

MUST and WILL be entered onto the court record at the next hearing:

We recognize that this is an administrative action in a circus courtroom disguised to look like a legitimate court proceeding, and we acknowledge that this is a civil action. We acknowledge that to be a party to a civil action, there simply must be a violation of a contract. We demand evidence that we have violated any such contract, or that any such contract exists. We demand that if you wish to keep fucking with us, that you charge David with a crime and allow him a jury of his peers, at which time Bonham's testimony will NOT be barred from the record, and at which time he WILL be interviewed using legitimate interviewing techniques. This will eliminate any further possibility of your being able to continue covering your asses.

All evidence that was previously forbidden in violation of our right to due process. This evidence is listed as follows, and will be served upon the court and all parties within five days.

List exhibits, violations and wrongs.

Table of Authorities cited, despite it being incomplete due to lack of time or need to keep searching to codify the repeated daily violations of state and federal law.

Ways in which timelines were violated, procedures were violated.

The repeated and malevolent use of coercion and threats in order to force us into a contract.

The wasteful and unnecessary demands of our time and energy which has very much negatively affected our children.

The ongoing and repeated refusal to provide services.

The ongoing and repeated refusal to follow laws and procedures.

The evidence of fraud.

Kids didn't testify at the last minute, they realized that the children's testimony would be damaging because they are fully aware that the events they are using in the CHIPS petition are not likely to have actually taken place, and there is very little evidence to indicate as such

The repeated (FOUR TIMES) refusal of correctly and lawfully filled out in forma pauperis forms in attempt to control this case and prevent us from gaining access to the court record.

The repeated refusal of our lawyers to keep us informed or to answer questions.

The court's refusal to accept legitimate motions after they were already served to all parties and placed upon his bench according to the Minnesota Rules of Civil Procedure.

We demand complete, written answers to our questions. We demand lawful discovery, which we requested and were flatly denied.

By what authority does Jeffrey Thompson rule over this proceeding? By what authority?
QUO WARRANTO!!!!