Credence: What Happened to John? Continued...

The shaded text that follows may have already been read by you when you first visited the associated post. Dating back as far as 2005, or perhaps a bit further, I suspect I've been the subject of a multitude of sham investigations that, over the course of time, would comprise investigative agencies and departments at the federal, state, and municipal levels. During my tenure as a government contractor and thereafter, I believe such investigations, had they occurred, would have been premised on years of false allegations, obstinate duplicity, intentional deception, manufactured probable causes, stitch-ups, attempted entrapment(s), and sting operations. What's even more disturbing is that those investigations might have been purely motivated by individuals with personal vendettas who perhaps opposed my political views, previous career choices, or any entrepreneurial ambitions I've held that investigative sources either resented or believed posed some kind of preconceived threat. For example, "all things STUPID about the news," a $30 million-dollar unorthodox news monitoring and censuring idea primed to censure America's mainstream media and the news industry in general. From the U.S. government and mainstream media's vantage point, perhaps the idea would create competitive and undesirable conditions so disruptive that certain segments of the news industry may run the risk of a catastrophic failure, similar to the rapid decline of newspapers following the "digital revolution," or how cable TV news has long begun to crumble. During my tenure with the Department of Homeland Security, one government official advised that I should 'forego my ambitions," while another one warned me that 'you know we can read minds, don't you?'" These secretive protracted investigations, from my perspective, were merely designed to intimidate their subjects, quell rightful ambitions, and/or modify behavior. Quite frankly, in my humble opinion, they've been a waste of precious resources, particularly if they've been funded by taxpayer dollars. Needless to say, for many years, such investigations have burdened me with what I believe to be a surreptitiously blacklisted company. As a result, the likelihood of substantial monetary losses has occurred. Monies in the tens of millions of dollars if not hundreds of millions from prospective business proposals, auspicious contracts, and legitimate exploits. Likewise, these surreptitiously-sham investigation(s) have been annoyingly antagonistic and egregious in nature, violating my mind, body, and spirit, as well as affecting any family

members who've been both undeservedly and wrongfully exposed to them. Further, an increasingly buoyant and elevated livelihood, as well as an exalted personal reputation that would have provided me with greater prominence and influence in communities that shared a strong mutual interest in my entrepreneurial pursuits and online activities, may have also been adversely affected. And finally, a violation of my civil rights would clearly be in the purview of any unlawful acts (i.e., unauthorized searches and seizures, failure to provide due process, acts of intentional discrimination, etc.) that likely occurred during the course of said investigation(s). Aside from my personal list of cable TV news anchors who ought to be questioned under oath, I'd hope that Bernie Sanders-like members (meaning those of a high moral character) of the U.S. Congress, the Department of Justice, and other applicable investigative bodies earnestly weigh in on this online complaint and begin questioning members of the mainstream media and government officials (**under oath**) about what they know pertaining to any unauthorized probes or investigations that were targeted to my person and/or that of my family members. Hence, if any unauthorized probes or investigations have occurred, then to hold the individuals that were either responsible for them and/or knowingly engaged in them accountable to the highest standards of the nation's constitution and United States law. To deny this justice is to deny one's own oath of office.

All things considered, have the guts to do what's right.

Written by: John C. Sudds

Date: 9/2/2024