

Exhibit E

BRUCE J. CHASAN, ESQ.
LAW OFFICES OF BRUCE J. CHASAN, LLC

1500 JFK BOULEVARD
TWO PENN CENTER, SUITE 312
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19102

BJCHASAN@BRUCECHASANLAW.COM
WWW.BRUCECHASANLAW.COM
T 215.567.4400
F 215.565.2882

ADMITTED IN PA, MA, VA, DC AND
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

March 12, 2018

Lenwood "Skip" Hamilton
1201 Willow, Apt. 5
Norristown, PA 19401

PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL

(tel. 484-686-6996)

RE: Hamilton v. Speight

Dear Mr. Hamilton (Skip):

I have decided to delay sending a letter to Judge Brody seeking leave of court to withdraw for two reasons: (1) If you retain another attorney, the other attorney can enter his or her appearance, and then I can withdraw; and (2) third-party financing is still being evaluated by Legalist, Inc., pursuant to an inquiry I initiated last week.

The likelihood of you finding a replacement attorney for me is not high. You cannot pay anyone's hourly rates. At least six experienced attorneys have been invited to co-counsel with me in the handling of your case, and share in the contingent fee, and all have declined. They therefore think your matter is high risk and the likelihood they will recover fees commensurate with their time is dubious.

Also, I am not confident that Legalist, Inc. will agree to fund your case. We have been turned down by seven or eight third party funders to date, and it is apparent that they believe your case is high risk, and they prefer not to advance up to \$500,000 in litigation expenses, because they have strong concerns there will not be any recovery. I have spent a lot of time trying to get a third-party funder for your case, and I have not succeeded. I do not wish to spend any more time doing this (without payment for my time), as I believe it is fruitless. When 7 or 8 third party funders say no, it should tell you something.

You are adamant that I should not submit a settlement demand to Microsoft and the other defendants of less than \$7,500,000. I will not do so. We did that last year, and the defendants thought it was outlandish. They will have the same viewpoint today. Were I to submit that demand again, I believe there will be no counter-proposal, and all settlement discussions will be dead. The defendants will view you (and me) as intransigent, and they will continue to litigate the case.

[Type here]

If we had an expert report that said your damages are \$10 to \$15 million, it might be justifiable to submit a high settlement demand. But we do not have the funds to hire an expert on damages. As I told you before, your case is worth zero without a qualified expert on damages.

We also have no funds for litigation expenses, such as depositions. As I have explained to you, each deposition costs about \$3000 to \$4000 for court reporters and videographers.

You should be jumping at Microsoft's invitation on March 7 to submit a reasonable settlement demand, which I believe would be in the range of \$900,000 to \$950,000. Such a demand will not be accepted, but it will likely invite a counter-offer if it is explained well. Any counter-offer is good, as then the parties can negotiate something in the middle.

Microsoft is motivated by their perception that I am an aggressive attorney (I am), and their belief that I have funding for your case. It is to your advantage right now that they do not realize that neither you nor I has any funding. If they knew that, they would not have issued a settlement overture. They would just sit back and let us implode. (They will find this out in a matter of weeks, anyway, if we do not seize their settlement overture right now.)

So you are squandering your chance to engage in meaningful settlement negotiations. If you don't agree to lower your expectations within the next 24-48 hours, the case is essentially over. I will stop working on it (and only do the minimum), and I will turn my energies elsewhere, where I hope to be compensated for my legal work and acumen. I reserve my option to write to Judge Brody seeking leave to withdraw.

You should recognize that through my efforts, you have survived a motion to dismiss, and moved Microsoft to the point where they are willing to offer some money to settle the case. That is HUGE! But you are unrealistic, and you exaggerate the value of your case, and you also exaggerate the strength of the merits of your case. You are acting like a pig, and if I may say so, pigs get slaughtered. Passing up realistic settlement negotiations this week means you are likely to get zero.

I know you have discussed this with Kevin Conrad, and Kevin agrees with my assessment. We are both disappointed that you are so obstinate, and downright foolish.

Finally, in listening to your justifications on Saturday as to why you will not lower your settlement demand, I had the distinct impression that you are delusional and off the rails. If that is how you come across in your deposition (if it ever occurs), you will not serve yourself well. I am sorry if you are offended, but it is necessary for me to be blunt.

[Type here]

Very truly yours,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Bruce J. Chasan". The signature is written in a cursive style with a long horizontal flourish at the end.

Bruce J. Chasan

cc: Kevin Conrad, Esq. (via email)