



## The Pope Alone! (*Really? You could have fooled me!*)

### A Refutation of Victoria Rodriguez's Fatima Versions thread, Pt. 1

Mar 29 • 16 tweets • 5 min read

Bookmark

Save as PDF

My Authors

---

*I've always defended Fatima, but,*

1. Our Lady told us to open a message in 1960? Didn't God know the Church would be usurped by 1958?!

No; the bishops in Portugal decided we could only open it *on Lucia's death* or in 1960, so they hid the fact of her death, or forced disappearance, or whatever! Lucia herself said it could be opened at any time, but the hierarchy refused to open it. (Several sources)

2. Our Lady appeared about 400 times in the 20<sup>th</sup> century. Only at Fatima She mentioned Russia?!

Even Pope Pius XII himself had doubts about the mention of Russia. She came to warn us of a danger, but it was not *Russian communism*, which had not even been established yet when the apparitions began. The Bolshevik Revolution did not happen until Nov. 7. Russia's errors were many and pertinent but were entirely misinterpreted.

3. Russia will be converted to... the usurper Church?!

They can make it *appear* to be converted, using communism as the bait, and Fatima as a propaganda tool to deceive everyone, but that does not mean that Our Lady never appeared at Fatima. Remember the big debate on whether the promise was conditional, and finally they said that it was unconditional? That in the end Russia would convert, no matter what? Well obviously it was conditional! The Immaculate Heart will *triumph* in the end, yes, but with or without Russia's "conversion."

4. Obviously neither JP2 or BerGOG believe in the conversion of Russia to the true Catholic Church, ...but, they both believe in the NWO and the One World Religion!!

They are prostituting Fatima! They don't want people to figure out what Russia's errors really were.

So, are they casting spells every time they make a new 'Consecration'? What is the 'Magic' they attribute to it?

Don't know about magic, just know that whoever gets it "right" will make it look as though the Roman whore is the true Church. And remember, they have to appear to be *democratic* "popes," responsive to the people who were demanding it.

5. Would Our Lady have made ridiculous requests? "...only Russia, in union with the pope" "at the same time with all the bishops of the world" Really?! How so?! And the same bishops who later betrayed us at Vatican 2?!

Pope Pius XII was planning to call a council but he reconsidered, because he knew he was outnumbered; also because he became ill and didn't feel he could go through with it (after he was poisoned). The bishops only gather together all at once for an ecumenical council. He tried to comply with her requests but it was too late.

6. On March 25, 1984, JP2 consecrated 'Russia' and this is believed to have led not only to the collapse of the Soviet Union, but also to the resurgence of Christianity in Russia. Only Problem is that 'Christianity' in Russia is plagued with esoterism. JP2 didn't do us any favors. Russia's Esoterism wants to unite Orth & Caths through sorcery: "It will be necessary to hasten the reconciliation and reintegration of the 2 religions... What now seems impossible, a transcendental will accomplish it, supported by certain occult centers at that task, ...and by its holiness." Parvulesco. So the question is, were JP2 and BerGOG aiding occult forces to make this union possible?!!!

What if they were? We know how evil they are, who they are. Of course they will try to prostitute and destroy anything the Church taught was holy; they have been doing that for centuries! Look at what they did to England alone during the Reformation. Funny they use the March 25 date as that is the date that it appears the fake sister Lucy may have entered the Carmelite convent.

7. Not to mention that JP2s 'Consecration' didn't bring the USSR down, but rather his secret alliance with Reagan, after decades of assassinations due to CIA's Operation Gladio. "The collapse of the Iron Curtain would have been impossible without JP2" Gorbachev. Wasn't OLOF then?

Well yes, it was, but why let them use it to their advantage when they are falsifying it? By doing this *we are accepting their version of Fatima and their interpretation of it and allowing them to use it to further deceive people.* We are actually helping them to destroy what is left of the Church.




President Ronald Reagan and John Paul II met in the Vatican Library on June 7, 1982, where they agreed to undertake a covert campaign to assist in the fall of the Soviet Union.

8. Isn't BerGOG, another CIA associate brought to the Argentinian court for crimes against priests and laity, doing the same thing? Pretending that his Consecration will have some sort of 'desired effect'...Is this the work of Our Lady or of evil men using Her to fool us all?!

It is evil men using her to fool us all. Didn't they do this to her Son first, by placing Antichrist in the papal chair? And we are not questioning what previous popes said or what our Lord said just because

they are using false popes to deceive the people now. So why question the apparition at Fatima? We are playing right into their hands as they tear it all down.

9. And now to 'Fr.' Malachi Martin. Martin, a Vatican insider, said he had read the True Third Secret and it involved Russia, Kiev, and the Ukraine specifically. Really?!! Problem is that the Trad priest who read the third Secret, was also an occultist!!



M. Martin was a known traitor, double agent, adulterer and genuine rogue, also an apostate by his own admission! Who listens to him??? Who can *prove* he knew this from reading the Third Secret? We look to him for clues about the conspiracy, not for reliable information.

[revisionisthistory.org/wire3.html](http://revisionisthistory.org/wire3.html)

10. The number 13 was not Our Lady's number until Fatima came along and Catholics were initiated in some sort of esoteric rite where it was expected to look for 'signs' of some sort every 13th of the month! July 13th, 1917 was a Friday, the day we learned about the 'Russia' Consecration.

There is another explanation for this and it is very far-reaching. We need to look deeper than just what we are seeing here. "M" for Mary just happens to be the 13<sup>th</sup> letter of the alphabet... The vicious gang M-13 actually boasts they use this number in "honor" of Our Lady of Guadalupe. So is that apparition fake too?

11. I also find it interesting that Fatima was named after a Muslim princess and the daughter of Mohammed. So, not only Our Lady pointed to the schismatic Orthodox by naming Russia, but also to the Muslims.

*A Muslim princess who converted to Catholicism.* Doesn't that tell us Our Lady was perhaps indicating the conversion of Islam? Or the conversion at least of infidels?

One World Religion?!

Is this how the "faith" was supposed to be "preserved" Was it Our Lady who appeared at Fatima, or was the Devil? I do not know, but, in 1944, the famous occultist Edgar Cayce 'prophesied' that Russia would help prevent the destruction of humanity, describing Russia's role as being the "hope of the world": "From Russia comes the hope of the world, not as... communist, or Bolshevik, no; but freedom, freedom! May every man live for his fellow man! The principle was born. It will take years to crystallize, but from Russia comes the hope of the world again."

So he was one of the conspirators who had inside information; big deal. They have been planning this for a long time. Many others have made similar comments. It is interesting to note that the (anti-Semitic) *Protocols of the Elders of Zion* was printed in Russia just before Fatima occurred (1901 and 1906). Those printing it knew it would be interpreted as coming from Jewish sources and would stir up hatred of the Jews. It later was pointed out that it did not come from the Jews at all but from the Illuminati, and specifically from the *Priory of Sion*, promoting anti-Semitic "Catholic"

## Freemasonry!

Occult Forces are at work. Supposedly, Our Lady of Fatima demanded the "Consecration of Russia" so that Russia 'converts' and there's 'peace.' But, IF Russia 'converts', and there is 'peace,' a Godless Russia and an Usurped Catholic Church WILL NOT BE THE TRIUMPH OF THE IMMACULATE HEART BUT OF THE DEVIL!

You have to separate the two here — Russia's conversion and the triumph of Our Lady over every heresy. It is a **lying illusion** they are trying to create; don't buy into it.

"How shall I know the word that the Lord hath not spoken? Thou shalt have this sign: Whatsoever that same prophet foretelleth in the name of the Lord, & it cometh not to pass: that thing the Lord hath not spoken, but the prophet hath forged it by the pride of his mind." Deut.18

Interpretation and actual fulfillment are two different things. Also prophecies are not viewed by the Church in the same way as the words of a prophet in the OT, which would be equivalent to a member of the hierarchy. This points to the usurpers, not Fatima.

What started as children's pretend games, turned really sour after a few times... Now, THIS is the apparition that Fatima obscured and that truly happened only two hours away from Fatima, and three days prior, in Barral, Portugal. Our Lady is simple. Confusion is from the Devil.

On May 10th and 11th of 1917, Our Lady appeared to a poor little shepherd named Severino Alves, ten years old, the son of a poor and pious widow. On May 10th, 1917, Severino was on his way to pray the Holy Rosary when he saw Our Lady. He felt to the ground and the



vision disappeared. The next day, May 11, 1917, Severino saw Our Lady again and fell to his knees. "Do not be afraid, boy, it is I. Tell the shepherds of the mountain to always pray the rosary. Let the men and women once again sing the "Star of Heaven" hymn, which has been long forgotten, .... and to approach me in prayer, that I shall come to the world and placate the war." The vision was gone. He was later sent to the Jesuits in Spain and stood there for two years against his will. They wanted to force him to become a Brother but he escaped and lived a humble life. Our Lady never told him to learn how to read. She didn't mention Russia. She didn't give him 'secrets' He didn't contradict himself. The message for peace was simple: Always pray the rosary; recite the "Star of Heaven" and ask for Our Lady's intercession to obtain world's peace.

OK, but how do we explain that this often happens with Marian apparitions and other revelations to holy people, all saying the same thing at once? Fatima was going on at the same time Our Lord and Our Lady were speaking to Berthe Petit too, and Sr. Natalia, another little-known receiver of messages who was known to Pope Pius XII. Do we ignore all of them just because they were

simultaneous? Or do we decide that maybe by saying the same thing to so many at once Our Lady was trying to verify the apparitions and emphasize the importance of her message?



*Azulejos em que se recorda a aparição de 11 de Maio de 1917.*

### The Children of Fatima and the Corrupted Catholic Church

The Apparition of Our Lady at Fatima has never made any sense. Too many things didn't add up. This is the story of 3 children who were used to create a multibillionaire business founded on lies, conspiracies, and secrets.

Well it made sense until just recently, or you and others would certainly have questioned it before. They are getting ready now to introduce the New World Religion so of course they are going to try and destroy everything dear to Catholics. **All of the books questioning Fatima are written by non-Catholics** — shouldn't that raise huge red flags?

And shouldn't these people be held suspect for their religious orientation and their timing alone? Why is every objection to these Protestant works being disregarded?

1. Jacinta said the three had seen a girl in a white coat and cloak, matching stockings, black eyes, hoop earrings and short, knee-length skirts. Hoop earrings?! Short, knee-length skirts?! These are facts that Canon Formigão had to 'fix' in his book!!

I would need to see proof of this. How do you know they are **facts**? Are you saying that Church officials deliberately suppressed or reconstructed it? Can you absolutely document this from any other source, or is it from only one source? Is the translation reliable? Can anyone rule out the possibility that someone may have deliberately falsified this? To me it suspiciously sounds like a modern interpolation... Theological and historical research standards must be met.

2. Lucia's mother, one of the few literate people in the region, often read to Lucia the story of Our Lady of La Salette and suspected Lucia was repeating what she had heard. She told her to stop lying, but Lucia stood by her words.

This does not need to exclude the fact that she saw Our Lady. Did she read her the **secret** of La Salette? If just the story of La Salette, what was the harm? Surely other adult visionaries knew of apparitions to other saints and holy people. Were they faking it too? Was everyone faking it?!

3. The children said that Our Lady made a promise: the sixth and final apparition, that of October, would be accompanied by a miracle. The supposed Miracle of the Sun wasn't witnessed by all, but it is possible some saw a parhelion. However, it is weird that not everyone saw it! In Catholic Portugal in 1917, no one questioned the miracle.

Parhelions happen mainly in much colder climates and conditions than existed in Portugal in October, 1917, which normally experiences temps of anywhere from 56 to 71 degrees for that



month. The average temp for parheliions to appear is 22-40 degrees (they are not uncommon in North and South Dakota, climates very different than Portugal's!). They rarely happen in mid-day, usually occur at sunrise and sunset (the apparition began around 11:30 a.m, the miracle about noon.). They are static, which means they do not move around. They do not appear to crash to the ground, then bounce back up into the sky, from any report available.

It would be interesting to know how anyone could have arranged for a parhelion to appear on the precise day of the scheduled miracle, when this uncommon phenomena is something only God could have produced. And it doesn't explain the sun "zig-zagging," as people then reported, unless they somehow "excited the parhelion with

electric molecules," as one scientist suggests, to make it appear to move. So now we are going to choose to rely on science to explain away miracles? Why not — they have been trying to do it with Christ's miracles for centuries! It took them over 100 years to come up with something on this one. And they still cannot explain how a human being could manufacture a parhelion, at that particular time and place, far less excite it with electric molecules and make it appear to "dance."

4. But then, came the "prophecy: "The war ends today." Lucia said, "wait here for your soldiers." Well... that didn't happen. The war ended, BUT more than a year later! A prophecy is tested by its fulfillment Deut. 18.

She was a kid! She just misunderstood Our Lady. Pope Benedict XIV, Rev. Adolphe Tanquerey in his *The Spiritual Life*, says this happens with revelations sometimes even when received by **adults**, but it does not discredit the whole revelation. So why gang up on a kid?

5. The problem wasn't that the children were caught lying, but that the ecclesiastical authorities went along with the hoax and adapted the lies to the extent that it was most convenient. Like for example, the Rosary Prayer was changed almost immediately after it was published.

**Who** caught the children lying? This is a supposition that cannot be entirely proven. The interrogators had to act at times as devils' advocates and they sometimes led the seers on to see what they would do. This also takes place during a canonization process. We know there were many bad clergy in the Church for a long time, we just don't know for sure who they were and where they were operating during that time period. **Were** the children lying? Or was a cleric who could have been a secret Freemason or occultist making it appear that they were lying?

6. These children were victims of evil greedy men: "With the death of Jacinta, the legend surrounding the child grows. In the years that follow, myths surrounding the girl continue to increase, and it is even published that her corpse was found crying blood" Patrícia Carvalho

This happens with many different things, not just Fatima. There are always rumors and false reports over something this well-known and followed, not to mention sensationalized. Jacinta was exhumed and found to be incorrupt. Any explanation for that? Or was that a lie too...

7. So, who is responsible for the falsification of Fatima? It can't be attributed to Lucia, whose public and private life was controlled and even silenced since 1921. All the blame then, falls in the already corrupted Catholic hierarchy and those who benefit from it. Lets look at this chronology:

- Interrogations carried out by the parish priest September – November 1917
- Interrogations carried out by Formigão – 1924
- Interrogation carried out by Formigão and Fr. dos Santos – 1935, 1941
- The first memoirs written by Lucia.

Interrogatories and memoirs are primary proofs for researchers. You have to have **solid proof** they were tampered with or that they were falsified altogether. I have the actual Formigao book — the original. In fact I would want to see the first edition and subsequent editions. That means producing physical comparisons not subject to Internet transmissions or translations, and this is next to impossible. There are discrepancies but these do not amount to proofs.



So, from the first document, dated 1917, undoubtedly the most reliable, two simple messages stand out: prayer and devotion. However, it also contains the false prophecy, "the war ends today" After 1917, new elements that make the original message more intricate, are introduced: The commandment of reciting the Rosary for the end of war and the establishment of peace. The addition of penance, conversion, Heaven, Purgatory, and Hell. And for the first time, one secret (not three) is revealed supposedly from a mysterious apparition, in 1916, of an angel. Then, in the memoirs written by Lucia, after 1935, the vision of hell, the Immaculate Heart surrounded by thorns, and the infamous request of the "Virgin" to "consecrate Russia to Her IH," made their appearance for the FIRST time, because Lucia had altered the 'facts' or lies! Then came the lies of the 3rd secret revealed by JP2 and Ben16, known to all. Lucia probably at some point was sick and tired of living a lie, so it was not that they supplanted her because she was about to reveal the secret but rather, because... **THERE WAS NO SECRET!!!**

The angel did not say anything to the children about a secret! There was **ONE** secret but three separate parts, according to several different **Catholic** historians. Lucy was told not to reveal **any** of them and she revealed them only when ordered by her confessor or bishop. It was not her fault that Jacinta and Francisco died and could not verify what was said; God made that decision. And by the way, if Fatima was false, how do we explain their deaths, which Our Lady predicted? Did they just voluntarily die? The cardinal and bishop of Leira, also her confessors, had all the copies of her writings. How do we know she was lying, or whether perhaps they even rewrote them? Some of the copies of her revelations were even destroyed! People had better be careful, because without knowing for certain what really happened there is a real danger of committing detraction and calumny where these children are concerned! Studying all of the **CATHOLIC** histories of Fatima, and I have one of the earlier ones, answers so many of these questions, but who has studied them, and now, given all these unCatholic accusations, would they even be believed?!

I initially questioned the messages about Russia, nothing else. Even Pope Pius XII questioned them. And this was because the fake Sr. Lucy was introduced. I never questioned the apparitions themselves. I am sorry I ever became a part of this discussion!

