Australia is already a net zero CO_2-e emitter – thanks to our forests and rangelands

Bill Burrows[1]

Many people and world governments believe rising greenhouse gas (mainly CO_2) levels in the atmosphere pose an unacceptable risk of 'dangerous' global warming/climate change. Accordingly, most nations (including Australia) have committed to lowering CO_2-e emissions within their jurisdictions to 'net zero' by 2050, or thereabouts.

In this essay I suggest that there is emerging strong, published evidence that under the current stated position of the Australian government, this country has already reached the goal of 'net zero CO_2 emissions' – and requires little additional effort, if any, to maintain this achievement to 2050 and beyond. Consider:

- Australia's Climate Council states that "Net zero emissions refers to achieving an overall balance between GHG emissions produced (added to) and GHG emissions taken out of (withdrawn from) the atmosphere". Analogously France (host of the Paris Agreement) will utilise sinks from its LULUC&F[2] sector, as well as CCS, to balance emissions generated by those fossil fuels for which there are no alternative feedstocks. (See: https://unfccc.int/sites/default/files/resource/en_SNBC-2_summary_compl.pdf p.2; Fig.1 p.3). Australia and several other countries have also specifically nominated land based sinks in their NDCs.
- In December 2020 Australia adopted the position that *"for the Paris Agreement all net emissions from all lands (in Australia) will be accounted for – without restriction - using the independent monitoring systems of the national inventory.* (So) *through the national inventory there is complete coverage of the land sector in the Government's target acquittal".* [Emphases added]. See: DISER #588, p.13, 1st para. By way of contrast, for the Kyoto Protocol only c.1% of Australia's land mass was actually taken into account in determining net emissions from the LULUC&F sector (DISER #588, p.12, last para).
- The only practical way to sample these net emissions over the whole continent (769 m ha - inclusive of all our rangelands), with acceptable accuracy and precision, is via spectral sensors positioned on satellite platforms (e.g. GOSAT, OCO-2, TanSAT). It is just not possible to undertake continental scale sampling of net CO_2-e emissions using ground based methodologies or computer models (e.g. FullCAM). Especially so given the absence of appropriate means to validate the accuracy and precision of modelling outputs over such a huge area – combined with the complexity of the soils, vegetation, variable weather patterns and superimposed management. These factors all impact carbon fluxes and the utility of bottom-up biosphere models.
- Alternatively, spectral sensors positioned on satellite based platforms provide accurate data on the column averaged dry air mole fraction of CO_2 (XCO_2) measured from the top of the atmosphere to the earth's surface. The huge number of observations made integrate all sources and sinks (including many not recognised – e.g. deep drainage, run-off, erosion, respiration, litter decay?) which contribute to the balance of the air column above the land beneath. They provide the robustness, spatial coverage and sampling intensity/frequency, as well as the accuracy and precision necessary to determine the Australia wide flux inversion of column averaged CO_2. They also make it possible to estimate the distribution and magnitude of CO_2 in regions that have sparse *in situ* surface atmospheric monitoring (https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-21-6663-2021).

- Table 1 collates published data for four different studies which together cover sampling 'years' from 2011 to 2017-18. [The sampling periods include strong La Niña and El Niño episodes]. The natural (managed land) CO_2 flux (withdrawal from the atmosphere above the Australian land mass over all retrieval platforms and sampling years, n=6) averaged c. 701 Mt CO_2 -e per year. This average land sink is approximately 157% of total human sourced emissions (≈ 446 Mt CO_2 –e per year) released into the atmosphere over the 'years' sampled (Table 1). [By way of contrast, the equivalent managed land sink in the conterminous USA (766 M ha) only corresponds to c.12% of that nation's fossil fuel emissions].

---

[1] Dr Bill Burrows FTSE is a former Senior Principal Scientist in the Queensland Department of Agriculture & Fisheries. He is now retired after a 40+ year career studying vegetation, population dynamics and carbon fluxes in the grazed woodlands of NE Australia. He is a past RMN editor and was President of the ARS in 1977-78. [Email: wburrows@iinet.net.au].

[2] Acronyms are explained at the end of the article

Table 1 **Natural CO_2 flux (withdrawal from the atmosphere above the Australian land mass) – expressed in common units (Mt CO_2-e/year) and based on retrievals from three different satellite platforms, combined with inversion procedures** [See reference links for methods and attributed uncertainty estimates].

Reference	GOSAT	OCO-2	TanSat	Retrieval Year
Detmers et al. 2015	770	-	-	2010-11
Wang et al. 2019	958	806	-	2015
Chevallier et al. 2019	-	697	-	2017
Yang et al. 2021	-	205	770	2017-18

GRAND MEAN (over all retrieval platforms and sampling years, n=6) ≈ 701 Mt CO_2-e /year

It has been suggested that any flux recorded in these lands cannot be included in our NGGI and PA accounts because this huge landscape area is not identified or congruent with IPCC guidelines. This is not in accord with Ogle *et al.* (2018 - https://doi.org/10.1186/s13021-018-0095-3). Theses authors clearly show how the 'managed land' concept has now replaced (become a proxy for) the anthropogenic definitions associated with the Kyoto Protocol (First Commitment Period accounting). Hence:

All governments using guidance from the IPCC are implicitly using the managed land proxy, and many of these governments may consider their entire territory as managed land. For example, for what is considered to be 'managed' and 'unmanaged' land in the USA see previous Link (**Fig.4**) and the title (read carefully) of this 2018 paper is: 'Delineating managed land for reporting national greenhouse gas emissions and removals to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change'].

Additional notes by Ogle *et al*. (2018) on *"Defining managed land:*

Among the governments providing information about application of the managed land proxy to subdivide forest land, grassland and wetlands into managed and unmanaged land, several have classified managed land simply by considering some land uses as managed and others as unmanaged. For example, Australia and Belarus consider all forest land, grasslands and wetlands as managed, while land in the 'other land' category (e.g., rock outcrops, glaciers, barren areas) is considered unmanaged." [Note: The UNFCCC/IPCC definition of a 'forest' is in effect inclusive of all vegetation classified as forest, woodland and medium/tall shrub-land in the Australian context].

Conclusion

To understand why Australia has seemingly achieved net zero CO_2 emissions (in terms of the Paris Agreement) already, the following key points are relevant:

i. in future we will be accounting for all net emissions from all lands in the LULUC&F sector – without restriction - (cf. only c.1% of the land mass included in accounts for the Kyoto Protocol)
ii. the only practical way to accurately sample all net emissions at a continental scale (769 M ha) is via inversions, based on satellite retrievals of the column averaged dry air mole fraction of CO_2 (XCO2) measured from the top of the atmosphere to the land surface
iii. inversion studies cited in Table 1 suggest we are currently a net sink of c.255 Mt CO_2 per year – after averaging La Niña and El Niño year results, and deducting fossil fuel/cement generated emissions for each respective year from the total. All things being equal it is likely that net sinks of this order will be maintained through 2050 – as our woody vegetation continues to respond ('thicken up' and invade natural grasslands aka 'global greening') and adapt to the sporadic fire regimes which replaced the regular/frequent burning of previous millennia, under now displaced (pre-European) indigenous management
iv. Australia is the 6th largest nation in area in the world (and in the main has a land mass covered by CO_2 absorbing perennial vegetation), yet it has far fewer people living in it than live in a single world mega city (e.g. Tokyo). Yearly fossil fuel emissions from anthropogenic sources in this country, either in terms of CO_2

or CO_2-e – see: https://ourworldindata.org/co2/country/australia - are thus more than offset by the ongoing capacity of our LULUC&F sector (≡ "all lands" – see 2nd dot point above) to absorb them

v. therefore our nation is a net zero CO_2-e emitter today – largely thanks to carbon sinks in our forest and rangeland communities. Members of the ARS would be doing themselves and the Australian people and rangelands a great service by publicising this fact at every opportunity.

Notes for rural landholders:

When CO_2 sinks are determined via information retrieved from satellites the individual landholder does not know his/her contribution to the total land sink that is being captured. The satellite information is validated/calibrated against ground station records. Confidence in the satellite inversion results would no doubt be further improved if more TCCON ground calibration stations were distributed over Australia – they are presently located at Darwin and Wollongong - See: essd-11-935-2019.pdf].

Nevertheless where satellite measurements are spatially filtered to include only data recorded near surface calibration sites (30+ located worldwide, including those in Australia) the resultant fluxes are found to converge to those based on surface measurements alone. A single OCO-2 footprint covers c.290 ha (c. 2,650,000 potential sampling points for the Australian land mass) with a 16-days revisit cycle. Spatial filtering of geo-referenced "agricultural (cropping/grazing) land" could also be instigated by individuals or government e.g. if required for targeted auditing (of CEAs/ACCUs and to avoid double counting sinks in national PA accounts). However, as a general principle no managed land should be excluded ('cherry picked') from any PA accounting to determine continental **Australia's** net CO_2 flux – accuracy and consistency of methodology across all jurisdictions (worldwide) demands it!

Acronyms:

ACCU – Australian Carbon Credit Unit; CCS – Carbon Capture & Storage; ARS – Australian Rangeland Society; CEA – Carbon Estimation Area; CO_2-e = Carbon dioxide equivalent; DISER – Australian Government Department of Industry, Science, Energy & Resources; GHG – Green House Gas; IPCC – Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change; LULUC&F – Land Use, Land Use Change & Forestry; NDC - Nationally Determined Contributions (NDC); NGGI – National Greenhouse Gas Inventory; PA – Paris Agreement; TCCON – Total Carbon Column Observing Network; UNFCCC – United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change

[All Web links included in this article were accessible on 1 March 2022]

https://www.keepandshare.com/doc22/113294/australia-is-already-a-net-zero-co2-emitter-thanks-to-its-forests-and-rangelands-pdf-619k?da=y

(WHB -2 March 2022)