Arizona Department of Transportation, 602-712-7112 or <a href="ldanka2@azdot.gov">ldanka2@azdot.gov</a>. Disseminate, as needed, to individuals within your organization and network.

ADOT will hold a webinar on Thursday, November 17, 2022 from 10:00 am to 11:30 am (AZ time) for cities/towns and counties interested in applying for the AZ SMART Fund. To join the webinar by video or phone:

#### **Google Meet joining info:**

Video call link: <a href="https://meet.google.com/mwn-dzzn-qtu">https://meet.google.com/mwn-dzzn-qtu</a>
Or dial: (US) +1 916-750-3464 PIN: 534 153 595#

More phone numbers: <a href="https://tel.meet/mwn-dzzn-qtu?pin=9110458424925">https://tel.meet/mwn-dzzn-qtu?pin=9110458424925</a>

Created by the Arizona Legislature in 2022, this Fund is intended to provide financial assistance to eligible applicants for:

- Design and other engineering services related to a project which will be submitted for a federal discretionary grant prior to the termination of the federal Bipartisan Infrastructure Law
- Development and submission of a federal discretionary grant application related to a current Notice of Funding Opportunity
- Match on a federal discretionary grant related to a current Notice of Funding Opportunity

The webinar will provide an overview of the AZ SMART program, application/application guidelines and the AZ SMART website. It will be recorded and posted on the AZ SMART website so it can be accessed on demand later.

Thank you.

--

Jennifer T. Catapano LPA Oversight and Monitoring Manager Local Public Agency Section MD EM11 205 S. 17th Avenue Phoenix, Arizona 85007 O: 602-712-4873 www.azdot.gov

icatapano@azdot.gov

1

11/10/22, 12:36 PM AZ Smart Fund | ADOT

Visit OpenBooks

Ombudsman-Citizens Aide

Get the facts on COVID-19







Home » Planning » ADOT Grant Coordination Group » AZ Smart Fund

## **AZ Smart Fund**

Overview Application Materials Resources FAQs Federal Discretionary Grant Programs

# AZ State Match Advantage for Rural Transportation (SMART) Fund

## **About the Program**

The following information is a summary only - see the Application Materials, FAQs and Resources and Federal Discretionary Grant Program pages for further details.

Numerous new federal discretionary grant programs were created with the passage of the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act (IIJA, also know as the Bipartisan Infrastructure Act or BIL). The AZ SMART Fund was established by the Arizona Legislature in 2022 to assist eligible cities, towns, counties and the Arizona Department of Transportation (ADOT) in competing for federal discretionary surface transportation grants. The Fund is administered by ADOT, and all awards must be approved by the State Transportation Board (STB).

Federal discretionary grants are highly competitive at the national level. It is the policy of the STB to award AZ SMART Funds to well-developed applications that maximize the State's competitiveness for each federal discretionary grant. It is recommended that Applicants develop and/or review cost estimates carefully as they will be responsible for all costs exceeding the amount awarded by both the AZ SMART Fund and a federal agency.

11/10/22, 12:36 PM AZ Smart Fund | ADOT

The AZ SMART Fund received \$50 million state fiscal year 2023. The monies are allocated to certain categories of eligible applicants as follows:

- 20%, or \$10 million, for counties with a population of 100,000 persons or more;
- 20%, or \$10 million, for counties with a population of fewer than 100,000 persons;
- 20%, or \$10 million, for cities and towns with a population of 10,000 persons or more;
- 20%, or \$10 million, for cities and towns with a population of fewer than 10,000 persons; and
- 20%, or \$10 million, to ADOT.

AZ SMART does not provide match for formula programs such as STBGP, HSIP, off-system bridge or other formula federal aid.

The AZ SMART Fund grant program is different from the federal program using the "SMART" acronym, the Strengthening Mobility and Revolutionizing Transportation grant program which is funded by the federal government.

## **Eligible Applicants**

Most Arizona cities, towns, counties and ADOT are eligible for the AZ SMART Fund. See the list of ineligible entities here: AZ SMART Fund Eligible Applicants.

## **Eligible Uses**

Applicants may request AZ SMART Funds for any of the following eligible uses associated with developing a project for, applying for, or providing a local, non-federal match on a federal grant.

- Reimbursement of up to 50% of the eligible costs associated with Grant Development and Submission of an application for a federal discretionary grant. Limited to counties with a population of less than 100,000 and cities and towns with a population of less than 10,000.
- Reimbursement of non-federal match for a federal grant.
- Reimbursement of design and other engineering services expenditures that meet federal standards for projects eligible for a federal grant. For the purposes of the AZ SMART Fund, design and other engineering services includes preliminary engineering through final design

11/10/22, 12:36 PM AZ Smart Fund | ADOT

related to a road, bridge, rail or transit infrastructure construction project that the Applicant intends to submit for a federal grant *in a future year*.

#### **Chris Vertrees**

From: Chris Vertrees <cdvertrees@seago.org>
Sent: Tuesday, October 04, 2022 2:42 PM

**To:** 'Brad Hamilton'; 'Brad Simmons'; 'Jackie Watkins'; 'Jesus J. Valdez'; 'Juan Guerra';

'Leonard Fontes'; 'mbryce@graham.az.gov'; 'Michelle Johnson'; 'Ronald Robinson';

'Rudy Perez'; 'Tom Palmer'; 'lhenrie@saffordaz.gov'; 'Reed Larson'; 'wteeters@willcox.az.gov'; 'Barney Bigman'; 'mgurney@bisbeeaz.gov';

'vernon.batty@pimatown.az.gov'; 'Luis Pedroza'; 'max.tapia@douglasaz.gov'; 'Terry

Hinton'; 'TFast@cochise.az.gov'; 'aking@bensonaz.gov'; Randy Heiss

**Subject:** FW: Call For Projects - ADOT Off System Bridge Program

Attachments: IIJA Bill LPA OSB Application 2023.docx; OSB Scoring Criteria 2023.pdf; 2023 OSB

Guidelines.pdf; IIJA Bill LPA OSB Application 2023.pdf

#### Good Afternoon All,

ADOT has issued a call for off-system bridge projects. Please see the email below for details. ADOT will be available at our November 17<sup>th</sup> TAC meeting to answer questions regarding potential project applications. **SEAGO must review** and prioritize OSB applications prior to the December 30<sup>th</sup> submission deadline. OSB applications will be due to SEAGO by the COB on 12/16/22. This will provide us time to conduct electronic scoring of our OSB applications.

Please feel free to call or write if you have any questions.

Thanks, Chris

**From:** Mark Henige [mailto:mhenige@azdot.gov]

**Sent:** Monday, October 03, 2022 5:54 PM

**To:** Roland Hulse; Jennifer O'Connor; Travis Ashbaugh; Chris Vertrees; Jason Bottjen; Patrick Stone; David Wessel; Justin Hembree; Charles Gutierrez; Karen Lamberton; Carolyn Laurie; Rick Ellis; Aeysha Alam; Mark Hoffman; Myrna Bondoc; William Randolph; Jason James; Steven Latoski; Michael Bryce; Duane Eitel; Francisco Sanchez; Vincent Gallegos; Norm Davis; Randy Everett; Brenden Foley; Ed Wilson; Anthony Brozich; Roderick Lane; Todd Emery; Bruce Fenske

Cc: Bret Anderson; Jennifer Hobert; Dylan Renner; Chandra McMillan; David Benton; David Eberhart; Paul Patane; Steve

Boschen; Audra Merrick

Subject: Call For Projects - ADOT Off System Bridge Program

Please share this information with your staff, local, and tribal government partners (Project Sponsors).

#### To All COG/MPO Partners:

The Arizona Department of Transportation (ADOT) Local Public Agency (LPA) Section is issuing a formal call for projects for the Off-System Bridge (OSB) Program for State Fiscal Year (SFY) 2023. Please distribute this message to your member agencies.

The Purpose of the Off-System Bridge Program is to fund the Design and/or Construction for replacement, rehabilitation, preservation and protection of roadway bridges over waterways, other topographical barriers, other roadways, railroads, canals, ferry landings, etc. on bridges that are not on the Federal-aid highway system (local roads or rural minor collectors).

There are **two** separate funding programs available for use on Off-System Bridge Projects. The program type and eligibility for each program are described below:

#### SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BLOCK GRANT PROGRAM (STBG)

Eligible for Replacement, Rehabilitation and/or Strengthening:

The bridge must be classified as either "Poor" or "Fair" or having a load capacity rating that requires the bridge to be posted for weight restrictions based on the National Bridge Inspection Standards (NBIS).

Eligible for Preservation/Preventative Maintenance and Protection:

All bridges regardless of condition are eligible for Preservation/Preventative Maintenance or Protection measures.

#### **BRIDGE FORMULA PROGRAM (BFP)**

Eligible for Replacement, Rehabilitation and/or Strengthening:

The bridge must be classified as either "Poor" or "Fair" or having a load capacity rating that requires the bridge to be posted for weight restrictions based on the National Bridge Inspection Standards (NBIS).

Eligible for Preservation/Preventative Maintenance and Protection:

Protection activities as described above are also eligible to be funded under this program given that one of the above conditions or load capacity items are met.

#### **Project Application:**

- The application shall identify the requested Program Funding (STBG or BFP) the project sponsor wishes to apply for in the application form.
- Applications will require a description of work that includes purpose and need, scope of work, justification (system prioritization), schedule, and detailed cost estimates for Design and Construction phases. Entities submitting multiple applications will need to regionally prioritize projects and submit justification for the selected projects.

#### **Funding:**

- Under the STBG Program, eligible project costs will be funded at 94.3% federal share with a 5.7% local match.
- Under the Bridge Formula Program (BFP), eligible project costs will be funded with 100% federal share and no local match is required.

#### Timeline:

- OSB applications are due back to the ADOT LPA Section no later than December 30, 2022.
- ADOT Selection Committee will review and prioritize all projects based on submitted applications and established scoring criteria **January 2023.**
- Eligibility determination letters will be sent to applicants (with a copy to the Regional COG/MPO) **February 2023.**
- Project Sponsors with projects selected can start working with their Regional COG/MPO to program the project into the Regional TIP as soon as program eligibility determination letter is received.
- Project Sponsors with selected projects may start working with ADOT LPA Section to initiate the project and start IGA process as soon as the project has been programmed in the Regional TIP.
- Funding for Development Activities such as consultant selection, Environmental, ROW, and Utility and Railroad consultations will not be available until after **June 2023**.

Attached are the Off-System Bridge Guidelines, application, and scoring criteria. Ensure that all OSB applications submitted to ADOT are on the attached application form.

All Off-System Bridge applications must be submitted through the Regional COG/MPO or the application will not be considered. This will ensure that each project will appropriately be considered for regional prioritization at the COG/MPO level before submission to ADOT.

More information about the OSB Program can be found on the ADOT LPA Section website at https://azdot.gov/node/15880.

If you have questions or need further information please contact Mark Henige, ADOT LPA Program Manager at (602) 712-7132.

Thank you,

#### Mark Henige

#### **Program Manager**

Local Public Agency Section

205 South 17th Avenue, Room 291

Phoenix, AZ 85007

Office: (602) 712-7132

Cell: (480) 486-4216

mhenige@azdot.gov

OSB RANKING CRITERIA						
CATEGORY	CRITERIA	DEFINITIONS	POSSIBLE POINTS	SCORE		
PROJECT WORK DESCRIPTON	Purpose and Need	Does the purpose and need address the bridge deficiencies? How will the project improve the overall condition and/or extend the service life of the bridge?	15			
		Deck Condition Rating (NBI #58) ≤ 4 (25pts) Deck Condition Rating (NBI #58) = 5 (15pts) Deck Condition Rating (NBI #58) = 6 (5pts)	25			
	Bridge Component Condition Ratings	Superstructure Condition Rating (NBI #59) = 5 (150ts)				
		Substructure Condition Rating (NBI #60) ≤ 4 (25pts) Substructure Condition Rating (NBI #60) = 5 (15pts) Substructure Condition Rating (NBI #60) = 6 (5pts)	25			
BRIDGE/CULVERT CONDITIONS & CRITERIA	Culvert Condition Only (if applicable)	Culvert Condition Rating (NBI #62) ≤ 4 (60pts) Culvert Condition Rating (NBI #60) = 5 (30pts) Culvert Condition Rating (NBI #60) = 6 (15pts)	60			
Chirling		Bridge Posted for Loads Less than Legal (NBI #70 ≤ 4 ) (15pts)	15			
		Scour Critical Rating (NBI #113) ≤ 3 (10pts) Scour Critical Rating (NBI #113) ≥ 4 (0pts)	10			
	Other Bridge Criteria	Minimum Vertical Clearance (NBI #54) Less Than 14ft over Roadway = 5 pts Less Than 20ft over Railroad = 5pts	5			
		Detour Length (NBI #09) > 10mi (10pts)  Detour Length (NBI #09) ≤ 10mi (5pts)  Detour Length (NBI #09) ≤ 5mi (0pts)	10			
AGENCY PRIORITIZATION Priority Ranking		Agency has provided clear prioritization and justification for its priority rankings. Agency provided justification (5pts) Prioritization is supported by data (5pts)	10			
OPERATIONAL IMPROVEMENT	How will this bridge project improve the agency's operations?	Effect on lifecycle (5pts) Maintenance and Repair tasks and frequency (5pts) Annual maintenance and repair costs (5pts)	15			
COMMUNITY IMPACTS Community Transportation Benefits		Emergency Access (5pt) Local Business and Industry Access (5pts) Educational Access (5pts) Access to other areas important to the community (i.e. major shopping areas, community centers, etc.) (5pts) NONE (0pts)	20			
OTHER Project Specific Unique Issues		This is an opportunity to add project-specific items or unique issues that are not addressed in another category.	5			
DEVELOPMENT CONSIDERATIONS Delivery Risks		Projects that have identified challenges and risks to delivery will encounter fewer hurdles and allow for a project to have fewer complications and provide the best opportunity for a project to be delivered on time and within budget.  Identifies requirements and impacts for the following: Environmental (5pts) Right of Way (5pts) Utilities & Railroad (5pts)	15			
COST ESTIMATE  Cost Considerations  Cost Considerations  Design complete/ready for construction (5pts) Local contributions exceeding a minimum of 10% over local match (5pts) Cost Estimates appear to be reasonable based on all provided information for toproject. (5pts)		Local contributions exceeding a minimum of 10% over local match (5pts)  Cost Estimates appear to be reasonable based on all provided information for the	15			
		TOTAL SCORE:				



### OFF-SYSTEM BRIDGE (OSB) PROGRAM APPLICATION

OSB Funding is a federal-aid program and must follow all federal-aid requirements

GENERAL PROJECT INFORMATION											
SPONSORING AGENCY: (AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS)					DATE SUBMIT	TED:					
CONTACT NAME:					TITLE:						
EMAIL ADDRESS:					PHONE	#:					
OSB PROGRAM: (Check one)		STBG Program (94.3%/5.7%)			Bridge Formula Program (BFP) (100%)					<b>6)</b>	
PROJECT LOCATION			Bridge Nam Bridge Structure Road Nam Coun COG/MPO/TM ADOT Distri Starting Locatio Ending Locatio Length (to the 0.1 of a mil	#: #: ty: lA: cict: con: e):							
TYPE OF WORK			Rehabilitation/Strengtheni Replacement Preservation/Preventative Maintenance/Protection		Bridge Structure Condition  Good Fair  Poor Weight Restri						
PROJECT INCLUDED IN LOCAL C	APITAL IMP	ROVI				□ <sub>Y</sub>	es			No	
FEDERAL FUNCTIONAL CLASSIF	ICATION – (I	INK: F	EDERAL FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICAT	ION I	MAPS):						
	AVERAGE ANNUAL DAILY TRAFFIC (AADT) COUNT (LINK: AADT COUNTS):  Crash Data (5 Years):										
<ul> <li>ATTACH a detailed scoping document that includes an alternative analysis, project background, scope of work, justification, 15% level plans, schedule identifying critical milestones, and detailed cost estimates for Design and Construction phases. (Not required if submitting for Scoping Only).</li> <li>ATTACH a Project Vicinity/Project Location Map</li> <li>ATTACH a copy of the FHWA Functional Classification Map</li> <li>ATTACH photographs</li> <li>Samples are available on the ADOT LPA Section Website (LINK), including the ADOT Cost Estimate Tool, Project Scoping Document Guidelines, and Sample Scoping Document based on the ADOT Pre-Design Section format.</li> </ul>											

Page 1 of 6

Total Project Estimated Cost (Include ADDT PDA Fee, Scoping, Design, ROW, & Construction)  ADDT PROJECT DELIVERY (Complete if using federal Share (Pd. 3.%) (Complete if using only local funds for PDA Fee) (Complete if using only local funds for PDA Fee) (Complete if using only local funds for PDA Fee) (Complete if using only local funds for PDA Fee) (Sd. 0.000 Non-CA/\$10.000 CA):    SCOPING		COST ESTIMATE & PROJECT PROGRAMMING							
ADOT PROJECT DELIVERY ADMINISTRATION (PA) FEE  Complete if using federal STBG funds for PDA Fee) STBG Local Match (5.7%): Administration (PA) FEE  Complete if using federal STBG funds for PDA Fee) Additional/100% Local Funding: (Complete if using federal STBG funds for PDA Fee) Additional/100% Local Funding: (Complete if using federal STBG funds for PDA Fee) Additional/100% Local Funding: (Complete if using federal STBG funds for PDA Fee) (S30,000 Non-CA/\$10,000 CA):  FY Program Year:  Bridge Formula Program: Federal Share (100%) STGB Program: Federal Share (94.3%) Additional/100% Local Funding: STGB Program: Federal Share (100%) STGB Program: Federal Share (94.3%) STGB Program: Federal Share (94.3%) STGB Program: Federal Share (100%) STGB P			-	\$					
ADOT PROJECT DELIVERY ADMINISTRATION (PDA) FEE    Complete if using federal ST86 Lunds for PDA Fee)   ST86 Local Match (5.7%);   ST86 Local Funding;   ST86 Local Match (5.7%);   ST86 Local Funding;   ST86 Local Match (5.7%);   ST86 Local Match (5.7%);   ST86 Local Funding;   ST86 Local Match (5.7%);   ST86 Local Funding;   ST86 Local Match (5.7%);				\$					
DELIVERY ADMINISTRATION (PDA) FEE  (Complete if using federal STBG funds for PDA Fee):  Additional/100% Local Funding: (Complete if using folderal STBG funds for PDA Fee):  Total ADOT Project Delivery Administration (PDA) Fee (\$30,000 Non-CA/\$10,000 CA):  FY Program Year:  Bridge Formula Program: Federal Share (100%) \$  STGB Program: Federal Share (94.3%) \$  Local Match (5.7%): \$  Additional/100% Local Funding: \$  FY Program Year:  Bridge Formula Program: Federal Share (100%) \$  STGB Program: Federal Share (94.3%) \$  STGB Program: Federal Share (94.3%) \$  Local Match (5.7%): \$  Additional/100% Local Funding: \$  Total Cost for Project Development  FY Program Year:  Bridge Formula Program: Federal Share (100%) \$  STGB Program: Federal Share (94.3%) \$  Local Match (5.7%): \$  Additional/100% Local Funding: \$  Total Cost for Project Development  FY Program Year:  Bridge Formula Program: Federal Share (94.3%) \$  Local Match (5.7%): \$  Additional/100% Local Funding: \$  Total Cost for ROW  STGB Program: Federal Share (94.3%) \$  Local Match (5.7%): \$  Bridge Formula Program: Federal Share (94.3%) \$  STGB Program: Federal Share (94.3%) \$  Local Match (5.7%): \$  STGB Program: Federal Share (94.3%) \$  Local Match (5.7%): \$  Local Match (5.7%): \$  STGB Program: Federal Share (94.3%) \$  Local Match (5.7%): \$  STGB Program: Federal Share (94.3%) \$  Local Match (5.7%): \$  Local Match (5.7%): \$		ADOT BROJECT	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	\$					
PDA) FEE   Complete   Google   S   S		DELIVERY	(Complete if using federal STBG funds for PDA Fee)	\$					
SCOPING   FY Program Year:			·	\$					
Bridge Formula Program: Federal Share (94.3%) \$  STGB Program: Federal Share (94.3%) \$  Local Match (5.7%): \$  Additional/100% Local Funding: \$  Total Cost for Scoping \$  FY Program Year:  Bridge Formula Program: Federal Share (100%) \$  STGB Program: Federal Share (94.3%) \$  Local Match (5.7%): \$  Additional/100% Local Funding: \$  Total Cost for Project Development \$  FY Program Year:  Bridge Formula Program: Federal Share (100%) \$  STGB Program: Federal Share (94.3%) \$  Local Match (5.7%): \$  Total Cost for ROW \$  FY Program Year:  Bridge Formula Program: Federal Share (100%) \$  STGB Program: Federal Share (100%) \$				\$					
SCOPING  STGB Program: Federal Share (94.3%)   S   Local Match (5.7%):   S   Additional/100% Local Funding:   S   Total Cost for Scoping   S   FY Program Year: Bridge Formula Program: Federal Share (100%)   S   STGB Program: Federal Share (94.3%)   S   Local Match (5.7%):   S   Additional/100% Local Funding:   S   Total Cost for Project Development   S   FY Program Year: Bridge Formula Program: Federal Share (100%)   S   STGB Program: Federal Share (100%)   S   STGB Program: Federal Share (100%)   S   STGB Program: Federal Share (100%)   S   Additional/100% Local Funding:   S   Total Cost for ROW   S   FY Program Year: Bridge Formula Program: Federal Share (100%)   S   STGB Program: F			FY Program Year:						
Local Match (5.7%): \$ Additional/100% Local Funding: \$  Total Cost for Scoping \$  FY Program Year:  Bridge Formula Program: Federal Share (94.3%) \$  Local Match (5.7%): \$  Additional/100% Local Funding: \$  Total Cost for Project Development \$  FY Program Year:  Bridge Formula Program: Federal Share (100%) \$  STGB Program: Federal Share (94.3%) \$  Local Match (5.7%): \$  Additional/100% Local Funding: \$  FY Program Year:  Bridge Formula Program: Federal Share (100%) \$  STGB Program: Federal Share (94.3%) \$  Local Match (5.7%): \$  Additional/100% Local Funding: \$  Total Cost for ROW \$  FY Program Year:  Bridge Formula Program: Federal Share (100%) \$  STGB Program: Federal Share (100%) \$			Bridge Formula Program: Federal Share (100%)	\$					
Local Match (5.7%): \$  Additional/100% Local Funding: \$  Total Cost for Scoping \$  FY Program Year:  Bridge Formula Program: Federal Share (94.3%) \$  Local Match (5.7%): \$  Additional/100% Local Funding: \$  Total Cost for Project Development \$  FY Program Year:   Bridge Formula Program: Federal Share (100%) \$  Total Cost for Project Development \$  FY Program Year:   Bridge Formula Program: Federal Share (100%) \$  STGB Program: Federal Share (94.3%) \$  Local Match (5.7%): \$  Additional/100% Local Funding: \$  Total Cost for ROW \$  FY Program Year:  Bridge Formula Program: Federal Share (94.3%) \$  STGB Program: Federal Share (94.3%) \$  STGB Program: Federal Share (100%) \$  STGB Program: Federal Share (100%) \$  STGB Program: Federal Share (94.3%) \$  Local Match (5.7%): \$		SCORING	STGB Program: Federal Share (94.3%)	\$					
Total Cost for Scoping  FY Program Year:  Bridge Formula Program: Federal Share (100%) \$  STGB Program: Federal Share (94.3%) \$  Local Match (5.7%): \$  Additional/100% Local Funding: \$  FY Program Year:  Bridge Formula Program: Federal Share (100%) \$  STGB Program: Federal Share (100%) \$  STGB Program: Federal Share (94.3%) \$  Local Match (5.7%): \$  Additional/100% Local Funding: \$  Total Cost for ROW \$  FY Program Year:  Bridge Formula Program: Federal Share (100%) \$  STGB Program: Federal Share (94.3%) \$  STGB Program: Federal Share (94.3%) \$		SCOPING	Local Match (5.7%):	\$					
Bridge Formula Program: Federal Share (100%) \$  STGB Program: Federal Share (94.3%) \$  Local Match (5.7%): \$  Additional/100% Local Funding: \$  Total Cost for Project Development \$  FY Program Year:  Bridge Formula Program: Federal Share (100%) \$  STGB Program: Federal Share (100%) \$  STGB Program: Federal Share (94.3%) \$  Local Match (5.7%): \$  Additional/100% Local Funding: \$  Total Cost for ROW \$  FY Program Year:  Bridge Formula Program: Federal Share (100%) \$  STGB Program: Federal Share (100%) \$			Additional/100% Local Funding:	\$					
Bridge Formula Program: Federal Share (100%) \$  STGB Program: Federal Share (94.3%) \$  Local Match (5.7%): \$  Additional/100% Local Funding: \$  Total Cost for Project Development \$  FY Program Year:  Bridge Formula Program: Federal Share (100%) \$  STGB Program: Federal Share (94.3%) \$  Local Match (5.7%): \$  Additional/100% Local Funding: \$  Total Cost for ROW \$  FY Program Year:  Bridge Formula Program: Federal Share (94.3%) \$  STGB Program: Federal Share (94.3%) \$  STGB Program: Federal Share (94.3%) \$  STGB Program: Federal Share (100%) \$  STGB Program: Federal Share (100%) \$  STGB Program: Federal Share (100%) \$  STGB Program: Federal Share (94.3%) \$  Local Match (5.7%): \$			Total Cost for Scoping	\$					
DESIGN   STGB Program: Federal Share (94.3%)   \$			FY Program Year:						
DESIGN   Local Match (5.7%): \$     Additional/100% Local Funding: \$     Total Cost for Project Development   \$     FY Program Year:     Bridge Formula Program: Federal Share (100%)   \$     STGB Program: Federal Share (94.3%)   \$     Local Match (5.7%): \$     Additional/100% Local Funding: \$     Total Cost for ROW   \$     FY Program Year:     Bridge Formula Program: Federal Share (100%)   \$     STGB Program: Federal Share (100%)   \$		DESIGN	Bridge Formula Program: Federal Share (100%)	\$					
Local Match (5.7%): \$  Additional/100% Local Funding: \$  Total Cost for Project Development \$  FY Program Year:  Bridge Formula Program: Federal Share (100%) \$  STGB Program: Federal Share (94.3%) \$  Local Match (5.7%): \$  Additional/100% Local Funding: \$  Total Cost for ROW \$  FY Program Year:  Bridge Formula Program: Federal Share (100%) \$  STGB Program: Federal Share (94.3%) \$  Local Match (5.7%): \$			STGB Program: Federal Share (94.3%)	\$					
Total Cost for Project Development  FY Program Year:  Bridge Formula Program: Federal Share (100%)  STGB Program: Federal Share (94.3%)  Local Match (5.7%):  Additional/100% Local Funding:  Total Cost for ROW  FY Program Year:  Bridge Formula Program: Federal Share (100%)  STGB Program: Federal Share (100%)  STGB Program: Federal Share (100%)  STGB Program: Federal Share (94.3%)  Local Match (5.7%):  Local Match (5.7%):  \$			Local Match (5.7%):	\$					
FY Program Year:  Bridge Formula Program: Federal Share (100%) \$  STGB Program: Federal Share (94.3%) \$  Local Match (5.7%): \$  Additional/100% Local Funding: \$  Total Cost for ROW \$  FY Program Year:  Bridge Formula Program: Federal Share (100%) \$  STGB Program: Federal Share (94.3%) \$  Local Match (5.7%): \$			Additional/100% Local Funding:	\$					
ROW  Bridge Formula Program: Federal Share (100%)  STGB Program: Federal Share (94.3%)  Local Match (5.7%):  Additional/100% Local Funding:  Total Cost for ROW  FY Program Year:  Bridge Formula Program: Federal Share (100%)  STGB Program: Federal Share (94.3%)  STGB Program: Federal Share (94.3%)  Local Match (5.7%):  Local Match (5.7%):  \$			Total Cost for Project Development	\$					
ROW  STGB Program: Federal Share (94.3%)  Local Match (5.7%):  Additional/100% Local Funding:  Total Cost for ROW  FY Program Year:  Bridge Formula Program: Federal Share (100%)  STGB Program: Federal Share (94.3%)  Local Match (5.7%):  Local Match (5.7%):  \$			FY Program Year:						
Local Match (5.7%):  Additional/100% Local Funding:  Total Cost for ROW  FY Program Year:  Bridge Formula Program: Federal Share (100%)  STGB Program: Federal Share (94.3%)  Local Match (5.7%):  \$			Bridge Formula Program: Federal Share (100%)	\$					
Additional/100% Local Funding: \$  Total Cost for ROW \$  FY Program Year:  Bridge Formula Program: Federal Share (100%) \$  STGB Program: Federal Share (94.3%) \$  Local Match (5.7%): \$		DOW	STGB Program: Federal Share (94.3%)	\$					
Total Cost for ROW \$  FY Program Year:  Bridge Formula Program: Federal Share (100%) \$  STGB Program: Federal Share (94.3%) \$  Local Match (5.7%): \$		ROW	Local Match (5.7%):	\$					
FY Program Year:  Bridge Formula Program: Federal Share (100%) \$  STGB Program: Federal Share (94.3%) \$  Local Match (5.7%): \$			Additional/100% Local Funding:	\$					
Bridge Formula Program: Federal Share (100%) \$  STGB Program: Federal Share (94.3%) \$  Local Match (5.7%): \$			Total Cost for ROW	\$					
CONSTRUCTION  STGB Program: Federal Share (94.3%) \$  Local Match (5.7%): \$			FY Program Year:						
CONSTRUCTION Local Match (5.7%): \$			Bridge Formula Program: Federal Share (100%)	\$					
Local Match (5.7%): \$		CONSTRUCTION							
Additional/100% Local Funding: \$									
			Additional/100% Local Funding:	\$					
Total Cost for Construction (including CE, CC, PDS) \$			Total Cost for Construction (including CE, CC, PDS)	\$					

Page 2 of 6

PROJECT WORK DESCRIPTION
Describe the purpose and need of the project. What work is proposed for this project? How will the project improve the condition and/ or extend the service life of the bridge?
improve the condition and/ of exterior the service life of the bridge:
AGENCY PRIORITIZATION
Describe the agencies top (up to three) priorities of off-system bridges in your inventory. Provide justification as to why the bridge project in this application is the top priority. (Refer to section of Priority Ranking of Candidate Bridges in the
Off-System Bridge Program Guidelines.)

Page 3 of 6

OPERATIONAL IMPROVEMENT
How will this bridge project improve the agency's operations?  Are there other operational improvements? If so, what are they and how will this project improve them?  Topics to consider addressing in application:  • Effect on lifecycle  • Maintenance and Repair tasks and frequency  • Annual maintenance and repair costs
COMMUNITY IMPACTS
How important is this bridge crossing and access to the community?  Topics to consider addressing in this application:
Emergency Access
Local Business and Industry Access
<ul> <li>Educational Access</li> <li>Other areas important to the community</li> </ul>

Page 4 of 6

OTHER					
This is an opportunity to add project-specific items or unique issues that are not addressed in another category.					

Page 5 of 6

### **DEVELOPMENT CONSIDERATIONS**

Projects that have identified challenges and risks to delivery will encounter fewer hurdles and allow for a project to have fewer complications and provide the best opportunity for a project to be delivered on time and within budget.

uaget.						
CHALLENGES/RISKS TO DELIVERY AND CONSTRUCTION OF PROJECT	Please describe any challenges that may impact the scope, schedule, budget and/or delivery of this project.					
ENVIRONMENTAL	Are there any potential environmental impacts or challenges of the project that you can foresee?  (e.g. endangered species, cultural resources, hazardous materials sites, Section 4(f) properties, Title VI populations, significant community opposition, wetlands that would be affected, etc.)					
RIGHT-OF-WAY (ROW)	Please describe any ROW items associated with this project.  (e.g. Will ROW be required? How much ROW? Is the State Land Department involved? Consider Right of Way requirements associated with Traffic Control/Detour Requirements; Access, Construction Area Needs and on-going Maintenance Requirements.					
UTILITIES & RAILROAD	Please describe any Utilities and/or Railroad items associated with this project.  (e.g. Will the project include/require any utility relocation(s) by the project sponsor? What utilities may be impacted? Are there prior rights? If Yes, please explain.)					

Page 6 of 6