SEAGO BROWNFIELD ASSESSMENT COALITION GRANT

ADDENDUM 1

October 22, 2026

On October 4, 2026, SEAGO published an RFP for our Brownfields Assessment Coalition Grant. The RFP indicated that questions regarding this RFP must be submitted in writing no later than October 19, 2026.

The following questions were received that have been deemed material to the solicitation. The following are the responses to the questions submitted:

Question 1: ***Insurance requirements: Section J and Exhibit C, Section 33 reserve SEAGO's right to impose additional insurance requirements. If coverage beyond the stated requirements is requested after proposal submission or contract award, would the consultant be permitted to negotiate a corresponding adjustment to compensation through a written amendment?***

Response: ***Yes, if SEAGO exercises its right to impose additional insurance requirements that would require extra cost, the consultant can request an equitable adjustment to the contract. Any changes shall be documented in a formal written modification (amendment) to the contract.***

Question 2: ***Payment timing: Exhibit B, Section 4 provides for quarterly invoicing and review/certification before payment. What timeframes apply to invoice review and payment, and does the payment period begin upon receipt of a complete invoice or certification?***

Response: ***SEAGO accounting procedures require the project manager to review and approve the invoice within 10 working days. The invoice shall be paid within 30 days following approval of the invoice.***

Question 3: ***Please also clarify how this relates to the 60-day nonpayment reference in Exhibit C, Section 26(a)(iii).***

Response: ***They do not relate. The 60-day non-payment reference has been established as grounds for contract termination by the consultant.***

Question 4***: Can SEAGO provide the approved grant work plan and budget, including the amount available for the consultant contract, along with any existing priority-site inventory or supporting property information?***

Response: ***Yes, the EPA approved Work Plan that includes budget details and existing priority-site inventory is attached to this addendum.***

Question 5: ***May proposers use clearly defined investigation and building-survey allowances, supported by unit pricing, within the required total not-to-exceed price? This would provide a basis for allocating effort as sites are selected and their assessment needs become known.***

Response: ***Yes***

Question 6: ***"Are proposers required to identify all subcontractors, including drilling contractors and analytical laboratories, at the proposal stage, or may these specialized service providers be selected following contract award based on individual project requirements?"***

Response: ***Proposers should identify anticipated professional service subcontractors on the project team. Specialized providers, such as drilling contractors and analytical laboratories, need not be named in proposals and may be procured after award based on project needs, qualifications, availability, and cost.***

U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY BROWNFIELDS ASSESSMENT COOPERATIVE AGREEMENT

WORK PLAN

Cooperative Agreement #: BF-97T67701

FOR

Southeastern Arizona Governments Organization

Brownfield Assessment Coalition Grant

July 10, 2026

Prepared by:

Southeastern Arizona Governments Organization (SEAGO)

Submitted by

Southeastern Arizona Governments Organization (SEAGO)
1403 AZ 92, Bisbee, Arizona 85603
Christopher Vertrees
Executive Director
520-432-5301
cdvertrees@seago.org

Brownfields Assessment Cooperative Agreement Work Plan

A. Recipient Title

SouthEastern Arizona Governments Organization (SEAGO)

B. Project Background

The Southeastern Arizona Governments Organization (SEAGO) is a regional planning agency serving Cochise, Graham, Greenlee, and Santa Cruz counties. SEAGO works with local governments on solutions to area-wide problems including housing, economic development, transportation, and the environment. SEAGO is the lead applicant for this EPA Brownfields Coalition Assessment Grant. Building on the success of an FY23 Community-Wide Assessment grant, SEAGO has partnered with Cochise County, Graham County, and Santa Cruz County as the Non-Lead Coalition Members. Greenlee County will be represented by SEAGO.

The geographic boundary for the Coalition's proposed grant activities encompasses the entire SEAGO 4-county service area. This predominantly rural area faces a wide range of environmental and economic brownfield challenges. For over a century, these communities have been affected by the boom-and-bust volatility of the mining industry. Following major closures, such as the 1975 Phelps Dodge mine shutdown in Cochise County, the region experienced steep population decline, business closures, and widespread property abandonment. At the same time, and prior to the start of U.S. environmental safeguards in the 1970s, industrial and mining activities operated with little oversight, resulting in legacy contamination that continues to burden these communities.

Further compounding these conditions, widespread deterioration of older buildings and homes further reduces the region's already limited supply of adequate, affordable housing. This shortage reflects low reinvestment capacity and decades of economic instability tied to the mining industry that have constrained new development and rehabilitation of existing units.

These contaminated and vacant properties cannot be returned to productive use without environmental assessments, cleanup planning, and reuse feasibility analysis.

C. Goals and Objectives

EPA Five Pillars

This project supports EPA's Pillar 1: Clean Air, Land, and Water for Every American and Pillar 3: Permitting Reform, Cooperative Federalism, and Cross-Agency Partnership.

Project Goals:

SEAGO's primary goals are to facilitate the reuse of brownfields sites for the creation of affordable housing, workforce development and job creation, preservation of historic properties, and promotion of tourism. We see this as a powerful tool for economic development, infill redevelopment and small town/main street revitalization for our member agencies.

This grant will fund the assessments and redevelopment planning needed to identify contamination, remove investment barriers, and guide safe reuse strategies which will attract investment, expand job and economic opportunities, support affordable housing development, and improve community health outcomes.

Outputs:

The following are the expected outputs/deliverables for this project:

- Develop Project Schedule with Milestones;
- Establish a Brownfields Advisory Committee (BAC) comprised of local stakeholders and host regular meetings to inform the project. This includes ongoing recruitment of new membership and new projects;
- Input/updates into the Assessment, Cleanup and Redevelopment Exchange System (ACRES);
- Quarterly programmatic progress reporting, annual financial reports and Project Closeout Reporting;
- Development and deployment of Webpage, Fact Sheets, Outreach and Meeting Materials;

- Brownfield Inventory Data Packages and Brownfield Site Eligibility Requests;
- Quality Assurance Project Plans (QAPP), Sampling & Analysis Plans and/or Field Sampling Plans (Health and Safety Plans (HASPs);
- Access agreements, and Phase I/II ESA/RBM Survey Reports.
    - Completion of Phase I ESAs at 30 priority brownfield sites in accordance w/ the All Appropriate Inquiries Final Rule and the standards in the ASTM E1527-21 Phase I ESA Process, and;
    - Completion of Phase II ESAs at 14 high priority brownfield sites and RBM Surveys at 15 high priority brownfield sites.
- Analysis of Brownfield Cleanup Alternatives (ABCAs) and Health and Safety Plans (HASPs); and
- Up to 8 ABCAs &/or Site Reuse Plans, and up to 4 Area Wide Plans (AWPs) for brownfield impacted areas when these studies have been requested by Target Area communities.
- Fact sheets; press releases/articles; webpage content (updated regularly); 11 public and BAC meetings including preparation of presentations, agendas, minutes, etc. Also includes Prioritization and Site Selection Tech Memos from BAC meetings and Brownfields Site ED Requests for submittal to EPA.
- Brownfield Inventory Data Package; GIS files; tables; & figures.
- Attendance of two Brownfields conferences.

Outcomes:

The following short- & long-term outcomes will be tracked and reported:

1) Number of sites cleaned up;
2) Number of property title transfers facilitated;
3) Number of sites & acres of land redeveloped;
4) Number of acres of parks/greenspace created;
5) Dollars of private investment & other leveraged funding;
6) Number of jobs created or retained;
7) Increased property value & tax revenue;
8) Amount of funding leveraged including grants, TA staff time, in-kind support, private and public investments;
9) Individual Target Areas will be asked to set goals for outputs and outcomes applicable for their communities and to measure and report them to SEAGO quarterly to assess progress.

D. Tasks

Contractors and Sub-awards:

Procurement of a Qualified Environmental Professional (QEP) or consultant to deliver the work products associated with this program with SEAGO's oversight. EPA funds will be used to pay for procurement expenses, and for SEAGO staff time. Contract Agreement will meet the EPA procurement requirements. There are to be no sub-awards or sub-recipients for this grant. Participant support and sub-award costs have not been included in the project budget to pay for activities associated with a community liaison(s) because all Target Area communities have committed to providing in-kind support on the grant to make it successful.

Task 1 – Cooperative Agreement Oversight

a. Task Description

SEAGO will manage all aspects of the project, including coordination with the EPA, Target Area communities, the Qualified Environmental Professional ("QEP" or "Consultant"), and other key stakeholders. ***Tasks will include:***

- Execute MOA documenting the coalition's site selection process.
- Quarterly Progress Reports (QPRs): Quarterly Reports will include the following elements:
    - Project progress by task
    - Budget summary
    - Property specific information
    - Overall progress
- Assessment, Cleanup & Redevelopment Exchange System (ACRES) updates;
- Federal Financial Reports (FFRs);
- Final Report: SEAGO will provide a final summary report describing the initial goals and objectives of the brownfields grant, accomplishment of the goals, objectives and each work plan task, and any changes implemented. The report will highlight lessons learned and will clearly describe future tasks which will be necessary to complete the cleanup and development of the site(s). The report will provide a budget table that compares total budgeted amounts and total amounts spent and describes resources leveraged during the project, how they were used, and any resources leveraged to continue the project after the expiration of the brownfields grant. A final summary/spreadsheet of site assessments and reuse planning conducted to ensure all the site entries are

complete and up to date in ACRES will also be provided. The report will include any supporting assessment documents or summaries not previously provided.

- **Project Closeout:** SEAGO will comply with annual administrative reporting and closeout requirements as identified in the Terms and Conditions of the Cooperative Agreement
- **Meetings and Conferences:** The budget also includes funding for the up to 2 people from SEAGO and/or representatives from Target Areas to attend up to 2 brownfield conferences.
- Development of a **Request for Proposal/Request for Qualifications (RFP/RFQ)** and/or other materials related to selecting a contractor (Qualified Environmental Professional).

b. Schedule

Management/Reporting will be ongoing throughout the 4-year implementation period. Attendance at a State or Regional Workshop &/or the National Brownfield Conference is anticipated. RFP/RFQ for QEP will be issued upon award.

c. Leads

This task will be led by SEAGO. The QEP & Target Area communities will assist with reporting.

d. Deliverables

16 QPRs; 4 FFR Reports; ACRES Updates (ongoing); 1 Final Report; 2 brownfield conferences attended by SEAGO & local government representatives from Target Areas, presentation slides, photos, RFQ for QEP, and QEP selection documents; Coalition MOA.

Task 2 – Community Involvement

a. Task Description

SEAGO will implement a community outreach and engagement program to inform and actively involve the community and local partners. The program will: (1) gather input from diverse voices across TA communities; (2) provide residents with clear facts, resources, and solutions related to brownfield redevelopment; and (3) establish a Brownfields Advisory Committee (BAC) of business and property owners, bankers, realtors, developers, and longtime residents from each TA community. The BAC will help prioritize properties for assessment and ensure that community ideas and concerns from all backgrounds are incorporated into decision-making.

This task includes: (1) Conducting at least 11 stakeholder meetings [including formation of a Brownfield

Advisory Committee (BAC)]; (2) Preparing and publishing public notices, fact sheets, and meeting materials; (3) Developing/updating a brownfields grant webpage on SEAGO's website and the website of every TA community; and (4) Infusing meaningful public input and conducting outreach and engagement to inform TA communities about the grant during implementation. SEAGO will complete site ED requests for priority sites to verify eligibility for using petroleum (ADEQ) and/or hazardous substance funding (EPA).

b. Schedule

Fact sheets and project webpages will be developed during the first quarter (1Q) of the project. A BAC kickoff meeting will be held during the second quarter (2Q) and convened semi-annually thereafter; other meetings will occur as needed. One to two community open house or informational meetings, events or presentations will also be held each year of the grant.

c. Leads

This task will be led by SEAGO and the Consultant.

d. Deliverables

Deliverables will include fact sheets; press releases/articles; webpage content (updated regularly);11 public and BAC meetings including preparation of presentations, agendas, minutes, etc. Also includes Prioritization and Site Selection Tech Memos from BAC meetings and Brownfields Site ED Requests for submittal to EPA.

Task 3 – Site Assessment Activities

a. Task Description

This task will include environmental assessment of priority brownfield sites. At least 2 priority brownfield sites will be assessed in each Coalition member's geographic area - **Cochise, Graham, Greenlee, and Santa Cruz Counties** w/ an emphasis on the TA communities they contain.

This task also includes engaging 3 TA communities to prepare a brownfield inventory for each. The inventories will be updated throughout the project, and data made available in Geographic Information System (GIS) format such as a web map. Inventory data will be integrated w/existing databases to serve as a long-term planning tool to support revitalization efforts beyond the grant period. This task also includes budget to update 3 existing inventories completed under the FY2023 assessment grant.

Site Selection: The Coalition has identified priority sites in each member's TA. Additional sites will be identified after grant award. At least two site assessments will be completed in each Coalition member community. These sites were prioritized due to proximity to residential neighborhoods and increased risk of exposure, redevelopment interest and potential, suitability for redevelopment to meet community needs, and alignment with each community's revitalization goals.

SEAGO Priority Brownfield Sites						
TA		Name and Address	History/Prior Use	Existing Conditions	Funding Needs	Prioritization Reason and Reuse Plan
Cochise County	1	404 Bisbee Rd, **Bisbee**	This was the first fire station built for the Warren neighborhood in Bisbee. The building was constructed in 1915.	This is a city-owned building currently used for storage. It likely has asbestos and lead-based paint due to the age.	Phase I/II ESA, RBM Survey	Located in Bisbee's newly designated Warren Historic District, the building requires regulated-materials assessment before restoration and reuse can proceed. Reuse options include a community meeting space and offices for the Community Development Department.
Cochise County	1	Cole Building 317 Arizona St, **Bisbee**	Also called the Warren Hotel, this 2-story, 20,300-square-foot 1906 building has served as a drugstore, barbershop, post office, and apartments.	This building has been vacant for several years with boarded and broken windows and peeling paint.	Phase I/II ESA, RBM Survey	The Cole Building is considered a prime location for mixed-use such as a grocery store, drug store/pharmacy or offices with residential units on the 2nd floor. This adaptive reuse plan will stimulate economic activity and provide much needed housing while preserving its historic character.
Cochise County	2	Rivera Building 357 E 10th St, **Douglas**	This is a 2-story, 15,645-sq-ft, historic building in downtown Douglas, constructed in 1917.	Historic, city-owned Rivera Building adjacent to the Douglas Commercial Historic District; severely blighted and used for storage.	Phase I/II ESA, RBM Survey, Reuse Planning	The Rivera Building has historic and cultural significance and can serve as a catalyst for revitalizing Douglas' main commercial corridor. Assessments and reuse planning will help the city attract new businesses and affordable housing while preserving the structure.

	2	20th Street Properties 1104, 1112, 1120, and 1138 E 20th St, **Douglas**	This site is ~1.75 acres with three one-story residences that have been abandoned for over 10 years.	The abandoned houses are in disrepair and vandalized, and the site has been an illegal dumping site for many years.	Phase I/II ESA, RBM Survey, Reuse Planning	The 20th Street site has become a persistent source of blight and public health concern for the surrounding neighborhood due to the abandoned structures and illegal dumping. Once assessment and cleanup are complete, this will be an excellent location for construction of affordable housing.
Graham County	3	625 W Main St, **Safford**	One-story building constructed in 1977. Historical use at the property was an automotive repair shop in the 1940s.	It is a vacant commercial building with peeling paint in historic downtown. Given age of the building it likely has asbestos and lead-based paint.	Phase I/II ESA, RBM Survey	This property is included in the draft Area Wide Plan for Safford's Entertainment District. Ensuring this site is contaminant-free would promote redevelopment and attract more businesses and visitors to downtown Safford.
	3	627 W Main St, **Safford**	Two-story building was constructed in 1949. Historical use was as an automotive repair shop in the 1940s.	County owned vacant building with exterior deterioration; age suggests potential asbestos and lead based- paint.	Phase I/II ESA, RBM Survey	This property is included in the draft Area Wide Plan for Safford's Entertainment District. This site and its neighbor at 625 W Main St would act as catalysts for bringing new jobs and commercial businesses to revitalize downtown Safford.
Santa Cruz County	4	Old Probation Dept, 2251 N Grand Ave, **Nogales**	Two, one-story buildings were formerly used by the county Probation Department.	County-owned buildings used for storage within the larger Santa Cruz County Complex. Given their age, they likely contain asbestos and lead-based paint.	Phase I/II ESA, RBM Survey, Reuse Planning	Located on the major thoroughfare in Nogales, assessment of this underutilized property would allow for many development options including public health services, educational resource center, or workforce development and training center.
SEAGO	5	Duncan Theater, 105 Railroad Ave, **Duncan**	Historic movie theater was constructed in 1921 in downtown Duncan. Believed to have closed after the 100-year flood in 1983.	This building is suspected of containing asbestos, lead-based paint, and potentially other contaminants from flood damage.	Phase I/II ESA, RBM Survey, Reuse Planning, Area-Wide Planning	Long-vacant historic building on Duncan's main street; assessments and reuse planning could enable business or community use and spur investment and tourism. Area-Wide Plan inclusion supports coordinated, lasting redevelopment.
TA = Target Area; 1 = Bisbee; 2 = Douglas; 3 = Safford; 4 = Nogales; 5 = Duncan						

Identifying Additional Sites: Additional sites will be identified through community input,

coalition members, and updated brownfield inventories. The Coalition will accept site nominations throughout the geographic boundary of the Coalition and will be evaluated based on 1) Location within a community historically affected by economic disinvestment, health disparities, and/or environmental contamination; 2) Redevelopment plans that address core community needs; and/or 3) Alignment with locally adopted vision or strategy documents. If funding remains after addressing high priority sites within the TAs, the Coalition will prioritize sites outside these areas but within the coalition partner footprint for assessment and reuse planning. This process ensures that redevelopment decisions are transparent, inclusive, and focused on reducing disparities while creating long-term community benefits.

Quality Assurance: A Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP) and/or Sampling and Analysis Plan (SAP) will be submitted to EPA for review and approval prior to the start of field sampling. A Health and Safety Plan (HASP) will be prepared prior to the start of field sampling. To ensure compliance with the National Historic Preservation Act, an Unanticipated Discovery Plan will be included with the SAP.

b. Schedule

Quality Assurance Project Plan, access agreements, Sampling and Analysis Plans (SAPs)/Health and Safety Plans (HASPs), and Phase I/II ESA/RBM Survey Reports. 1) Completion of Phase I ESAs at 30 priority brownfield sites in accordance w/ the All Appropriate Inquiries Final Rule and the standards in the ASTM E1527-21 Phase I ESA Process, and 2) Completion of Phase II ESAs at 14 high priority brownfield sites and RBM Surveys at 15 high priority brownfield sites.

In addition, the new and updated brownfield inventories will be completed during the first 3 to 6 months (2Q) of the grant and presented to the BAC for prioritization during 3Q. Inventory updates and site selection will continue over the 4-yr period.

c. Leads

This task will be led by SEAGO and the Consultant.

d. Deliverables

Quality Assurance Project Plan, access agreements, Sampling and Analysis Plans (SAPs)/Health and Safety Plans (HASPs), and Phase I/II ESA/RBM Survey Reports. 1) Completion of Phase I ESAs at 30 priority brownfield sites in accordance w/ the All Appropriate Inquiries Final Rule and the standards in the

ASTM E1527-21 Phase I ESA Process (including AAI Checklists), 2) Completion of Phase II ESAs at 14 high priority brownfield sites and RBM Surveys at 15 high priority brownfield sites, and 3) Brownfield Inventory Data Package; GIS files; tables; and figures.

Task 4 - Cleanup and Reuse Planning

a. Task Description

This task includes cleanup planning activities to help property owners and TA communities prepare brownfield sites for reuse and redevelopment. It covers preparing Analysis of Brownfield Cleanup Alternatives (ABCAs) and/or Site Reuse Plans (SRPs) that outline site-specific cleanup options and potential costs. The AWP will identify potential future uses and strategies to leverage existing infrastructure or determine needed infrastructure investments for alternative uses.

b. Schedule

Year1: 1 ABCA/SRP
Year 2: 2 ABCAs/SRP + 1 AWP
Year 3: 3 ABCAs/SRPs + 1 AWP
Year 4: 2 ABCAs/SRP + 1 AWP

c. Leads

This task will be led by the QEP in collaboration w/ TA Communities and SEAGO.

d. Deliverables

The QEP will prepare up to 8 ABCAs and/or SRPs. These will include conceptual site models; remedial action objectives; state and federal cleanup regulatory requirements; and/or evaluation of institutional and engineering controls. The QEP will also develop 3 AWPs for brownfield impacted areas when these studies have been requested by TA communities.

E. Schedule of Milestones & Deliverables

Federal Fiscal Year	Quarter	Quarterly Report Due	Milestones and Deliverables Due with Quarterly Report
2027	1st	Jan 30th	• Issue RFP/RFQ • Select contractor

			• Identify initial sites for assessment • QPR submitted • ACRES Updates • Identify Brownfield Advisory Committee (BAC) members • Fact sheets, project webpages, outreach materials and meeting materials developed
	2nd	April 30th	• Develop QAPP • Develop Community Involvement Plan • BAC Meeting • 1st round of sites selected • QPR submitted • Attend 2027 National Brownfields Conference • 2 site eligibility determinations submitted • 2 Brownfield inventories updated
	3rd	July 30th	• BAC Meeting • QPR submitted • 1st ESAs delivered (Phase 1, Phase 2, RBM or Re-use Plans) • 2nd round of sites selected • 3 site eligibility determinations submitted • 1 Brownfield inventories updated 2 SAPs submitted
	4th	Oct. 30th	• BAC Meeting • FFR submitted • QPR submitted • 3 site eligibility determinations submitted • 2 SAPs submitted
2028	1st	Jan 30th	• ESAs delivered (Phase 1, Phase 2, RBM or Re-use Plans) • QPR submitted • ACRES Updates • 4 site eligibility determinations submitted • 2 SAPs submitted
	2nd	April 30th	• BAC Meeting • 3rd round site selection

			• ESAs delivered (Phase 1, Phase 2, RBM or Re-use Plans)QPR submitted • 4 site eligibility determinations submitted • 2 SAPs submitted
	3rd	July 30th	• BAC Meeting • ESAs delivered (Phase 1, Phase 2, RBM or Re-use Plans) • 4th round site selection • QPR submitted • 4 site eligibility determinations submitted • 3 SAPs submitted
	4th	Oct. 30th	• FFR submitted • ESAs delivered (Phase 1, Phase 2, RBM or Re-use Plans) • BAC Meeting • QPR submitted • 4 site eligibility determinations submitted • 3 SAPs submitted
2029	1st	Jan. 30	• ACRES Updates • ESAs delivered (Phase 1, Phase 2, RBM or Re-use Plans)QPR submitted • 4 site eligibility determinations submitted • 3 SAPs submitted
	2nd	April 30th	• BAC Meeting • ESAs delivered (Phase 1, Phase 2, RBM or Re-use Plans) • QPR submitted • 4 site eligibility determinations submitted • 3 SAPs submitted
	3rd	July 30th	• BAC Meeting • QPR submitted • ESAs delivered (Phase 1, Phase 2, RBM or Re-use Plans) • 4 site eligibility determinations submitted • 3 SAPs submitted
	4th	Oct. 30th	• Attend Brownfields Conference • BAC Meeting

			• FFR submitted • QPR submitted • ESAs delivered (Phase 1, Phase 2, RBM or Re-use Plans) • 4 site eligibility determinations submitted • 3 SAPs submitted
2030	1st	Jan. 30	• ACRES Updates • ESAs delivered (Phase 1, Phase 2, RBM or Re-use Plans) • QPR submitted • 4 site eligibility determinations submitted • 3 SAPs submitted
	2nd	April 30th	• BAC Meeting • ESAs delivered (Phase 1, Phase 2, RBM or Re-use Plans) • QPR submitted
	3rd	July 30th	• BAC Meeting • QPR submitted • ESAs delivered (Phase 1, Phase 2, RBM or Re-use Plans
	4th	Oct. 30th	• BAC Meeting FFR submitted • QPR submitted • ESAs delivered (Phase 1, Phase 2, RBM or Re-use Plans) • Final Reporting/Closeout

F. Budget Summary

The budget summary table indicates how the EPA grant will be distributed among the tasks identified in this work plan.

Budget Categories	Task 1 CA Oversight	Task 2 Community Involvement	Task 3 Site Assessment Activities	Task 4 Cleanup & Reuse Planning	Total
Personnel	$48,730	$15,730	$0	$0	**$64,460**
Fringe	$18,030	$5,820	$0	$0	**$23,850**
Travel*	$29,385	$2,452	$0	$0	**$31,837**
Expenses	$0	$0	$0	$0	**$0**
Contractual	$36,000	$91,800	$898,750	$337,500	**$1,364,050**
Other	$0	$4,200	$0	$0	**$4,200**
Indirect	$8,903	$2,700	$0	$0	**$11,603**
Grant Total	$141,048	$122,702	$898,750	$337,500	**$1,500,000**